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ANNUAL REPORT


## MISSION

The mission of the Department of Revenue Administration is to collect the proper amount of taxes due, incurring the least cost to the taxpayers, and in a manner that merits the highest degree of public confidence in our integrity, efficiency and fairness. Further, it must provide prompt and constructive assistance to the municipal units of government in matters of budget, finance, and the appraisal of real estate.

This report is submitted to Governor John Lynch and Members of the Executive Council:
$1^{\text {st }}$ District - Raymond S. Burton
$2^{\text {nd }}$ District - Daniel St. Hilaire
$3^{\text {rd }}$ District - Christopher T. Sununu
$4^{\text {th }}$ District - Raymond J. Wieczorek
$5^{\text {th }}$ District - David K. Wheeler

Throughout Fiscal Year 2011 the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration (DRA) diligently moved forward on implementing its business plan for modernizing operations. This included review and updating of: tax forms, tax systems and associated technology, employee assignments and training, policy and procedures. A tremendous amount of detailed tedious work had to be accomplished this year in order to build the foundation necessary to meet the goal of introducing electronic filing for Tax Year 2012.

In addition, the Department was actively engaged in the legislative session that resulted in a number of enactments that provide long term clarity to several taxes. For example, the definition of "capital gains" was clarified for the Interest and Dividend Tax. Business taxes were clarified by requiring taxpayers to keep records in accordance with federal IRS Section 162 standards to support claims or deductions relating to compensation. This clarification should go a long way to addressing the "reasonable compensation" disputes between the Department and taxpayers that have been a longstanding source of disagreement for both parties. The Gambling Tax was repealed. Although not directly related to a specific tax, the role of the Legislative Budget Assistants Office in conducting performance audits of the DRA was clarified allowing this important function to proceed after many years when no such audits or reviews have ever been undertaken.

The 2010 General Elections brought about a change in the composition of the legislature and introduced a new direction of smaller more efficient government, a movement that had already been initiated by the Department as part of its Granite-to-Green systems improvements project. The FY 2011 and 2012 Governor's Recommended Budget significantly reduced DRA resources in anticipation of these automated systems coming on line during the biennium. The Department's personnel compliment has been reduced from 218 positions down to 126 filled positions. While some of the 218 positions were actually positions that had remained vacant over many years, it is important to note that the Department has actually laid-off over fifty (50) employees (FY '10-12 employees: FY'11-41 employees). Exhibit on page 79 of this report provides a summary of personnel compliment and staffing levels over the past 3 years.

However, even though the Department experienced reductions in General Fund staffing, legislative and executive support for on-going systems enhancements and development remained strong. As business practices are gradually introduced and refined in concert with these automated systems, other department efficiencies will emerge. For example, the Department has always maintained a fleet of motor vehicles totaling thirty-five (35) or more passenger cars. However the number of vehicles has been reduced to 16 over the past year as a result of implementation of GIS and audit and collection best practices.

The State should begin to consider these systems applications beyond the DRA to include other state agencies, for example centralized document processing, centralized accounts receivable/collections (to include bankruptcies) and centralized remittance processing.

Although much was accomplished in Fiscal Year 2011, there is still a lot of the Granite-to-Green Project that remains to be completed in Fiscal Years 2012 and 2013. Completing the project while sustaining ongoing operations with significantly fewer resources will be challenging in the coming year.

Throughout Fiscal Year 2011 most economists seemed to agree that the national economy was on the road to a slow but sustainable recovery and the State's Biennial Budget(s) for 2012-2013 were predicated on that premise. However more recent downgrades to the federal and associated federal agencies credit ratings, sharp stock market declines, the Federal Reserve's decision to keep interest rates low for the foreseeable future and continued uncertain European market activity reminds us of how fragile and volatile the national, regional and local economies can be. Vigilant monitoring of the State's revenue plan will be the watchword for Fiscal Year 2012.

Again this year I would like to thank the New Hampshire Certified Public Accountants Society, the New Hampshire Bar Association, the Department of Information Technology (DOIT), and the Executive Council for their assistance and support in implementing the monumental changes being undertaken within the Department.

The Department is facing an aggressive agenda with depleted resources. This situation presents both a challenge and an opportunity. Fiscal Year 2011 was productive. Fiscal Year 2012 needs to be resourceful and creative. I have tremendous confidence in the management and employees of the department, and I believe that we are up to the challenges before us.

Respectfully Submitted


Kevin A. Clougherty
Commissioner
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## II. ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

## DIVISION PRIMARY FUNCTIONS

Administration Unit - Performs administrative functions necessary to support Department operations including accounting, adjudicative and administrative hearings, legislative support, fleet and facility maintenance, human resources, project management, purchasing and taxpayer advocacy.

Audit Division - Conducts audits of tax returns of individuals, partnerships, estates, trusts, corporations, and documents filed with the Department to ensure compliance with New Hampshire tax laws and rules.

Central Tax Services Unit - Provides general assistance to the public for all taxes administered by the Department and acts within the Department as an advocate for taxpayers.

Collections Division - Initiates collection activities in pursuit of delinquent tax returns and payments for all taxes administered by the Department.

Document Processing Division - Receives, sends, processes, stores, and retrieves all tax documents, return payments and electronic transactions filed with the Department.

Municipal Services Division - Establishes and approves municipal, school, county and village district tax rates. Provides technical assistance, relative to taxation and finance, to the political subdivisions of the state; and prescribes a uniform chart of accounts for all municipalities, schools, counties and village districts.

Office of Information Technology (Embedded Personnel) - Designs, develops and maintains computerized systems to support the administration of taxes and to automate labor intensive functions.

Property Appraisal Division - Assists and educates municipalities with the methods of appraisal and assessment of real property. Provides appraisal revaluation services statewide to municipalities. Equalizes the local assessed valuation of each municipality to bring such valuations to the full and true market value of the property. Advises and assists municipalities and taxpayers in full and true appraisals and timely collection of timber yield tax. Appraises public utility and railroad property for equalization, as well as local tax purposes. Assists local municipalities with the administration of the Current Use law (RSA 79-A).


## "GRANITE TO GREEN"

## III TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS

The State's administration of its various taxes has been a substantially manual exercise with Department of Revenue Administration (DRA) employees keying in data from forms submitted by taxpayers. Although, over the years automated systems applications have been installed, these system have been primarily dedicated to data processing and billing (i.e., tax notices) modules targeted at implementation of a particular new tax. The centerpiece of the State's automated system is the Tax Information Management System (TIMS) which is a customized transactional system designed by consultants for the State of New Hampshire in 1989.

However, not every tax is processed through the TIMS system. Six (6) of the eleven (11) taxes administered by the DRA are processed through separate customized systems developed internally under a "miscellaneous" umbrella. Each customized system was developed with little provision for interaction with either TIMS or the other tax systems.

The current configuration is a loosely integrated network of tailored computer programs for each individual tax. While the current system caters to each tax and is rich with transaction and utilization data related to each respective tax, the capability to perform crosscutting or relational analysis between taxes is extremely inefficient. This inefficiency is manifest in time consuming efforts to craft multiple queries in order to simply determine if a particular taxpayer has files listed under more than one tax type.

Figure A is a graphic diagram of the current manual processing system under which mail is manually opened and processed, followed by manual data entry into the respective tax system (over the ensuing eight (8) months) and finally daily manual deposit via an armored car service.

With so many disparate systems it is no wonder that the Department has struggled with basic functions such as accounts receivable and case management.

Figure A

## System Architecture 2009



The limitations of the current systems not only restrict the DRA's ability to fulfill its mission to ensure taxpayer compliance with state tax laws (by limiting the number, scope and timeliness of audit programs), it also impedes the Department's ability to be responsive to legislative policy inquiries due to limited query and an analytical capabilities. While DRA and Department of Information Technology (DoIT) staff operate the existing system(s) as efficiently as possible under the circumstances, the fact remains that they are hamstrung by the limitations of the tools they have to work with.

Several proposals for replacement of the existing system have been advanced in recent years ranging in price from $\$ 20$ million up to $\$ 50$ million. Undoubtedly, the complete replacement of the system (s) with modern sophisticated software would result in better and more accurate and timely processing and information. However, the approach that DRA recommended and that the Legislature adopted in the 2009 session envisions a different strategy at a much lower price tag of $\$ 7$ million. Rather than replace the core of the system, the proposal is to modify the way the DRA processes information through the system(s) and add features that will allow for more user-friendly applications for the production of better information.

Simply stated the goal is to be able to extract data from the core system into a windows based environment so that it can be easily manipulated in concert with other databases. This new environment will allow for productivity measurement and better management which is absolutely critical to the Department in light of its revenue/source reductions.

## PROJECT SCOPE AND TIMING:

This project has been prepared by the DRA with assistance from the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) and the University of New Hampshire (UNH).

Scope: The proposed improvements to the Department of Revenue Administration data processing systems are comprised of four basic components: enhancements to the central processing system (s), addition of a graphics/statistical package, creation of a statewide GIS, and electronic remittance and deposit enhancements.

The proposed project, dubbed the "Granite to Green Program" because of the antiquity and inflexibility of the existing system (s), is proceeding through four (4) phases over a two-year period. The 4 phases are broken out into sixteen (16) separate projects impacting virtually every DRA division. With the help of DoIT and UNH work plans, timelines, and work assignments for each project have been adopted and build up to a master time table and budget for the entire project. The planning and equipment efforts undertaken in FY 2010 will be followed up in FY 2011/12 with hardware, software, implementation, development and training.

Chart A illustrates the "Granite to Green" initiatives, associated costs, and spending schedule.

Chart A


Central Processing Enhancements: Currently the system is comprised of four (4) components: forms, software, computer hardware and people. The project requires changes at all levels. The Department handled over 429,540 tax returns in FY 2010, of which over $80 \%$ were processed manually, involving eleven (11) separate taxes. Forms redesign, bar-coding and establishing a uniform taxpayer identification key that will allow for cross-referencing taxpayer information among different tax systems desperately needs to be either introduced or expanded where such applications exist.
> DRA implemented an intelligent forms application.
> DRA has added 1D bar codes to all forms for image recognition purposes.
> DRA has established a Single View of the Tax Payer application allowing the crossreferencing of taxpayer information across disparate tax systems.
> DRA is adding 2D bar codes to forms representing $80 \%$ of total forms received (December 2011).
> DRA implemented a data capture and forms processing application (December 2011).
Over $90 \%$ of the filings and tax returns received and processed by the Department have been prepared by "practitioners" e.g. (CPAs; tax lawyers; enrolled tax agents; accountants). Practitioners also rely on third party software companies for preparing their tax submissions. Unfortunately, because the State has numerous forms that have insufficient accompanying guidelines or instructions covering how to fill them out, there has been inconsistency in such filings. The introduction of "smart forms" that will allow work to be saved as well as the ability to continually file and pay are features that practitioners have been suggesting for several years. Programming the new forms formats into the system and allowing for electronic filing of all taxes is therefore also an integral part of the project.
$>$ DRA added save/restore functions to $100 \%$ of their forms.
$>$ DRA is perfecting forms representing $80 \%$ of total form volume (December 2011).
$>$ DRA is creating smart forms representing $80 \%$ of form volume received which, in addition to having save/restore capability, provide error/omission notification, perform generic math equations, guide the taxpayer, and are 2D bar code encoded for ease of data extraction.
$>$ These same forms are also e-file readied for implementation in TY 2012.
Additional processing capacity to accommodate the new applications outlined is necessary.
$>$ DRA updated their mainframe, network infrastructure, generator, HAZMAT, phone system including IVR and call center application, and various network and application servers.

Business Intelligence/Graphics/Statistical Capability: Perhaps the most frustrating management aspect of the current configuration is the difficulty in converting data captured in the system (s) into useful information in a timely fashion. The addition of a conventional statistical analysis capability will be invaluable for setting tax policy, selecting audit program protocols, and measuring their relative effectiveness. It would also ensure continuity and responsiveness within the legislative fiscal notes and policy research process.
$>$ DRA implemented a Business Intelligence application inclusive of graphic and statistical analysis applications.
$>$ DRA has implemented an audit case ware application scheduled to be fully operational in October 2012.
$>$ DRA implemented a locate and research tool for Collections, Audit, and returned mail processing.
$>$ DRA implemented a legal research tool for Legal, Hearings, and Legislative teams.
$>$ DRA is implementing a business process automation application for account maintenance due to be fully operational in May 2012.
$>$ DRA is implementing a business process automation initiative for the Collections department due to be fully operational in June 2012.

A Lawson interface capability in connection with Business Intelligence applications will also provide the cost accounting and performance measurement interfacing necessary to maintain DRA's effectiveness in establishing and meeting Departmental benchmarks.
$>$ DRA has acquired a data module system which has the ability to integrate DRA tax systems with the Lawson application. The Lawson interface is scheduled to be fully operational in September 2012.

Statewide GIS: The DRA is responsible for the accuracy, uniformity and fairness of local appraisal functions to ensure that local property taxes and the Statewide Property Tax are fairly applied. Similarly the Department is responsible for the appraisal of utility property and parcels relating to the State's interstate compacts. In this regard the DRA receives current and updated demographic and physical site information about virtually every parcel and real estate transaction in the State of New Hampshire. Using this information to construct a geographic base file that could form the basis for layering other data from state agencies would provide an invaluable resource not only for DRA but for local communities, state agencies and policy makers and the general public.

DRA will be able to streamline its appraisal efforts, ensure equalization accuracy, so important to maintaining the integrity of the Statewide Property Tax.
$>$ DRA has implemented the first sustainable state-wide GIS application in US History entitled Mosaic which is scheduled to be fully operational October 1, 2012.
$>$ DRA is also one of four state agencies selected to "pilot" new Lawson HR module and timekeeping system.

In addition, the Audit Division will be able to more accurately select samples for compliance and, along with central processing improvements mentioned above, the Collections Division would also be able to offer more focused and efficient tax collection programs. Reducing the incidence and the amount of delinquencies will contribute significantly to the State's cash flow.

Electronic Deposit: The introduction of modern software and equipment for processing tax receipts will result in tax revenue being deposited more efficiently than the current manual operation will allow. This will result in better interest earnings for the General Fund as well as introduce additional internal controls. Once in place these applications could be expanded to include other such resources.
> DRA's Electronic Remittance system which is scheduled to be fully operational in March 2012.

The time required currently to extract data from the system (s), whether it is for purposes of researching a taxpayer inquiry, auditing, policy research or preparing legislative fiscal notes, severely restricts productivity. The transformation of the current computer capability to a real tool, instead of an obstacle, that will increase DRA's productivity dramatically.
> DRA has implemented a BI application providing dashboards, reports, and statistical analysis.

Figure B


## IV PROPERTY TAX DEVELOPMENTS

## Low and Moderate Income Homeowners Property Tax Relief

The Education Property Tax Hardship Relief provisions were designed to lessen the economic burden of the education property tax on certain at-risk taxpayers.

Eligible claimants apply for relief to the New Hampshire Department of Revenue using Form DP-8. Claimants must include a copy of their final property tax bill and federal income tax return for the claim year and any additional documentation as required by law. Completed Forms DP-8 must be postmarked no earlier than May 1, and no later than June 30 following the due date of the final property tax bill.

In an effort to improve the processing of claims, the Department implemented a software application and an on-line claim status check. The software application allows the claims to be processed faster by implementation of several automated features such as pre-filled data entry fields for previous filers and the ability to generate request letters within the application, using the pre-filled information. The on-line claim status check allows applicants to log-in and view the status of their claims at any time.

The Department strives to process all claims within the time prescribed by the Legislature. Some claims processing may be delayed as a result of incomplete claims. The amount of claims filed and relief granted is directly tied to the State Education Property Tax rate. As the State Education Property Tax rate drops, so do the number of claims filed and the amount of relief granted. Forms are available at municipal offices and on our web site at www.revenue.nh.gov.

| Claim Year 2002 | 23,666 claims granted | $\$ 7.7$ million total relief paid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Claim Year 2003 | 27,208 claims granted | $\$ 7.5$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2004 | 25,059 claims granted | $\$ 4.9$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2005 | 22,381 claims granted | $\$ 3.9$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2006 | 19,570 claims granted | $\$ 3.3$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2007 | 18,579 claims granted | $\$ 3.1$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2008 | 17,600 claims granted | $\$ 3.1$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2009 | 16.066 claims granted | $\$ 3.1$ million total relief paid |
| Claim Year 2010 | 12,100 claims granted | $\$ 2.3$ million total relief paid |

## PROPERTY APPRAISAL

The Property Appraisal Division is divided into five basic areas of responsibility: assisting and supervising municipalities and appraisers in valuing property for property taxation; equalization of local assessed property values; administration of the state utility property tax; administration of timber and gravel taxes; and, the administration of the current use, equalization, and assessment standards boards. While carrying out these core functions, the Division also provides extensive technical support and education to municipal agents in the area of state and local property taxation. The Division also carries out an assessment review of approximately $1 / 5$ of municipalities each year.

## Assisting Municipalities with Assessing

For the 2010 tax year, the Division monitored 5 full revaluations, 3 partial revaluations, 127 cyclical review programs, and 76 statistical updates. In addition, the staff responsible for this monitoring provided technical assistance and information as requested from virtually every town or city.


The Property Appraisal Division has created a number of workshops for municipalities. The topics for these workshops are derived from input received from the monitoring staff as well as from municipal employees. Presentations are then made on a regional basis. Over the past year, 18 regional workshops were held covering a wide variety of assessing topics. In addition, Property Appraisal taught a 3-day educational seminar that comprised the second half of the new State Statutes course. The seminar was co-sponsored with the New Hampshire Association of Assessing Officials (NHAAO). The Property Appraisal Division also hosted the NHAAO Summer School for assessors.

## Equalization

The Equalization staff of the Property Appraisal Division computed ratios for 234 towns and cities, and 25 unincorporated jurisdictions for the 2010 tax year. The work was performed in accordance with the methods and procedures contained in an equalization manual that was reviewed, revised, and approved by the Equalization Standards Board. A single appeal was filed in 2010 challenging the calculated ratio. A mutually acceptable solution in that case was found prior to the appeal being heard.

The efficient equalization of the cities and towns is dependent on the number of transactions that occur on an annual basis. In many cases, the fewer sales the easier task it becomes. There is a point, however, at which a small number of sales creates a much larger task. That point is about 20 valid sales, as fewer than that number causes us to have to complete more detailed analysis of historical sales. The reduction in the number of transactions has resulted in a much higher number of towns that have less than 20 sales in the past few years.


In addition to the ratio study, the Equalization staff has been working closely with the Municipal Services Division, assisting in the review of all Summary Inventory MS-1 filings, as well as other administrative duties.

An effort has been ongoing to encourage municipalities to submit data for these ratio studies electronically. Electronic filings by municipalities mean less data entry, fewer errors, and faster results. For the 2010 tax year, 215 of the municipalities filed electronically.

The legacy equalization software we have been using for many years is in the process of being replaced. The University of New Hampshire Technology Transfer Center has been working most of the year on a new system that will be deployed for tax year 2012 equalization.

The complete 2010 equalization survey is attached to the back of this report on page 129.

## Appraisal of Railroads and Utility Properties

The Division is responsible for the valuation of railroads and utility properties for the statewide education tax. The entities appraised are 1 nuclear power plant, 10 electric companies, 8 gas companies, 8 "renewable energy" companies, 31 hydroelectric companies, 26 water and sewer companies, 12 railroads, and 43 private railcars. The combined valuation in 2010 was over $\$ 4.7$ billion, generating $\$ 31,269,891$ in tax revenue.


## Gravel and Timber Taxes

The staff processed 3,570 "Intent to Cut" forms for timber harvesting, and 769 "Intent to Excavate" forms for gravel extraction. To assist municipalities, the Property Appraisal Division continues to make available a Timber and Gravel Certification worksheet for their use. It provides an easy and accurate method to calculate the timber and gravel taxes due. Most of New Hampshire's municipalities are taking advantage of this program.

The Property Appraisal Division also makes use of a timber and gravel tax database to monitor the timber and gravel activities in each municipality. The database provides timber species and excavated material
totals that are initially planned for removal as well as the actual final totals when each operation is completed. Reports can be generated to give totals by municipality, county, and/or statewide totals. The timber information is provided to the Department of Resources and Economic Development. The information also summarizes the total volume cut by municipality as well as statewide.

## Administratively Attached Boards

The Property Appraisal Division supports three legislatively created boards that are administratively attached to the Department of Revenue Administration: the Current Use Board; the Assessing Standards Board; and the Equalization Standards Board. Personnel from the Property Appraisal Division provide administrative and technical support on the production of procedural manuals, preparation of agendas, allocating meeting room space, posting of public meeting dates, taking and transcribing minutes, providing various clerical services, and providing day-to-day answers to inquiries about each board's multiple functions and duties.

The assessment review process began in tax year 2003, following adoption of guidelines by the Assessing Standards Board. The process of assessment review is now entering the second full cycle in the 2008 tax year. The process is largely standardized, and a procedure has been put in place to allow municipalities to move forward the year of review to coincide with their five year statutorily required valuation anew. Results of assessment reviews are attached to the back of the report on page 179.

The Assessing Standards Board is responsible for establishing the educational requirements and experience for all assessing personnel working in the state. To ensure compliance, the Property Appraisal Division annually certifies and recertifies individuals who are permitted to perform assessing work. Currently, there are 367 certified individuals in NH. These include 105 building measurer and listers, 72 property assessor assistants, 60 property assessors and 130 property assessor supervisors. Of the certified individuals, 87 are due for recertification in 2011, 55 in 2012, 54 in 2013, 87 in 2014, 81 in 2015, and 47 in 2016. Over the past year, a new database was successfully installed to track status levels, required education and experience.

## Mosaic Parcel Layer Project

In addition to these statutory duties, the Division has also undertaken the development and implementation of a state-wide Mosaic Parcel Layer Project through a contract with the Technology Transfer Center at the University of New Hampshire. The project is developing a basic layer for a geographical information system (GIS) that will cover the entire state. This is an exciting project that puts New Hampshire on the leading edge in digitizing every parcel in the state. While this system will initially support the DRA in the development of a redundant equalization system, the ability to access this system will be available to municipalities and agencies within the State. GIS systems are powerful analytical and visual tools that will provide better decision making abilities at all levels of government.

The implementation in the first year was a great success, The following chart depicts the total number of assessing records and parcel depictions from tax maps collected by September 2010 and September 20, 2011. The total count of records collected in the 2010 build of the map was 570,335 CAMA records and 389,704 Parcels.


## MUNICIPAL SERVICES

Under RSA 21-J:24, the Municipal Services Division provides year-round technical assistance to towns, cities, and other political subdivisions to guide them in the areas of finance and taxation thereby providing an efficient, smooth road toward timely property tax rates. The Division administers an annual property tax assessment of over $\$ 3$ billion (see the chart below), calculates the state education tax rate, and issues a warrant to each municipality, authorizing them to assess and collect the tax. In addition, the local school rate, plus the locally assessed state education rate, county, town or city, and applicable village district rates are calculated by this Division. To establish tax rates in accordance with RSA 21J:35, the Municipal Services Division reviews the appropriations and revenues, financial reports, and budget documents for the State's 234 towns and cities, 25 unincorporated places, 148 single school districts, 33 regional school districts, 10 counties, and 91 village districts.

This year the Municipal Services Division continued to solidify working relationships not only with municipalities and associations, but also with the legislature by providing technical assistance on property tax legislation that could potentially affect the entire state.

Last year, the Division worked with the NH Society of CPA's, the legislature, and municipal organizations to ensure the passage of the law clarifying the requirement for municipal audits. The Division provided audit training this year and is working to ensure $100 \%$ compliance in this area.

Municipal Services presented and participated in 31 workshops for municipal auditors, tax collectors, village district officials, school officials, town and city officials, the Government Finance Officers Association, Association of School Business Officials, and the municipal section of the New Hampshire Bar Association.

The Division worked closely with the Tax Collectors Association Executive Board on tax collecting issues and also continued inspections of the state's tax collectors, in accordance with the statutory authority provided by RSA 41:39. They also monitored the tax collection process by examining the tax warrant and a sample bill of every town and city to ensure the correct tax rate was billed for both the semi-annual and final bills. Any errors discovered through this process were corrected by the municipalities.

The Division has been involved with the NH Public Deposit Investment Pool (PDIP) Advisory committee and worked on the sub-committee that reviewed the request for proposals leading to the selection of the service provider. This is the first time this undertaking has occurred since the first PDIP contract in 1993.

In preparation for the Department's on-going automation initiatives, the Division has been continuously rethinking its process flow, reviewing its procedures, and has been working with a municipal user group comprised of town and school officials to determine the most functional electronic reporting and tax rate distribution formats for the future.


## DOCUMENT PROCESSING

The mission of the Document Processing Division is to receive, process and manage all tax documents and electronic transactions filed with the Department in a consistent, accurate and timely manner and to provide services to customers in a courteous and professional manner.

As of July 1, 2011, Document Processing is divided into two operating units:

1. The Deposit Group is responsible for opening and sorting all mail received by the Department; depositing and reconciling all funds collected by the Department and records management of all tax forms received.
2. The Data Validation Group is responsible for data entry and imaging of tax documents, address and error corrections, and follow-up on missing document requests.

During this fiscal year, the Division lost a significant percentage of its staff due to layoffs and attrition. In addition, funding for temporary data entry staff was surrendered to reach the mandated budget cuts.

The Division has been working diligently this past Fiscal Year to prepare for its new computer and automation systems. The Division anticipates that the first phase of automation will be operational for the Tax Year 2011 filing period.

## CENTRAL TAX SERVICES INFORMAL RESOLUTION GROUP CASES

## \# OF CASES CLOSED

| FYE 6/30/2010 FYE 6/30/2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,164 2,942 |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY 20 |  | FY 20 |  |
| REASONS FOR RESOLUTION INFORMATIONAL REQUEST | \# CASES | \% | \# CASES | \% |
| REQUEST ABATEMENT OF INTEREST AND PENALTIES | 1,087 | 34.36 | 822 | 27.94 |
| TAXPAYER REQUESTING AN EXPLANATION OF ACCOUNT | 918 | 29.01 | 802 | 27.26 |
| TAXPAYER REQUEST TO TRANSFER MONIES | 273 | 8.63 | 268 | 9.11 |
| REQUEST BY TAXPAYER TO REISSUE CHECK | 189 | 5.97 | 225 | 7.65 |
| TAXPAYER REQUEST TO PROCESS RETURN AS FILED | 153 | 4.84 | 180 | 6.12 |
| TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER DIVISION |  |  |  |  |
| - REQUEST TO DP IN ERROR | 80 | 2.53 | 100 | 3.40 |
| STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS HAS LAPSED | 78 | 2.47 | 110 | 3.74 |
| REQUEST CORRECTION OF OUR RECORDS | 90 | 2.84 | 102 | 3.47 |
| PROTESTING THE DENIAL OF AN AMENDED RETURN | 35 | 1.11 | 0 | 0.00 |
| TAXPAYER REQUEST FOR PROPER PROCESSING | 58 | 1.83 | 101 | 3.43 |
| REQUESTED CHECK TRACE BY TAXPAYER | 37 | 1.17 | 35 | 1.19 |
| REQUEST FOR WAIVING PENALTIES PROSPECTIVELY | 15 | 0.47 | 19 | 0.65 |
| REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO FILE |  |  |  |  |
| - BEYOND EXTENTION PERIOD | 1 | 0.03 | 5 | 0.17 |
| TAXPAYER PROTESTING NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT | 9 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.00 |
| REQUESTING ABATEMENT PROTESTED CHECK FEE | 6 | 0.19 | 2 | 0.07 |
| OTHER | 135 | 4.27 | 171 | 5.81 |
|  | 3,164 1 | 00.00 | 2,942 10 | 100.00 |

Through June 30, 2011, the Resolution Group of the Document Processing Division performed these functions. After June 30, 2011, Resolution Group functions are handled by the Central Tax Services Unit which is also responsible for all taxpayer account maintenance functions and taxpayer inquiries.

## AUDIT ACTIVITY

When voluntary compliance efforts fail to produce the desired results, enforcement through audit and collection efforts must be pursued. The Department's Audit Division and Collections Division worked diligently to ascertain and pursue those with a tax obligation who failed to file or pay as required by law. While most taxpayers voluntarily file and pay the proper amount of taxes when due, those who do not create an unfair burden on the public, resulting in inequities, which must be remedied.

Audit Division has seen significant changes in fiscal year 2011. At the beginning of the fiscal year, the Audit Division comprised four bureaus; Multi-State, In-State, Nexus, and Audit Support Group (ASG). The Division had 66 budgeted positions, of which 58 were filled. During the year it absorbed the Discovery Bureau and five of its employees.

By the end of the fiscal year, however, budget cuts required a major restructuring of operations throughout the Department. Priorities were re-evaluated and human resources were reallocated.

ASG and a number of Tax Auditor Is and IIs have merged with Document Processing's Resolution Group and Maintenance Group and the former Centralized Taxpayer Services Unit to form a more streamlined and efficient Central Tax Services Unit. This unit comprises 21 employees and is responsible for the maintenance of taxpayer accounts, resolution of issues concerning taxpayer accounts, and responding to taxpayer inquiries through the Department's new VoIP system.

Audit Division, as newly restructured, is staffed by 30 employees: a director and assistant director, 26 auditors and two shared computer programmers. The Division is responsible for tax compliance activities affecting the 2,000 multi-state and multi-national companies and the multitude of regional companies that do business in New Hampshire, as well as, compliance activities affecting New Hampshire residents and businesses.

Auditors conduct both desk and field audits depending on the nature and scope of the audit and the proficiency of the auditor. They handle all tax types, but primarily Business Profits, Business Enterprise, Real Estate Transfer, Interest and Dividends, Meals and Rooms, and Medicaid Enhancement. Nexus and Discovery activities have been absorbed into general audit activity. The Division is also responsible for the Voluntary Disclosure Program.

The Division assessed a total of $\$ 52,140,960$ in tax, penalties and interest against 2,169 taxpayers during the fiscal year. Of those taxpayers, 640 received assessments as a result of simple single issue adjustments, 272 received assessments as a result of federal audit adjustments affecting state tax liabilities, 296 were new taxpayers brought onto the tax rolls through Nexus activity, 835 received assessments as a result of more complex In-State desk and field audits, and 126 combined groups received assessments as a result of Multi-State audit activity.

Taxpayers paid $\$ 46,097,572$ into the general fund as a result of Audit Division activity in fiscal year 2011. Of that total, $\$ 28,509,254$ is attributable to Multi-State activity, $\$ 654,979$ is attributable to Nexus activity, $\$ 2,724,941$ is attributable to simple In-State adjustments and desk reviews, $\$ 8,176,636$ is attributable to complex In -State desk reviews and field audits, and $\$ 6,031,762$ is attributable to federal adjustments affecting state tax liabilities.

Audit Division revenues derived from a variety of tax types in fiscal year 2011. Taxpayers paid $\$ 921,512$ in Interest and Dividends Tax, \$41,031,689 in BET/BPT, \$2,800,296 in Communications Services Tax, $\$ 748,105$ in Real Estate Transfer Tax, $\$ 163,446$ in Meals and Rentals Tax and $\$ 191,855$ in Tobacco Tax as a direct result of Audit activity.

In the first third of fiscal year 2012, the Division is experiencing the ramifications of down-sizing, but is enhancing accountabilities and efficiencies. Revenues for the Division through November 15, 2011 are in excess of $\$ 14,000,000$, but are anticipated to end the fiscal year significantly below the 2011 fiscal year.

When voluntary compliance efforts fail to produce the desired results, enforcement through audit and collection efforts must be pursued. The Department's Audit Division and Collections Division worked diligently to ascertain and pursue those with a tax obligation who failed to file or pay as required by law. While most taxpayers voluntarily file and pay the proper amount of taxes when due, those who do not create an unfair burden on the public, resulting in inequities, which must be remedied.

## COLLECTION ACTIVITY

The Collection Division is tasked with the collection of all overdue tax notices issued by the Department of Revenue Administration. The Division also promotes compliance with an understanding of the various tax codes with taxpayers, meals \& rooms licensees and tobacco wholesalers and retailers through our work in the field.

During July, two compliance officers retired representing $20 \%$ of our field officers. The Division continued to reorganize its work flow by planning more time for telephone communications and changing processes from field operations to office based procedures. Communication with taxpayers and meals \& rentals operators increased significantly with 6,929 contacts initiated between January and June. Although the Division experienced a third retirement in March, the year ended with more tax notices closed than received. Staff adjusted to the updated processes with increased output and a new focus taxpayer contacts.

The Division continued to conduct compliance operations, performing tobacco stamp checks at retailers, recording-keeping checks at meals \& rentals licensees and facilitating new meals and rentals license applications.

Our main focus was delinquent tax collections as many New Hampshire taxpayers were challenged by the economy's impact on their cash flow and required an arrangement to comply with their tax obligation. Compliance officers took the initiative to improve their skills at assessing an appropriate payment plan for individual taxpayers, frequently requesting documentation of recent cash flow activity and liquid assets to aid a successful negotiation. Increased compliance with payment arrangements led to improved close rates.

Tax liens allowed the Division to secure tax accounts that were not satisfied in 90 days. Other tools include pre-hearings, suspensions and revocation of meals and rentals licenses. During fiscal year 2011, the Division scheduled 98 suspension pre-hearings with operators, 6 suspension hearings and 3 revocation hearings. Pre-hearings provide the operator and compliance officer an opportunity to sit down with the Director and find a path to compliance without the formal proceedings of a suspension process. This saves the operator and the state the expense of conducting a formal hearing. Only when the operator chronically did not comply with an agreement, was a formal hearing scheduled.

TAX NOTICES RECEIVED BY COLLECTIONS DIVISION COMPARED TO TAX NOTICES CLOSED BY COLLECTIONS DIVISION PAST FIVE YEARS



- MISC TAX
- M\&R TAX
-BS/IDTAX

Other statistics:

| Abatement of penalties and uncollectible balances processed: | 3,457 | $40 \%$ increase |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average Number of delinquent tax notices assigned to CO | : | 459 |
| Tobacco stamps sold | $122,224,800$ | $5 \%$ decrease |
| New Meals and Rentals Licenses Issued | 571 |  |
| Total Meals and Rentals Licensees Active during FY 2011 | 8,950 |  |

1) Only Tax Notices above $\$ 499$ assigned to CO-II staff

## VII LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS

## Office of Revenue Counsel

The Office of Revenue Counsel provides legal advice and representation to the Department, serving as its general legal counsel. Revenue Counsel also coordinates the representation provided to the Department by the Department of Justice, provides assistance, and acts as co-counsel in certain cases. Revenue Counsel performs a wide range of other services which include: rendering advice and legal opinions to Department personnel and other governmental officials; managing bankruptcy cases; reviewing and analyzing proposed and pending legislation; drafting and coordinating the adoption of administrative rules by the Commissioner and administratively attached boards; assisting with Department informational publications; handling Right-to-Know Law requests; and providing assistance and advice in connection with audits, hearings before the Department's Hearings Bureau, and other stages of the enforcement and administration of tax laws.

During this fiscal year, the Department's attorneys spent a portion of their time defending civil cases filed in administrative appeal tribunals and state courts, concerning appeals of tax assessments and challenges to state taxing statutes. In addition, the Department's attorneys handled a substantial caseload involving a variety of legal issues affecting the Department, requiring work at all levels of the court system and administrative appeals process, including bankruptcy cases in which the Department is a creditor. Often, the cases managed by the Office of Revenue Counsel have a substantial potential fiscal impact or significant precedential value. A few of these cases are discussed below by topic.

## SIGNIFICANT CASES FOR FY2011

## 1. Court Decisions During FY 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE: International Leather Goods, LLC v. Department of Revenue Administration, Board of Tax and Land Appeals Docket No. 24728-10BP. The Petitioner brought this action to appeal a Final Order, dismissing its adjudicative proceeding because it failed to appear at the scheduled hearing before the Department's Hearings Bureau. The Department moved for summary judgment, asserting that the Petitioner's appeal should be dismissed because the Petitioner failed to appear at a duly scheduled hearing in violation of the Department's rule requiring such an appearance. The Petitioner objected, claiming that there were disputed facts and that it was entitled to proceed with the appeal on the substantive tax issues, despite its admitted failure to appear at the hearing before the Department's Hearings Bureau, because appeals to the BTLA are "de novo," pursuant to RSA 21-J:28-b, IV. On August 27, 2010, the BTLA granted the Department's motion and dismissed the appeal, finding that there were no material issues of genuine fact, as the Petitioner admitted that it did not attend the hearing, that the right to a "de novo" appeal does not mean that the Petitioner was free to decide whether or not to comply with the Department's rules and, finally, that the Petitioner must exhaust its administrative remedies, which includes attending the Department hearing prior to appealing the substantive tax issues.

INTEREST \& DIVIDENDS TAX: Lyme Timber Co. v. NH Dept. of Revenue Administration and Lyme Timber Co. NH Ltd Partners v. NH Dept of Revenue Administration, Supreme Court Docket No. 2010-0399. These are interrelated cases concerning whether the Interest and Dividends Tax should be imposed on the entity or the interest holders of the entity. The issue is whether the interest holder possessed transferable shares in the entity. Cross partial motions for summary judgment were filed. On May 13, 2010, the Superior Court granted the Petitioners' partial motion for summary judgment and denied the Department's partial motion for summary judgment. The DRA appealed the Superior Court's decision to the New Hampshire Supreme Court. On May 26, 2011, the Supreme Court issued an opinion, reversing the Superior Court's decision in favor of the Department, finding that the interest holders possessed transferable shares based on the language in the partnership agreement, and remanded the case back to Superior Court for further proceedings on the issue of penalties.

REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX: 68 Technology Drive, LLC v. State of New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration, Superior Court Docket No. 09-E-0450. The Petitioner challenged an assessment of Real Estate Transfer Tax for property transferred to it. The parties filed cross motions for summary judgment. The Superior Court issued an order in favor of the Petitioner, rescinding the tax assessment. The Department moved for reconsideration of the Superior Court's Order, which was denied.

REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX: First Berkshire Business Trust, et al. v. G. Philip Blatsos, Commissioner et al, Supreme Court Docket No. 2009-850. The Petitioners challenged an assessment of Real Estate Transfer Tax for properties transferred to limited liability companies. The parties filed cross motions for summary judgment. The Superior Court issued an order, upholding the Department's assessment of Real Estate Transfer Tax plus interest, but reversed the Department's assessment of penalties. The Petitioners filed a Motion for Reconsideration and the Department objected. The Superior Court denied the Petitioners' motion, and an appeal to the New Hampshire Supreme Court was filed by the Petitioners. On November 24, 2010, the Supreme Court issued an opinion, affirming the Superior Court's order and upholding the Department's assessment of Real Estate Transfer Tax plus interest because the transactions at issue constituted "bargained-for-exchanges" since they involved the exchange of "money, or other property and services, or property or services valued in money" for an interest in the subject property, pursuant to RSA 78-B:1-a, II, IV.
2. Other Cases Pending During FY 2011

BUSINESS PROFITS TAX: Capital One Auto Finance, Inc. V. Kevin A. Clougherty, Commissioner of the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration, Superior Court Docket No. 217-2010-CV-00708. The Petitioner filed an appeal, challenging the Department's assessment that increased the New Hampshire sales factor numerator due to the Petitioner's failure to include substantial receipts and claiming that it is not required to include such receipts as it was not conducting "business activity" related to such receipts in New Hampshire.

BUSINESS PROFITS TAX: Woodland Management Associates, LLC v. NH Dept of Revenue Administration, Grafton County Superior Court Docket No. 09-E-0032. This matter is related to the Lyme Timber cases, discussed above in Section 1. Woodland Management Associates, LLC is the general partner of the Lyme Timber Company and this matter concerns the effect of options on gross business profits and compensation paid to the members of the LLC who are also limited partners of Lyme Timber Company.

GAMBLING TAX: Leighton, et al. v. State of New Hampshire, Merrimack Superior Court Docket No. 217-2010-CV-0300. The Petitioners filed a Petition for Declaratory Judgment, Permanent Injunction, Class Action Certification and Tax Refunds, challenging the constitutionality of the Gambling Tax (RSA Chapter 77). The parties have filed cross motions for summary judgment.

INTEREST \& DIVIDENDS TAX: Wennin, LLC, et al. V. State of NH, Department of Revenue Administration, Northern District of Hillsborough County Superior Court; Docket Number 10-E0048. The Petitioners filed a Petition for Declaratory Judgment and Request for Class Action Certification challenging the constitutionality of the amendments to the Interest and Dividends Tax (RSA Chapter 77), relating to distributions from limited liability companies, partnerships, and associations. On February 25, 2011, the Petitioners moved to voluntarily non-suit the case, which the Superior Court granted.

REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX: Say Pease IV, LLC, et al. v. New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration, Superior Court Docket No. 09-E-0330. The Petitioners challenged assessments of Real Estate Transfer Tax for property transferred between them. The parties filed cross motions for summary judgment. The Superior Court issued an order in favor of the Petitioner, finding that the transfer was not a "contractual transfer" and not taxable pursuant to RSA Chapter 78-B. The Department moved for reconsideration of the Superior Court's Order, which was denied. The Department has appealed the Superior Court's Order to the New Hampshire Supreme Court, which is pending as Docket No. 2011-0174.

## Administrative Rulemaking FYE 6/30/11

| DOC Number | Rule Number and Content | Effective Date | Expiration Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9825-A$ | Rev 201.01(d) (Definition of Department) | $11 / 25 / 2010$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $9825-B$ |  <br> 207.15 (Procedural Rules; General <br> Information and Definitions; Specific | $11 / 25 / 2010$ | $11 / 25 / 2018$ |
| 9826 | Rules of Practice and Procedure) <br> (Rev 1904.01, 1904.02, 1904.03, 1907 | $11 / 25 / 2010$ | $11 / 25 / 2018$ |
| 9843 | Rev 901, 902, 903 (various sections - <br> Interest \& Dividends Tax) | $12 / 23 / 2010$ | $12 / 23 / 2018$ |
| 9841 | Rev 1400 (Utility Property Tax) | $12 / 23 / 2010$ | $12 / 23 / 2018$ |
| 9913 | Rev 2300 (Medicaid Enhancement Tax) | $4 / 21 / 2011$ | $4 / 21 / 2019$ |
| 9926 | Rev 500 (Excavation Tax) | $5 / 17 / 2011$ | $5 / 18 / 2019$ |
| 9930 | Rev 2904.05 (Electronic Signatures) | $5 / 26 / 2011$ | $5 / 26 / 2019$ |
| 9946 | Rev 1200 (Low and Moderate Income <br> Homeowners Property Tax Relief) | $6 / 23 / 2011$ | $6 / 23 / 2019$ |

## Technical Information Releases Issued FYE 6/30/11

| TIR Number | Description | Date Issued |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2010-008$ | New Interest Rates Set | $07 / 01 / 10$ |
| $2010-009$ | Like-Kind Exchanges | $08 / 03 / 10$ |
| $2010-010$ | $2010-2011$ Tax Form Changes | $11 / 15 / 10$ |
| $2010-011$ | Reasonable Compensation Changes | $12 / 06 / 10$ |
| $2010-012$ | Tax Year 2010 Clarifications | $12 / 06 / 10$ |
| $2011-001$ | Repeal of the NH Gambling Winnings Tax | $05 / 18 / 11$ |

## Summary of Adjudicative Proceedings

During FY 2011, the Hearings Bureau continued to increase efficiency to provide better service to the taxpayer given the reduction in staffing levels. We have continued to review administrative tasks and work flow to ensure that our processes are as lean and efficient as possible to maximize our productivity. After review, we have changed policies and procedures to reduce the administrative time needed to process appeals and maintain records if possible.

Toward the beginning of FY 2011, the Hearings Bureau once again revised its scheduling policy due to an increase in the number of cases being heard. As cases involving the Collections Division have a high percentage of taxpayers who fail to appear, those hearings are now scheduled so that five hearings are held on one day, two in the morning and three in the afternoon. Also, as cases involving the Document Processing Division are less complicated, those hearings are now scheduled so that three hearings are held on one day, one in the morning and two in the afternoon. Audit Division cases, which are generally more complex, continue to be scheduled one hearing per day, unless it is clear that from the pleadings that it is a very simple issue. This policy was initiated to attempt to reduce the amount of time that the taxpayers must wait for a hearing.

In FY 2011, the time required to produce a Final Order after the close of the record decreased to an average of 19.09 days; as compared to 54.8 days in FY 2010. There was, however, one complex case in FY 2011, in which the Final Order was issued more than six months after the close of the record. Removing that case from the equation, the average number of days to produce a Final Order after the close of the record was 15.39 days. Of the 91 Final Orders issued in FY 2011, 6 were produced after the 60 -day scheduled production time frame. Although it may appear that the time to produce an order was significantly decreased and that production of final orders has increased significantly, these numbers are affected by the increased number of cases dismissed by a final order for the taxpayer's failure to appear. Final orders dismissing a case for failure to appear are generally issued on the same day or the day after the hearing.

The Hearings Bureau's goal for FY 2011 continues to maintain an appeal process which provides a fair and efficient system that ensures the highest degree of confidence in the integrity of this system. The Hearings Bureau also strives to maintain a high level of timely performance. This includes continuing to streamline administrative processes in order to allow us to handle the increase in the number of appeals at our current level of resources. It also includes increased efforts to prepare Final Orders within 60 days after the close of the record in each case.

The following statistics summarize the activity of the Hearings Bureau during the past fiscal year as compared to the prior fiscal year:

|  | FY '10 | FY '11 | \% Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Appeals Filed | 362 | 318 | $(12.15 \%)$ |
| Cases Closed | 255 | 324 | $27.06 \%$ |
| Cases on appeal to Superior Court, BTLA, or Supreme Court | 14 | 15 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Final Orders Issued | 53 | 91 | $71.70 \%$ |
| Cases open as of 6/30 | 238 | 232 | $(2.52 \%)$ |
| Final Orders Issued for the period of 7/1/2010-6/30/2011 |  |  |  |
| Business Tax | 36 | 33 | $(8.33 \%)$ |
| Interest \& Dividends Tax | 4 | 10 | $150.00 \%$ |
| Meals \& Rentals Tax | 9 | 44 | $388.89 \%$ |
| Real Estate Transfer Tax | 6 | 3 | $(50.00 \%)$ |
| Tobacco Tax | 0 | 2 | $* * *^{1}$ |
| Utility Property Tax | 1 | 0 | $(100.00 \%)$ |
| Total Orders Issued | $53^{2}$ | $91^{3}$ | $64.29 \%$ |

[^0]
## VIII SUMMARY OF TAXES

This is only a brief summary of certain New Hampshire taxes administered by the Department of Revenue Administration. This summary is not intended to be relied upon as a full and complete text. Please refer to the applicable forms, instructions, rules and to the laws cited below to determine how this information applies to specific persons or situations.

## BUSINESS PROFITS TAX - RSA CHAPTER 77-A

The Business Profits Tax (BPT) has historically been one of the largest sources of general fund revenue. Enacted in 1970 as a replacement for the municipal property tax on stock-in-trade, farm livestock, poultry, fuel pumps, mills and machinery, the initial rate was established at $6 \%$. The rate steadily increased to a high of $9.56 \%$ in Fiscal Year (FY) 1983. The rate then decreased to $7 \%$ beginning FY 1995, then increased to $8 \%$ for tax years ending on or after $7 / 1 / 99$. The current rate of $8.5 \%$ was effective for all returns and taxes due on account of taxable periods ending on or after July 1, 2001. This tax is assessed on income from conducting business activity within the state. For multi-state businesses, income is apportioned using a weighted sales factor of two (2) and the standard payroll and property factors. Organizations operating a unitary business must use combined reporting in filing their NH return. Four annual estimate payments are required on liabilities greater than $\$ 200$, paid at $25 \%$ each. Proprietorship, partnership and fiduciary returns are due on April 15th or the 15th day of the 4th month following the end of the taxable year. Corporate returns are due on March 15th or the 15th day of the 3rd month following the end of the taxable year. Business organizations with $\$ 50,000$ or less of gross business income from all their activities are not required to file a return. Business organizations, however, that realize a gain or loss on the sale or exchange of an interest in the business organization shall file a return for the taxable period, regardless of whether or not the business organization's gross business income is in excess of $\$ 50,000$ during the taxable period.

## BUSINESS ENTERPRISE TAX - RSA CHAPTER 77-E

In 1993, a $0.25 \%$ (.0025) tax was enacted on taxable enterprise value tax base, which is the sum of all compensation paid or accrued, interest paid or accrued, and dividends paid by the business enterprise after special adjustments and apportionment. Chapter 17, Laws of 1999 increased the rate from $0.25 \%$ to $0.5 \%$. The rate was increased to $0.75 \%$ in 2001. Also in 2001, the filing threshold increased to $\$ 150,000$ (from $\$ 100,000$ ) of gross business receipts or $\$ 75,000$ (from $\$ 50,000$ ) of the enterprise value tax base. Four annual estimate payments are required on liabilities greater than $\$ 200$, paid at $25 \%$ each on the 15th day of the 4th, 6th, 9th and 12th months of the taxable year. The Business Enterprise Tax return is due at the same time the Business Profits Tax return is due. The Business Enterprise Tax may be used as a credit against the Business Profits Tax under RSA 77-A:5. Any unused portion of the credit may be carried forward and allowed against the Business Profits Tax for five (5) taxable periods from the taxable period in which the Business Enterprise Tax was paid.

## COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES TAX - RSA CHAPTER 82-A

First enacted in 1990 at $5.5 \%$, this tax was assessed upon two-way communications services. Chapter 158, Laws of 2001, increased the permanent portion of the tax to $4.5 \%$ and continued the surtax of $2.5 \%$, resulting in an overall tax rate of $7 \%$ for the period beginning July 1, 2001 and ending June 30, 2003. A permanent rate of $7 \%$ was passed effective July 1, 2003. Retailers must register with the Department and are required to collect and remit the tax. Communications Services Tax returns must be filed by the provider on the 15th day of the month for the preceding calendar month unless the average monthly liability is less than $\$ 100$. Any provider/retailer who can reasonably estimate its average monthly liability to be in excess of $\$ 10,000$ is required to make an estimated payment equal to $90 \%$ of the actual tax collected. This payment is due on or before the 15th day of the month during which the liability is incurred.

## EDUCATION PROPERTY TAX - RSA 76:3

Under Chapter 17, Laws of 1999, the Education Property Tax was established. The tax is assessed and collected by municipalities to be retained for use by their local school districts. The rate was originally $\$ 6.60$ per thousand of equalized valuation without utilities. The tax appears on the same bill with the other property taxes administered at the local level. The effective date of this tax was April 1, 1999. Chapter 158, Laws of 2001 reduced the rate from $\$ 6.60$ per $\$ 1,000$ of value to $\$ 4.92$ per $\$ 1,000$ of value
beginning with the April 1, 2003 tax year (school year 7/1/03-6/30/04). The sunset provision of the Education Property Tax was repealed, making the tax a permanent revenue source dedicated to funding education. As of April 1, 2005, the rate was $\$ 3.33$ per $\$ 1,000$. Beginning in July of 2005, and every fiscal year thereafter, the Commissioner is required to set the tax rate at a level sufficient to generate revenue of $\$ 363,000,000$.

## ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION TAX - RSA CHAPTER 83-E

Effective May 1, 2001, the Electricity Consumption Tax is imposed at a rate of $\$ .00055$ per kilowatt hour on persons, including government units, distributing, redistributing or transmitting electrical energy for consumption in this state. The tax is paid by the consumer, collected and submitted by distribution companies, except in the case of consumers who generate their own power. These self-generating companies or individuals must submit tax returns on their own behalf. Returns (Form DP-133) must be filed on the 15th day of the second month following the month in which the electrical energy was provided to a consumer and for which tax is due.

## GAMBLING WINNINGS TAX - RSA 77:38 through RSA 77:50

In 2011, House Bill 229 (Chapter 47, Laws of 2011) repealed the 10\% New Hampshire Gambling Winnings Tax set forth in RSA 77:38 through RSA 77:50. The repeal is effective for gambling winnings received on or after May 23, 2011. Therefore, any gambling winnings taxable under RSA 77:38 through 77:50 and received before May 23, 2011 are still taxable and are required to be reported and paid on or before April 15, 2012.

New Hampshire's Gambling Winnings Tax was a 10\% tax on any and all gambling winnings, except gambling winnings which were received and used by any educational, religious, charitable, or temperance organization incorporated or organized in this state, for the purposes for which it is established; or gambling winnings won prior to January 1, 1999 and distributed in annuity payments. RSA 77:38, III defines "gambling winnings" as winnings from lotteries and games of chance including, but not limited to bingo, slot machines, keno, poker tournaments, and any other gambling winnings subject to federal income tax withholding. New Hampshire residents must report gambling winnings received from any source, whether from a New Hampshire entity or an entity outside the state. Residents of other states and residents of other countries must report gambling winnings received from a New Hampshire entity. Gambling winnings include all proceeds, in money or the fair market value of property, received during that calendar year. Taxpayers must file a Gambling Winnings Tax return and pay tax due on or before April 15th following the expiration of the tax year in which any gambling winnings are received.

The 2011 repeal of the Gambling Tax was not retroactively applied and, therefore, those taxpayers who reported and paid New Hampshire Gambling Winnings Tax for gambling winnings received between July 1, 2009 and December 31, 2010 are not entitled to a refund based upon the repeal. Taxable gambling winnings received between January 1, 2011 and May 22, 2011 must be reported and the tax due paid in April of 2012.

## INTEREST \& DIVIDENDS TAX - RSA CHAPTER 77

The Interest \& Dividends (I\&D) Tax, which was first enacted in 1923, applied a tax based on the "average rate of property taxation" upon the income and dividend income received by NH residents from sources other than NH and Vermont banks. The tax was changed significantly in FY 1995 to eliminate the exemption on income from NH and Vermont banks. In conjunction with this change, the personal exemption was increased from $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 2,400$. The exemption for joint filers increased from $\$ 2,400$ to $\$ 4,800$. Chapter 144, Laws of 2009 changed the law to make all distributions from limited liability companies, partnerships and associations subject to the I\&D Tax to the same extent that distributions from corporations were subject to the tax. In 2010, Chapter 1:50-54, Laws of 2010 repealed Chapter 144, Laws of 2009. The repeal is effective for taxable periods ending on or after December 31, 2010. Estimated tax payments are due April $15^{\text {th }}$, June $15^{\text {th }}$, September $15^{\text {th }}$ and January $15^{\text {th }}$ on tax liabilities greater than $\$ 500$. The I\&D Tax return is due on April 15th. Additional exemptions are available for residents who are 65 years of age, who are blind, and who are handicapped and unable to work, provided they have not reached their 65th birthday. The current tax rate of $5 \%$ has remained the same since FY 1977.

## LOCAL PROPERTY TAX - RSA CHAPTER 76

Property taxes, based upon local assessed valuations, are administered and collected by the individual cities and towns. The majority of property taxes collected are based on the market value of the property at its highest and best use. Some property taxes, however, are based on the market value of a property's actual use, such as:

- Current Use assessments under RSA chapter 79-A are available for certain Farm Land, Forrest Land, and Unproductive Land. The program is for the properties dedicated to remain as open space. A Land Use Change tax of $10 \%$ of the market value of the property is charged upon the occurrence of a disqualifying event (usually a development, use or sale of a portion of a property). Typically ten acres or more of dedicated land is required. Application to local officials on or before April $15^{\text {th }}$ is required.
- Taxes on the value of standing timber are assessed under RSA chapter 79. These are assessed by local officials, at a rate of $10 \%$ of the value of the standing timber. The annual filing of an intent to cut and the subsequent report of timber cut are required.
- Taxes on the value of gravel and excavated materials are assessed under RSA chapter 72-B. These are assessed at a rate of at the rate of $\$ .02$ per cubic yard of earth excavated.


## Local Property tax exemptions, credits and deferrals:

Elderly Exemption: Effective July 23, 1996, RSA 72:39-a replaced the Standard, Expanded, Adjusted and Optional Adjusted Elderly Exemptions. The statute sets the minimum exemptions, and the cities and towns may modify them. The statutory exemption levels for all municipalities are adopted by each individual municipality.
o Amount of exemption from value of residential real estate: Ages 65-74; 75-79; and 80+
o Net Income Limits, including Social Security Income or pension payment Net Asset Limits

## Blind Exemption - RSA 72:37

The exemption for the legally blind must be adopted by the municipality. The exemption is a minimum $\$ 15,000$ reduction of the assessed value of the residential real estate (RSA 72:29) and could be higher if amended through referendum vote.

## Deferral for the Elderly or Disabled - RSA 72:38-a

The assessing officials "... may annually grant a person qualified under this paragraph a tax deferral..." Property taxes are deferred and accrue interest at the rate of $5 \%$ per annum. The deferred property tax may not exceed more than $85 \%$ of the equity value of the residence. If granted by the assessing officials, the deferral is available to any resident property owner who is 65 years or older, or eligible for benefits for the totally and permanently disabled under the federal social security act, has owned the home for at least five years, and is currently residing there.

## Veterans Tax Credit - RSA 72:28

Qualifying residents shall receive the following amounts deducted from their tax bills:
o \$ 50:Basic credit available to all veterans.
o \$700:For the surviving spouse of a veteran, pursuant to RSA 72:29-a, and veterans having a total and permanent service-connected disability pursuant to RSA 72:35

Towns may adopt a local option to increase the above dollar amounts to \$100 and \$1,400.
Disability Exemption - Residents who qualify under RSA 72:36-a as totally and permanently disabled from service connection, and is paraplegic or having double amputation from military service connected disability or blind ( $5 / 200$ vision or less) as a result of service connection, and owning a specially adapted home acquired with V.A. assistance are exempt from all taxation on that home.

## Tax Exemption for Improvements to Assist Persons with Disabilities - RSA 72:37-a

This exemption is limited to a physically handicapped person who resides at the residential real estate, and is applicable only upon the value of any special aids required by the resident to enable them to propel themselves.

Tax Exemption for Wind-Powered, Solar and Wood Heating Energy System - RSAs 72:66, 72:62, 72:70

These exemptions are optional to the cities and towns, and must be voted upon locally. If further information is required, contact the assessing officials of the municipality.

## Tax Exemption for the Disabled - RSA 72:37-b

Available to persons eligible under the Social Security Act for benefits to the Totally \& Permanently Disabled. Applicable only on the applicant's principal place of abode. The exemption is optional and must be voted on by the municipality, which also determines the amount of the exemption and the income/asset limitations.

## MEALS \& RENTALS TAX - RSA CHAPTER 78-A

The Meals and Rentals (M\&R) Tax was enacted in 1967 at a rate of $5 \%$. The tax is assessed upon patrons of hotels and restaurants, on certain rentals, and upon meals costing $\$ .36$ or more. Chapter 144, Laws of 2009, increased the rate from $8 \%$ to the current rate of $9 \%$ and added campsites to the definition of hotel. In 2010, campsites were removed from the definition of hotel, Chapter 6, Laws of 2010. An M\&R Tax Operators License is required. An Operator is required to maintain books and records showing the amount of tax collected and to remit the tax monthly to the State, less a 3\% commission. The M\&R Tax is required to be remitted to the State on the 15th day of the month following the collection of tax.

## MEDICAID ENHANCEMENT TAX - RSA CHAPTER 84-A

The Medicaid Enhancement Tax (MET) was enacted in 1991 at a rate of $8 \%$ of gross patient services revenue of every hospital. In 1993 the rate was changed to be established by legislation each biennium upon the gross patient services revenue of every hospital for the hospital's fiscal year ending during the first full calendar year preceding the taxable period. If the Legislature failed to set the rate of tax on or before April 1, preceding the first fiscal year of the biennium, the tax rate was to be zero for that biennium. In no event was the rate of the tax be greater than 6 percent for any biennium. In 1998, the law was amended to require the Legislature to set the tax rate on or before May 1. In 2003, the tax rate was changed to $6 \%$ of gross patient services revenue. In 2004, the $6 \%$ tax on gross patient services revenue was changed to a $6 \%$ tax upon the "net" patient services revenue of every hospital. In 2007, the tax rate was reduced to the current rate of $5.5 \%$ of net patient services revenue. Hospitals are required to pay $100 \%$ of the tax due for the taxable period no later than the $15^{\text {th }}$ day of the fourth month of the taxable period. Hospitals are required to file a tax return with the Department on or before the $10^{\text {th }}$ day of the month following the expiration of the taxable period.

## REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX - RSA CHAPTER 78-B

The Real Estate Transfer Tax (RETT) was first enacted in 1967. Chapter 17, Laws of 1999, increased the permanent tax rate assessed on the sale, granting, and transfer of real estate and any interest in real estate to $\$ .75$ per $\$ 100$, or fractional part thereof, of the price or consideration. This rate is assessed on both the buyer and seller for a combined tax rate of $\$ 1.50$ per $\$ 100$. Where the price or consideration is $\$ 4,000$ or less, there is a minimum tax of $\$ 20$ assessed on both the buyer and seller. Chapter 158, Laws of 2001, removed the exception from the tax on transfer of real property for transfers of title pursuant to a merger, consolidation or other reorganization qualifying as a tax-free reorganization. It also removed the exception of the transfer of title from one business entity to another, the ownership interest of which may be the same. These changes were effective for transfers occurring on or after July 1, 2001. The tax is paid at the Registry of Deeds office in the county where the property is located. A Declaration of Consideration (Form CD-57-P and Form CD-57-S) must be filed with the Department of Revenue Administration by both the purchaser and seller no later than 30 days from the recording of the deed at the Registry of Deeds or transfer of real estate, whichever is later.

## RAILROAD TAX - RSA CHAPTER 82

Every railroad, railway, express, and every parlor, sleeping or dining car company, or other company not a railroad company owning any cars operated for profit on any railroad in the State of New Hampshire shall pay an annual Railroad Tax as of April 1 of each year. The Railroad Tax is on the market value of the property's full and true value as defined in RSA 75:1. The tax is imposed at the average rate of taxation at that time upon other property throughout the State. Railroad "property" applies to both railroad companies (an enterprise, corporation, partnership, etc.) and private rail cars operated for profit on any railroad in the State of New Hampshire.

## TOBACCO TAX - RSA CHAPTER 78

The Tobacco Tax is a direct tax upon the consumer at retail. However, it is pre-collected and paid by the wholesaler for the purpose of convenience and facility. It is presumed the Tobacco Tax has been precollected and paid by the wholesaler by the affixing of a Tobacco Tax Stamp on the tobacco product. Consumers who purchase unstamped tobacco products will be taxed directly for the Tobacco Tax on such product. The Tobacco Tax was first enacted in 1939. Originally the tax was based upon the value at the usual selling price of all tobacco products. In 1975, the tax was changed to a flat rate of $\$ 0.12$ per package of 20 cigarettes. At that time, there was no tax on other tobacco products. Over the next 34 years the tax was increased from $\$ 0.12$ to $\$ 1.78$ per package. In 2011, the Tobacco Tax rate was decreased from $\$ 1.78$ to $\$ 1.68$ for each package containing 20 cigarettes or at a rate proportional to such rate for packages containing more or less than 20 cigarettes. In 1991, the Legislature enacted a tax on tobacco products other than cigarettes (Smokeless Tobacco Tax) at the rate "proportional to the cigarette tax, having such ratio to the usual wholesale price of the tobacco product other than cigarettes as the cigarette tax bears to the usual wholesale price of the cigarettes." In 2003, the Smokeless Tobacco Tax was changed to $19 \%$ of the wholesale sales price. The Smokeless Tobacco Tax rate was changed again in 2009 to $48.59 \%$ of the wholesale sales price, and then in 2010 to a rate of $65.03 \%$ of the wholesale sales price. In 2011, the Smokeless Tobacco Tax rate was decreased to its current rate of $48 \%$ of the wholesale sales price. Wholesalers are required to report their tax liability for the collection of the Smokeless Tobacco Tax on a monthly basis. The return is due on or before the fifteenth day of the month following the end of the reporting period. Wholesalers may file quarterly, but permission to file quarterly must be pre-approved by the Department in writing.

## UTILITY PROPERTY TAX - RSA CHAPTER 83-F

Utility property is defined as "all real estate, buildings, and structures, machinery, dynamos, apparatus, poles, wires, fixtures of all kinds and descriptions, and pipe lines located within New Hampshire employed in the generation, production, supply, distribution, transmission, or transportation of electric powered or natural gas, crude petroleum and refined petroleum products or combination thereof, water, or sewage." In 2011, "utility property" was amended to exclude: the electrical generation, production, and supply equipment of an "eligible customer-generator" as defined in RSA 362-A:1-a, II-b; property used for the retail distribution of fuel for personal, non-commercial use, use as a fuel in a motorized vehicle, home cooking, or heating; and that portion of a manufacturing establishment's generation, production, supply, distribution, transmission, or transportation of electric power or natural gas, crude petroleum and refined petroleum products or combinations thereof, water, or sewage subject to tax under RSA 72:6, 72:7, and 72:8, but not exempt under RSA 72:23, that is expended, used, or consumed on-site primarily for the operation of the manufacturing establishment and that does not otherwise enter the stream of commerce. The Utility Property Tax rate is $\$ 6.60$ per $\$ 1,000$ of value of utility property. On December 1 of each year the Department determines the value of utility property as of the previous April 1. The effective date was April 1, 1999. The tax is due annually on or before January $15^{\text {th }}$. Every corporation or company required to pay Utility Property Tax must file a declaration of its estimated Utility Property Tax for the subsequent taxable period. Quarterly estimated payments of the Utility Property Tax are due on April $15^{\text {th }}$, June $15^{\text {th }}$, September $15^{\text {th }}$, and December $15^{\text {th }}$.

## TAX DATES

|  | Estimates | Returns or Extensions by the 10th for County RETT by the 15th for everything else |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JULY |  | $M$ \& R, RETT, CST \& EC |
| AUGUST |  | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC |
| SEPTEMBER | Corporate Business Part, Prop \& Trust Business Interest and Dividends Utility Property | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC |
| OCTOBER |  | $M$ \& R, RETT, CST \& EC Corporate Business on Extension |
| NOVEMBER |  | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC <br> Part, Prop \& Trust Business on Extension |
| DECEMBER | Corporate Business <br> Part, Prop \& Trust Business Utility Property | M \& R, RETT, CST, EC |
| JANUARY | Interest and Dividends | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC Utility Property |
| FEBRUARY |  | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC |
| MARCH |  | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC Corporate Business |
| APRIL | Corporate Business Part, Prop \& Trust Business Interest and Dividends Utility Property | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC Part, Prop \& Trust Business Interest and Dividends |
| MAY |  | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC |
| JUNE | Corporate Business <br> Part, Prop \& Trust Business Interest and Dividends Utility Property | M \& R, RETT, CST \& EC |

Tax Terms: CST: Communications Service Tax
EC: Electricity Consumption Tax
M\&R: Meals \& Rentals Tax
Part: Partnership
Prop: Proprietorship
RETT: Real Estate Transfer Tax
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 Special Session | 4/27/70 Inception of the law | CH 5:1 | \$3,000, Gross Business Income | 6\% | None |
| 1971 | 7/1/71 | CH 515:14 | No change | 7\% | None |
| 1973 | Returns due on periods ending on or after 12/31/73 | CH 579:1 | \$6,000, Gross Business Income | 7\% | None |
| 1977 | 7/1/77 | CH 593:1 | No change | 8\% | None |
| 1979 | 8/24/79 | CH 446:4 | No change | 8\% | 25\% each quarter |
| 1981 | 7/1/81 | CH 461:1 [Rev 305.01(a), Doc.\#4192] | $\$ 12,000$, Gross Business Income 9.08\% eff. For years ending on or after 7/1/81 and before 7/1/83 | 8\% plus, surtax of $13.5 \%$ Effective rate 9.08\% | No change |
| 1982 | 7/1/82 | CH 568:65,II, CH 42:70 | \$12,000, Gross Business Income | Minimum tax of \$250, repealed the 1982 law DRA ordered to refund \$ | No change |
| 1983 | 7/1/83 | CH 469.42 [Rev 305.01 (b), Doc.\#4192] | \$12,000, Gross Business Income for all tax years ending on and after 7/1/83 and on or before 6/30/84 | 8\% plus, surtax of $19.5 \%$ Effective rate 9.56\% | No change |
| 1983 | 7/1/84 | CH 469.42 [Rev 305.01 (b), Doc.\#4192] | \$12,000, Gross Business Income for all tax years ending on and after 7/1/84 and on or before 6/30/85 | 8\% plus, surtax of 13.5\% Effective rate $9.08 \%$ (surtax ended $/ 30 / 85$ ) | No change |
| 1985 | 7/1/85 | CH 408:1 | \$12,000, Gross Business Income | An effective rate that declines monthly during the State of NH's fiscal year ending 6/30/86 | No change |
| 1985 | 7/1/85 | CH 408 | All tax years ending on or after 7/1/85 and on or before 6/30/86 except short period tax years. The eff. Rate shall be as follows: |  |  |
| 1985 | Short period tax years beginning before 7/1/85 and ending after $6 / 30 / 86$. The eff rate shall be as follows: | CH 469:42 | Multiply the number of months of the tax period occurring prior to $7 / 1 / 85$ by the monthly eff. tax rate (.007566) for such period. Multiply the number of months of the tax period occurring subsequent to $7 / 1 / 85$ by the monthly eff. Tax rate (.006875) for such period. Add the product of these calculations; divide this sum by the total number of months in the short period tax year, and; multiply the resulting product by 12. |  |  |
| 1986 | 6/30/86 | CH 153 | \$12,000, Gross Business Income | Effective rate 8.25\% for tax years ending on or after 7/1/86 and before 7/1/87 | No change |
| 1988 | 6/30/88 |  | \$12,000, Gross Business Income | 8\% | No change |
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## BUSINESS PROFITS TAX: RSA 77-A (Continued)

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 4/1/90 | CH 3:71 | \$12,000, Gross Business Income | 8\% | 30\%, 30\%, 20\%, 20\% |
| 1991 | 3/28/91 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CH} 5: 1 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 354 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 354: 7 \end{aligned}$ | \$12,000, Gross Business Income <br> Business tax credits expanded, RSA 77-A:5-IV <br> Sales apportionment factor $\times 1.5$ Payroll, Property <br> \& Sales $\div 3.5$ eff 1/1/92 | 8\% | 35\%, 35\%, 15\%, 15\% |
| 1991 | 5/27/91 | CH 163:17 | \$12,000, Gross Business Income | 8\% | 30\%, 30\%, 20\%, 20\% |
| 1993 | 7/1/94 \& 7/1/99 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CH 350:11 } \\ & \text { CH 350:12 } \end{aligned}$ | Sales apportionment factor x 2, plus Payroll \& Property $\div 4$ Effective for federal tax year ending 7/1/94 For tax years ending on or after 7/1/99 the factors revert back to: Sales apportionment factor $\times 1.5$, plus Payroll \& Property / 3.5 |  |  |
| 1993 | 7/1/93 | CH 202:1 CH 350:8 CH 350:9 CH 313 CH 350:18 | \$50,000, Gross Business Income applies to returns ending after 6/30/93 Allowing \& Regulating LLCs eff 7/1/93 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \% \text { for FY } 94 \\ & 7.0 \% \text { for FY } 95 \\ & \text { eff } 7 / 1 / 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \%, 25 \%, 25 \%, 25 \% \\ & \text { Effective } 7 / 1 / 93 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1995 | 7/1/95 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CH 188 } \\ \text { CH 308:93 } \\ \text { CH 172, } \\ \text { section 162L:9 } \end{array}$ | Repealed all credits: <br> Repealed the CH 188 repeals, but did not reinstate the research \& development tax credit, RSA 77-A:5, IX CDFA to 8 years Extended | 7\% | No change |
| 1996 | 7/1/96 | CH 154:1 | Modified QIC definition |  |  |
| 1997 | 7/1/97 | CH 351:43 | Authorized a Tax Amnesty Program to run from Dec. 1, 1997 to Feb. 15, 1998 |  |  |
| 1998 | 8/1/98 | CH 105 | Adopted the IRC of 1986 in eff on 12/31/97 except NOL carryover which is eff $12 / 31 / 96$. The changes are eff for tax years beginning after $1 / 1 / 97$. May not exceed $\$ 250,000$ in a tax year. |  |  |
| 1998 | 7/1/98 | CH 163 | Repeals the tax on Qualified Venture Capital Funds and also related definitions. It also expands the activities of Qualified Investment Companies to include a QICC. Apply to taxable periods ending after 6/3/98. |  |  |
| 1998 | 7/1/99 | CH 338 | Re-establishes an investment tax credit under RSA 162-L:10, which can be applied to BPT or BET. |  |  |
| 1999 | 7/1/99 | CH 17 | Increased the BPT tax rate on the entire tax period and not just the months after June 30, 1999 | 8\% | No change |
| 2001 | 7/1/01 | CH 158 | A tax is imposed at the rate of $8.5 \%$ upon the taxable business profits of every business organization | 8.5\% | No change |
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 7/17/09 | CH 144:273 | RSA 77-A:6.l-a, File on a sale or exchange of interest whether or not gross income |  |
|  |  |  | is in excess of \$50,000 during taxable period ending on or after 7/1/09 |  |
| 2009 | 7/15/09 | CH 223 | Establish a committee to study business tax credits and report findings 12/1/2009. |  |
| 2010 | 7/8/10 | CH 286 | RSA 77:4-b, allows a business organization engaged in an IRC §1031 Like-Kind Exchange to utilize a single member limited liability company, revocable trust or other entity disregarded for federal tax purposes as the recipient entity. Under the special rule, the recipient entity takes the basis of the relinquished property as held by the parent organization as computed for federal income tax purposes. |  |
| 2010 | 7/13/10 | CH 311 | RSA Chapter 162-N Economic Revitalization Zone Tax Credit amended to extend the availability of the credits for four years and makes certain changes to the eligibility for and determination of the eligible amounts for the credits. |  |
| 2010 | 7/20/10 | CH 324:2, 4 and 5 | RSA 77-A:4, III the amount of the deduction for compensation for personal services shall be determined, as applicable to NH's tax structure, using the standards set forth in IRC $\S 162(a)(1)$. Beginning on or after 1/1/11 there is a record-keeping safe harbor for deductions up to $\$ 50,000$ as total compensation for the tax year. Also creates a study committee to study safe harbors and the taxation of investment organizations (report due 11/1/11). |  |
| 2011 | 6/14/11 | CH 181:2 | Amends RSA 77-A:1, XXI(a) to include under the definition of "Qualified Investment Company" a Qualified Community Development Entity (as defined in section 45D of the US Internal Revenue Code), which is owned, controlled, or managed, directly or indirectly, by the NH Business Finance Authority. |  |
| 2011 | 6/25/11 | CH 207 | For taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011: <br> Modified the standards and burden of proof with respect to the Business Profits Tax deduction for reasonable compensation (RSA 77-A:4, III) attributable to owners of partnerships, limited liability companies, and sole proprietorships that file a business tax return as a partnership or proprietorship. Keeps the standards set forth in IRC §162(a)(1) and the $\$ 50,000$ "record-keeping safe harbor." Amends the burden of proving the reasonableness of the compensation deduction: <br> A business organization claiming a deduction bears the burden of proving that all proprietors, partners, or members for whom a deduction is being claimed provided actual personal services to the business organization at any time during the taxable period. Once a business organization satisfies this burden of proof, the amount claimed as a deduction shall be presumed to be reasonable, unless the Department proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the deduction claimed by the business organization is clearly unreasonable. |  |
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## BUSINESS PROFITS TAX: RSA 77-A (Continued)

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FIIING THRESHOLD TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 7/1/13 | CH 224:363 | Effective July 1, 2013, increases the amount of Net Operating Loss (NOL) that may be generated in a tax year from $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 10,000,000$. |  |
| 2011 | 7/1/14 | CH 225 | Effective July 1,2014 and applicable for taxable periods ending on or after July 1,2014 changes the carryforward periods for the BET credit against the BPT. Any unused portion of the BET credit may be carried forward and allowed against the BPT due for ten taxable periods from the taxable period in which the tax was paid. |  |
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FILING THRESHOLD

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1993 | 7/1/93 | CH 350 | Total Gross Receipts in excess of $\$ 100,000$, or the enterprise value tax base of which is greater than \$50,000. <br> The sum of <br> all compensation paid or accrued, interest paid or accrued and dividends paid by the business enterprise, before special adjustments or apportionments. | $.25 \%$ of the enterprise value tax base, calculated using three components: Compensation, Dividends and Interest \& \% of total sales. | No estimate payments are required. |
| 1996 | 7/1/96 | CH 235:2 | Amended RSA 77-E:5 to establish estimate payments and to require a declaration of estimated tax; and RSA 7-E:6 to establish 25\% quarterly payment schedule. Apply to returns \& taxes due ending on or after 1/1/97 | No change | Estimate payments established in 1996 at $25 \%$ each quarter. If the estimated tax is less than $\$ 200$ a declaration need not be filed. |
| 1999 | 7/1/99 | CH 17 | Increased the tax rate on BET | .25\% to $.5 \%$ for entire tax period and not just for the months after 6/3/99. | No change |
| 2001 | 7/1/01 | CH 158 | Increased the tax rate Increased filing threshold to gross business receipts in excess of $\$ 150,000$ or the enterprise value tax base greater than $\$ 75,000$ | From $5 \%$ to $.75 \%$ (three quarters of $1 \%$ ) for periods ending on or after 7/1/01. | No change |
| 2003 | 7/1/03 | CH 301 77E:3-a | Crop Zone Credit |  |  |
| 2004 | 5/24/04 | CH 143 77E 1,5 | QIC |  |  |
| 2005 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 7/1/07 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CH } 263 \text { 3-a } \\ & \text { CH } 271 \text { 3b } \end{aligned}$ | Repealed and reenacted Economic Revitalization <br> Zone tax credit eff. 7/1/07 R\&D Credit |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 7/15/09 | CH 223 | Establish a study committee to study business tax credits. Report due 12/1/09. |  |  |
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 7/13/10 | CH 311 | RSA Chapter 162-N Economic Revitalization Zone Tax Credit amended to extend the availability of the credits for four years and makes certain changes to the eligibility for and determination of the eligible amounts for the credits. |  |  |
| 2011 | 7/1/14 | CH 225 | Effective July 1, 2014 and applicable for taxable periods ending on or after July 1, 2014 changes the carryforward periods for the BET credit against the BPT. Any unused portion of the BET credit may be carried forward and allowed against the BPT due for ten taxable periods from the taxable period in which the tax was paid. |  |  |
| 2011 | 6/14/11 | CH 181:3 | Amends RSA 77-E:1, XIV(a) to include under the definition of "Qualified Investment Company" a Qualified Community Development Entity (as defined in section 45D of the US Internal Revenue Code), which is owned, controlled, or managed, directly or indirectly, by the NH Business Finance Authority. |  |  |
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COMMUNICATION SERVICES TAX: RSA: 82-A Current Due Date: The 15th day of each month covering the prior month's sales.

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 4/1/1990 for communication services purchased at retail on or after 3/1/90 | CH 101 | Communication service retailers with sales in excess of $\$ 10,000,3 \%$ with a surtax of 66 2/3\% | $90 \%$ of the actual tax collections for the same calendar month of the preceding year; or $90 \%$ of the estimated tax collections for the current month. |
| 1991 | 7/1/91 | CH 354:13 | Surcharge of 100\% for the period beginning 7/1/91 and ending 6/30/93 | No change |
| 1993 | 7/1/93 | CH 350:37 | $5.5 \%$ for the period beginning 7/1/93 and ending 6/30/95 | No change |
| 1995 | 7/1/01 | CH 96:2 | $5.5 \%$ for the period beginning 7/1/95 and ending 6/30/97 | No change |
| 1997 | 7/1/97 | CH 130:2 | 5.5\% for the biennium ending 6/30/99 |  |
| 1997 |  | CH 351:35 | Amended the RSA 82-A:2, V (f) exemption for charges paid by coin-operated phones. Repealed RSA 82-A:2 V (g) relative to the exemption from the CST tax for changes paid by coin-operated | No change |
| 1997 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { CH 351:36 } \\ \text { CH 351:37 } \end{array}$ | Amended RSA 82-A:4-a requiring that tax on coin-operated telephone service may be computed to the nearness multiple of $\$ .05$ except that, where the tax is midway. |  |
| 2001 | 7/1/01 | CH 158 | Tax shall be $7 \%$ for period beginning 7/1/01 and ending 6/30/03 | No change |
| 2002 | 7/1/02 | CH 219 | Amendment to RSA 82-A:1 imposes tax to source mobile telecommunications services determined under P.L. 106-252 to be the place of primary use | No change |
| 2003 | 71/103 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CH 319 82-A 3,4 } \\ & \text { See 39,40 } \end{aligned}$ | Intrastate Communications Services Tax Intrastate Communications Services Tax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7\% Rate Imposed } \\ & \text { 7\% Rate Changed } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2004 | 71/104 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CH} 111 \\ & \mathrm{See} 1-7 \end{aligned}$ | Amends def of gross charge service address paid calling server Special rules for private communication server place of primary use | Interstate still 7\% |
| 2005 | 7/1/05 | CH 190 | Unbundling services to apply CST Adds audits of retailers of enhanced 911 services surcharge |  |
| 2005 | 7/14/05 | CH 251 | Adds examinations of telephone Co. records, 911 charges Adds appeals of 911 charges. |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 7/1/07 | CH 263:79 | Repeals exemption eff 7/1/07 |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |
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## DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL 1975

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1970 |  | CH 20 | Enacted RSA 77-B. The tax was found unconstitutional in Austin v. New Hampshire, 420 <br> U.S. 656, 95 Sect. 1191, 43 L.Ed.2d 530 (1975) | $4 \%$ of income derived outside of NH |
| 1975 |  |  | Declared Unconstitutional |  |

## ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION TAX: RSA 83-E

[An act repealing the Franchise Tax on Electrical Utilities and replacing it with a tax on Electricity Consumption]

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1997 | $5 / 1 / 01$ | CH 347 (HB 602) | All persons, including governmental units, distributing, redistributing or transmitting <br> electrical energy for consumption in this state <br> Effective 30 days after PUC certififed ( (5/1/01) <br> Enacted a new RSA 83-E, Electricity Consumption tax replacing RSA 83-C, Franchise <br> Tax effective when electric utility deregulation is implemented | A tax is imposed on the consumption in <br> this state of electrical energy at the rate <br> of $\$ .00055$ per kilowatt-hour. |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change | No change |  |  |
| 2007 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
Current Due Date: For decedents who died before $8 / 31 / 91$, 12 months from the date of death.
For decedents who died on or after $9 / 1 / 91,9$ months from the date of death.
The amount of the federal credit taken
state death taxes paid is what is ent to NH
No change

more and
NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
EXCAVATION TAX: RSA 72-B:3-11, \& 13-17

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1997 | $7 / 29 / 97$ | CH 219 | A \$.02 per cubic yard of material charge on earth taken from a "taxable excavation" A <br> notice of Intent to Excavate will have to be filed with the munnicipal assessing official. <br> After the eperation is complete or no later than 4/15 of each year a Report of Excavated <br> Material must be filed with the municipality and DRA | $\$ .02$ per cubic yard assessed at the <br> time of excavation by the local <br> assessing officia within 30 days after <br> receipt of a report of excavated material |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change | No change |  |  |
| 2007 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
This document is only a brief summary of the subject matter, current as of the last revision date. It is not intended to be relied upon as the full and complete text. Please refer to the applicable forms, instructions, rules and to the laws cited below to determine how this information applies to specific persons or situations.

## EXCAVATION ACTIVITY TAX: RSA 72-B:1,2,12 \& 13-17

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1/1/98 | CH 219 | The tax is imposed on the pit area and shall be determined as of $4 / 1$ each year, which is the area from which the earth has been excavated. Owner must provide this information annually to their local assessing official so they can calculate the size of the pit area. Provided however, that this paragraph shall not apply to any excavation which ceased commercially useful operation prior to $8 / 24 / 77$ | Based on size of pit area |
| 2005 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2007 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:

## FRANCHISE TAX: (Electric) RSA 83-C

Current Due Date: March 15th

## REPEALED 2001

CH 5 Laws of 1958-4\% of the income such utility derives from the exercise of such franchise in this state during the calendar of assessment. In 1991 the Franchise Tax was included with the Railroad Tax and Public Utilities Tax under Chapter 83. It then was removed in 1931 to Chapter 84 as a Franchise Tax on its own.

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING REQUIREMENTS AND PAYMENT | TAX RATE | ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1959 \\ 1983 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 1 / 60 \\ & 7 / 1 / 83 \\ & 7 / 1 / 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH} \text { 86:2 } \\ & \mathrm{CH} 469: 100 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 469: 98 \end{aligned}$ | RSA 83-A Franchise Tax was repealed RSA 83-B Franchise Tax was repealed <br> Enacted the present RSA 83-C. Public utilities engaged in the manufacture, generation, distribution, transmission or sale of gas or electricity pursuant to franchises granted by this state | $9 \%$ of the net utility operating income 1\% | None <br> None <br> $25 \%$ due on the fifteenth day of the 4th, 6th, 9th and 12th months. |
| 1991 | 7/1/91 | CH 354:4 | Removed "electricity" from the language | No change | No change |
| 1993 | 4/16/93 | CH 49:2 | Reinserted "electricity" into the language | No change | No change |
| 1994 | 6/2/94 | CH 263: 1, 2 | Removed "Gas" from the language | No change | No change |
| 1997 | 7/1/97 | CH 347 | Repealed 5/1/01 <br> Enacted a new RSA 83-E, Electricity <br> Consumption tax replacing RSA 83-C, Franchise Tax effective when electricity utility deregulation is implemented |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  | Repealed |  |  |
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GAMBLING WINNINGS TAX: RSA 77:38-50 - REPEALED 2011 Current Due Date: April 15th

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2009 | CH 144:249-253 | Enacted this tax. The New Hampshire Gambling Tax is a 10\% tax on any and all gambling <br> winnings. RSA 77:38, III defines "gambling winnings" as winnings from lotteries and games <br> of chance including, but not limited to bingo, slot machines, keno, poker tournaments, and <br> any other gambling winnings subject to federal income tax withholding. |  |  |
| 2010 | $7 / 23 / 10$ | CH 371 | Amends RSA 77:41, II exempting the gambling tax on gambling winnings won prior to <br> $1 / 1 / 1999$ and distributed in annuity payments. |  |
| 2011 | $5 / 23 / 11$ | CH 47 | Repealed. Applicable to all gambling winnings received on or after May 23, 2011. |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
Reference Document
Historical Summary of Tax Rates
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## INTEREST AND DIVIDENDS TAX: RSA 77

by the local assessing officials. The due date was May 1st. FILING THRESHOLD
ESTIMATE PAYMENTS
NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:

## DOCUMENT TITLE: <br> Historical Summary of Tax Rates <br> September 2011 <br> This document is only a brief summary of the subject matter, current as of the last revision date. It is not intended to be relied upon as the full and complete text. Please refer to the applicable forms, instructions, rules and to the laws cited below to determine how this information applies to specific persons or situations.

INTEREST AND DIVIDENDS TAX: RSA 77 (Continued)

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | Effective for taxable periods on or after 1/1/95 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH} 188: 2 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 188: 4 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 188: 5 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 160: 1 \end{aligned}$ | Increased to \$2,400 exemption for individuals, partnerships, LLC, associations, trusts \& fiduciaries. | Amends RSA 77:18 1/4 due on 4th, 6th, 9th, and 12th months Calendar year taxpayers, final 1/4 due January instead of December |
| 1998 | 7/1/98 | $\text { CH } 163$ CH 163:8 | Allows deduction from taxable I\&D income an amount equal to any cash distribution made to a QICC. Also requires recapture of a previously taken deduction relating to distribution or return of capital within 3 taxable periods after the taxable period is which it was deducted. Effective to taxable periods ending after 6/30/98 <br> Repeal RSA 77-A:2-c relative to the tax on Qualified Venture Capital Fund |  |
| 2002 | 1/1/04 | CH 45 | Increases the threshold annual tax liability amount for which quarterly payments of estimated interest and dividends tax are required from \$200 to \$500 | No change |
| 2003 | 7/1/03 | CH 64 Section 1 | I\&D from funds invested in college tuition savings plan not taxed |  |
| 2004 | 5/24/04 | CH 143 | QIC transition RSA's in effect as enacted prior to effective date for qualified QIC who submits valid election prior to 5/24/04 |  |
| 2005 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 8/17/07 | CH 152:1 | Taxpayer records added RSA 77:37 |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Apply to t/p ending on or after 12/31/09 | CH 144:275 | RSA 77:1-a, New definitions of accumulated profits and dividends |  |
| 2009 |  | CH 144:276 | RSA 77:3,l-b, Eliminates partnerships, LLCs, associations, and companies. |  |
| 2009 |  | CH 144:277 | RSA 77:4, III, Dividends other than stock or changes in ownership of entity not evidenced through actual distributions. |  |
| 2009 |  | CH 144:278 | Repeal RSA 77:14, Tax of partnerships and LLCs <br> Repeal RSA 77:15, Tax of partners and members <br> Repeal RSA 77:16, Tax of out of state partnerships and LLCs Repeal RSA 77:17, Re to 77:14-16 |  |
| 2010 | 1/1/11 | CH 324:5 | Amends RSA 77:11 deleting a provision subjecting certain income accumulated in trust for the benefit of unborn or unascertained persons to taxation |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
DOCUMENT TITLE:
LAST DATE REVISED:
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INTEREST AND DIVIDENDS TAX: RSA 77 (Continued)

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 7/1/10 | CH 1:50-54 | Repeals CH 144, Laws of 2009 that made distributions from limited liability companies, partnerships and associations with non-transferable shares subject to the Interest and Dividends Tax. The repeal is effective for taxable periods ending on or after December 31, 2010. |  |
| 2011 | 6/14/11 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CH 181:4 } \\ \text { CH 181:5 } \end{array}$ | For taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011 and also taxabale periods ending before January 1, 2011 if the taxable period is subject to assessment of tax and appeal under RSA 21-J:28-b. <br> RSA 77:4,V, amounts reported and taxed federally as interest or dividends to a holder of an ownership interest in a Qualified Investment Company (QIC), a mutual fund, or a unit investment trust are taxable under the Interest and Dividends Tax. <br> RSA 77:4-d,I, amounts accruing to the holder of an ownership interest in a QIC or a mutual fund, or investment income earned or distributions received by the holder of an ownership interest in a unit investment trust, which QIC, mutual fund, or unit investment trust invests solely in New Hampshire tax-exempt tax anticipation notes, bond anticipation notes, and other instruments exempt under New Hampshire law are not treated as interest or dividend income for Interest and Dividend Tax purposes. RSA 77:4-d, II, amounts reported and taxed federally as capital gains to the holder of an ownership interest in a QIC, a mutual fund, or a unit investment trust are not treated as interest or dividend income for Interest and Dividend Tax purposes. |  |
| 2011 | 6/25/11 | CH 207:4 | For taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011, excess compensation determined by an audit of the Department shall not be considered a dividend under RSA chp. 77, unless such determination is accepted by the Internal Revenue Service. |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION: DOCUMENT TITLE:
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Current Due Date: For decedents who died before 8/31/91, 12 months from the date of death. For decedents who died on or after 9/1/91, 9 months from the date of death.

RSA 86, first enacted in 1905, as far as can be determined, it was enacted at $81 / 2 \%$
Executors or administrators of every estate subject to tax under this chapter.
tax liability occurs when a bequest, joint transfer, or the rest and residue is granted to a taxable legatee such as, but not limited to brothers, sisters, aunts, uncles, cousins, friends and neighbors. $\qquad$
LEGACY \& SUCCESSION TAX : RSA 86
FILING THRESHOLD
Amended 86:6 I to read: All property within the jurisdiction ata

one year immediately preceding the date of death of the decedent, was jointly owned and
occupied as a place of residence by the decedent and by the brother or sister or both,
shall be exempt from the tax if said homestead passes to or for the use of said brother or sister.
trusts..
sions tax, is repealed
Change reference from Natural Parent to Birth Parent
the tax forms
estimated tax payment is made of a least $90 \%$ of the tax due
Repeal RSA 86:6, relative to taxable property under and tax rate of the legacies and


Significantly restructured how this tax is administered, resulting in extensive redesign of

file an inventory with Probate and DRA
a man
ther
NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER |  | FILING THRESHOLD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2010 | No change |  |  | TAX RATES |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION: DOCUMENT TITLE:
LAST DATE REVISED:
MEALS AND ROOMS (RENTALS) TAX: RSA 78-A
Current Due Date: The 15th day of each month covering the prior month's tax collections

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | tax RATE | COMMISSION PAID TO OPERATORS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | 8/19/67 - rate $7 / 7 / 67$ - language | CH213 | Enacted RSA 78-A Meals \& Rooms Tax for sales over $\$$.25. Every operath monthly return even though no tax may be due unless granted permission by the department to file other than on a monthly basis. | 5\% | 1\% |
| 1969 | 7/1/69 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{CH} \text { 287:14 } \\ \mathrm{CH} \text { 287:15 } \end{array}$ | Established a threshold, starting at $\$ .01$ for a charge between $\$ .16$ \& \$.25. | 5\% | $3 \%$ if remitted before 11 th day $2 \%$ if remitted before 11th \& 20th day; and $1 \%$ if remitted between the 21 st \& the las day of the month |
| 1977 | 71/177 | CH 330:1 | No change | Increased to 6\% | No change |
| 1981 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 10 / 1 / 81 \\ 7 / 1 / 81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CH 568:150 } \\ & \text { CH 569:23 } \end{aligned}$ | Threshold changed to start at $\$ .01$ for a charge between $\$ .14 \& \$ .25$. | Increased to 7\% | Repealed commissions, ending with 2nd quarter of 1981 |
| 1982 <br> Special Session | 7/1/82 | CH 42:93 | No change | 7\% | Reinstate commission at $3 \%$ starting with the 2nd quarter of 1982 |
| 1983 | 7/1/83 | CH 226:1 | Threshold changed to start at $\$ .02$ for a charge between $\$ .36$ \& $\$ .38$ | 7\% | 3\% |
| 1990 | 4/1/90 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{CH} 8 \\ \mathrm{CH} 8: 1 \end{array}$ | Threshold changed to start at $\$ .03$ for a charge between $\$ .36$ \& $\$ .37$ | Set a tax rate of $8 \%$ for the period 4/1/90 to 6/30/91 | 3\% |
| 1991 | 7/1/91 | CH 354:12 | No change | Reinstate temporary rate of $8 \%$ for the period 7/1/91 to 6/30/93 | 3\% |
| 1993 | 7/1/93 | CH 350:36 | No change | Reinstate temporary rate of $8 \%$ for the period 7/1/93 to 6/30/95 | 3\% |
| 1995 | 7/1/95 | CH 45 | No change in threshold LLCs are liable for the tax as property lien | Reinstate temporary rate of $8 \%$ for biennium beginning 7/1/95 and ending 6/30/97 | No change |
| 1995 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7 / 2 / 1995 \\ 7 / 1 / 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{CH} 80 \\ \mathrm{CH} 96: 1 \end{array}$ | Reinstated the exemption for hospitals |  |  |
| 1996 | 71/196 | CH 53 | Clarified taxation of gratituities | No change | No change |
| 1997 | 7/1/97 | CH 132 | Established Electronic Data Submission (Electronic Funds Transfer) | Reinstate temporary rate of $8 \%$ for period beginning 7/1/97 and ending 6/30/99 | No change |
| 1998 | 7/1/98 | CH 383 | RSA 78-A:8 eff 7/1/98 amended to allow any M\&R operator to choose not to file and pay electronically |  | No change |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION: DOCUMENT TITLE:
LAST DATE REVISED:
Reference Document
Historical Summary of Tax Rates
September 2011 s, rules and to the laws cited below to
MEALS AND ROOMS (RENTALS) TAX: RSA 78-A (Continued)
FILING THRESHOLD

\section*{| RSA 78-A - The rental of motor vehicles designed to transport persons |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| or property on public highways will be subject to the $8 \%$ tax | $\begin{array}{l}8 \% \text { tax on the gross rental } \\ \text { receipts from rentals of MV }\end{array}$ |}

Clarification of gratituity charge exception.
Method of allocating items not customarily associated with the
purchase of a taxable room or taxable meal
ff non-profit organization, other than education institutes, selling meals
requires liquor license for 3 or less days per year, meals are taxable.
Gratituities not taxable under certain conditions
became permanent
No change
CHAPTER

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE |
| :--- | :--- |


| 1999 | $7 / 1 / 99$ |
| :--- | :--- |

change
Reference to Office of State Planning \& Energy programs name
Penalty under 21:J:39
Tax in MV rentals exemption

RSA 78-A:26 I (a) Special fund
RSA 78-A:6


no more that 2009 level
RSA 78-A:8-b, l-a, $\$ 5000$ bond to secure tax on I\&P deed
RSA 78-A:4 I, No license if unpaid taxes or filed as subterfuge.
RSA 78-A:3, III eliminated the Meals and Rentals Tax on campsites
RSA 78-A:4, IV makes licenses, operator information displayed on
licenses and license dispositions (such as issuance, renewal, suspension and revocation) public record. Amends RSA 21-J:14, IV.
Repeals RSA 78-A:8-b, 1-a requiring a surety bond. Repeals RSA $78-\mathrm{A}: 8-\mathrm{b}, 1$-a requiring a surety bond.
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MEALS AND ROOMS (RENTALS) TAX: RSA 78-A (Continued)

DOCUMENT TITLE:
NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION: DOCUMENT TITLE:
LAST DATE REVISED:
Historical Summary of Tax Rates
September 2011
MEDICAID ENHANCEMENT TAX: RSA 84-A

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 6/20/91 | CH 299 | Medicaid Enhancement Tax enacted RSA 84-A. This tax was designed to be paid by electronic transfer to the State Treasurer | 8\% of gross patient services revenue |
| 1991 | 11/12/91 | CH 390 | Supplemental Medicaid Tax enacted RSA 84-B | Imposed on medical patient discharges in the amount of $\$ 250 \mathrm{M}$, times the number of Medicaid patient discharges of each hospital for the same period during each hospital's fiscal year ending during the first full calendar year preceding the taxable period. |
| 1993 | 7/1/93 | CH 4:16 | Supplemental Medicaid Tax repealed RSA 84-B |  |
| 1995 | 5/10/95 | CH 80 | Setting the rate on or before $4 / 1$ preceding the first fiscal year of the biennium, the rate of tax for the period beginning 7/1/95 and ending 6/30/97 | 6\% upon the gross patient services revenue of every hospital |
| 1999 |  |  |  | 6\% of gross patient services revenue |
| 2003 | 7/1/03 | CH 319 |  | Impose 6\% upon gross patient services revenue |
| 2004 | 7/1/05 | CH 260 |  | Impose 6\% upon gross patient services revenue |
| 2005 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 7/1/07 | CH 263:50 |  | Impose tax of 5.5\% |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 7/1/10 | CH 1:12 | Amends RSA 84-A:3, II-a, tax due no later than the 15th day of the 4th month of the taxable period. | No change |
| 2011 | 7/1/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CH 224:34 } \\ & \text { CH 224:38-40 } \end{aligned}$ | Transfers the authority for the Medicaid Enhancement Tax Account from the DHHS to the DRA. <br> Amends the definition of "hospital" under RSA 84-A:1, III and the definition of "net patient services revenue" under RSA 84-A:1, IV-a In addition, paragraphs I and II of RSA 84-A:3, as they were applied in 1991 and 1992, were declared null and void. | No change |
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## NURSING FACILITY QUALITY ASSESSMENT TAX: RSA 84-C

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2004 | 6/16/04 | CH 260 | Impose 6\% tax net patient revenue | 6\% of net patient services revenue |
| 2005 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 7/1/07 | CH 263:51 |  | 5.5\% of net patient services revenue |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 8/13/10 | CH 152:1 | Amend RSA 21-J:3, XXI exempting RSA 84-C:5 from electronic payment threshold of \$100,000. |  |
| 2010 | 8/13/10 | CH 152:5 | Amend RSA 84-C:3, no penalties or interest for late payments if paid by the last day of the month due. |  |
| 2011 | 7/1/11 | CH 224:46-48 | Provides a contingency for the biennium ending June 30, 2013, that no Nursing Facility Quality Assessment (NFQA) shall be assessed and no return shall be required. <br> For the biennium ending June 30, 2013, $25 \%$ of the receipts from the NFQA and the ICF Quality Assessment shall be deposited as restricted revenue in accounts of the DHHS. <br> The assessment period begining April 1st shall be April 1 to May 31, 2011; the returns shall be filed on or before June 27, 2011; the remainder of the assessment period (June 1 - June 30, 2011) shall be carried out as would otherwise have been, except that the returns filed in July, 2011 shall only cover the period June 1- June 30, 2011. | No change |
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## REPEALED 1999 <br> NUCLEAR STATION PROPERTY TAX : RSA 83-D

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION:
Reference Document
Historical Summary of Tax Rates LAST DATE REVISED:
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX: RSA 78-B

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | tax RATE | MINIMUM PAYMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | This was a Federal Tax \$1.10 per \$1,000, or fraction thereof, assessed to buyer only. | None |
| 1/2/68-6/30/72 |  | CH 320, Laws of 1967 | Enacted RSA 78-B, with a rate of $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 1 0} \mathbf{~ p e r} \$ 100$, or fraction thereof, assessed to buyer only. | If the transfer was less than \$100. Not tax was due. |
| 7/1/72-9/11/77 |  |  | buyer only <br> $\$ 0.15$ per $\$ 100$, or fraction thereof, assessed to | None |
| 9/12/77-6/30/81 | 9/12/77 | CH 495 | $\$ 0.25$ per $\$ 100$, or fraction thereof, assessed to buyer only. | Established Minimum Tax of \$10 |
| 7/1/81-6/30/83 | 7/1/81 | CH 568:152, | $\$ 0.25$ per $\$ 100$, or fraction thereof, assessed to both the buyer and seller. | \$10 to both buyer and seller |
| 1983 | 7/1/83 | CH 469:97 | For the biennium ending $6 / 30 / 85 \$ 0.50$ per $\$ 100$, or fractional part thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller. | \$20 to both buyer and seller |
| 7/1/85-6/30/87 | 7/1/85 | CH 407:1 | For the biennium ending 6/30/87of $\$ 0.375$ per \$100, or fractional part thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller | \$15 to both the buyer and seller |
| 7/1/87-6/30/89 | 7/1/87 | CH 308:I | For the biennium ending $6 / 30 / 89$ of $\$ 0.35$ per \$100, or fraction thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller. | \$14 to both buyer and seller |
| 1989 | 1/1/90 | CH 416 | Changed permanent rate from $\$ 0.25$ to $\$ 0.35$, and set rate for the biennium ending 6/30/91 of $\$ 0.475$ per $\$ 100$, or fraction thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller. (See 4/1/90 change to the tax rate per CH 2 , below) | \$19 to both buyer and seller |
| 1990 | 2/20/90 | CH2 | For the biennium beginning 4/1/90 and ending 6/30/91 of \$0.525 per \$100, or fraction thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller | \$21 to both buyer and seller |
| 7/1/91-6/30/93 | 7/1/91 | CH 354:11 | For the biennium ending 6/30/93 of $\$ 0.525$ per \$100, or fraction thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller | \$21 to both buyer and seller |
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## REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX: RSA 78-B

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | TAX RATE | MINIMUM PAYMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 7/1/93 | CH 350:38 | For the biennium ending 6/30/95 of \$0.50 per $\$ 100$, or fractional part thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller | Minimum tax of \$20 to both buyer and seller |
| 1994 | 7/1/93 | CH 325 | Established the real estate transfer questionnaire. |  |
| 1995 | 7/1/95 | CH 96:3 | For the biennium ending 6/30/97 of \$0.50 per $\$ 100$, or fraction thereof, assessed to both buyer and seller | Minimum tax of \$20 to both buyer and seller |
| 1997 | 7/1/97 | CH 130:3 | For the period beginning 7/1/97 and ending $6 / 30 / 99$, the tax is $\mathbf{\$ 0 . 5 0}$ per $\$ 100$ or fractional part thereof assessed to both buyer and seller | Minimum tax of \$20 to both buyer and seller |
| 1998 | 7/18/98 | CH 91 | Require the filing of a questionnaire with DRA and the local assessor or selectmen. |  |
| 6/20/1905 | 6/25/98 | CH 238 | Provides an exemption from the transfer tax for conveyances of real property from a municipality when the property is repurchased by the former owner after the municipality's acquisition by tax deed. |  |
| 1999 | 7/1/99 | CH 17 | Increased the permanent tax rate assessed on the sale, granting, and transfer of real estate and any interest in real estate to $\$ 0.75$ per $\$ 100$, or fractional part thereof, of the price or consideration. | This rate is assessed on both the buyer and seller for a total tax rate of $\$ 1.50$ per $\$ 100$. Where the price or consideration is $\$ 4,000$ or less, there shall be a minimum tax of $\$ 20$, which is assessed, on both the buyer and seller, for a total tax of $\$ 40$ |
| 2001 | 7/1/01 | CH 158 | Declaration of Consideration Amended. Transfers exempted by RSA 78-B:2, except transfers exempted by RSA 78-B:2 |  |
| 2004 | 7/1/04 | CH 195 | Manufactured housing real estate transfer tax |  |
| 2005 | 7/1/05 | CH 177 | Gave tax amnesty to P\&I | 12/1/05-2/15/06 due by unpaid on or before 7/1/06 |
| 2005 | 7/9/05 | CH 31 | Added procedure for Assessment or refund of tax |  |
| 2006 | 7/1/06 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH} \text { 149:1 } \\ & \mathrm{CH} \text { 149:1 } \\ & \mathrm{CH} \text { 219:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1-\mathrm{aV} \\ \mathrm{~V} 1 \\ 2 \text { XIX - new } \end{array}$ | Definition of "sale, granting and transfer" <br> A "real estate holding company" <br> Exempts certain transfer between charitable organizations |
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## REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX: RSA 78-B

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | TAX RATE | MINIMUM PAYMENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2007 | $7 / 1 / 07$ | CH 263:46 \& 48 | 81 V 46 added / 48 repealed | $46-$ Comm. Heritage Inv. Program. Surcharge admin |
| 2007 | $8 / 17 / 07$ | CH 146 1V | 2 XIX repealed |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2010 | No change |  | Requires a purchaser and seller in a real estate <br> transfer (or transfer of interest therein) to each file <br> a separate Declaration of Consideration (Form CD- <br> 57-P and Form CD-57-S) with the Department. |  |
| 2011 | $8 / 13 / 11$ | CH 179 |  |  |
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## SAVINGS BANK TAX: RSA 84 REPEALED 1993

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1923 |  | CH 22 |  | RAX RATE <br> 1935 <br> 1961 |
|  |  |  | Since 1935, it was based upon Capital Stock <br> value, plus interest, Dividends, and other divided <br> profits for the 12 months preceding April 1 st, less <br> $\$ 10,000$, multiplied by $1 \%$ |  |
| 1989 | $6 / 31 / 62$ | CH 249:2 | Repealed $84: 8-84: 16$, Savings Banks, Trust <br> Companies, Building and Loan associations, etc. <br> Added 84:16 a-e |  |
| 1993 | $7 / 1 / 93$ | CH 50 | Amended $84: 16$ - b, c \& d |  |
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## STATE EDUCATION PROPERTY TAX: RSA 76

 RSA 82 and RSA 83-FTAX RATE

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | TAX RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 4/1/99 | CH 17-RSA 76:3 is repealed and reenacted to read as follows: (see Tax Rate) | RSA 76:3 - An annual education property tax at the uniform rate of $\$ 6.60$ on each $\$ 1,000$ of the value of taxable property is hereby imposed on all persons and property taxable pursuant to RSA 72 and RSA 73, except property subject to tax under RSA 82 and RSA 83-F |
| 2001 | 4/1/02 | HB 170 | Uniform rate of $\$ 5.80$ on each $\$ 1,000$ of the value of taxable property, except property subject to tax under RSA 82 and RSA 83-F |
| 2003 | 7/1/05 | CH 241 | State wide enhanced education tax. Set rate to raise \$363,677,547 |
| 2004 | 7/1/04 | CH 195 | Tax rate \$3.24 on each \$1,000 value of taxable property |
| 2005 | 7/1/05 | CH 257:21,22 | Constitutionally of law CH 96:1 Repealed RSA 198:40 \& 49 and contingent applicability |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |
| 2007 | No change |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |
| 2009 | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 1 / 09 \\ & 7 / 1 / 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CH 144:270 } \\ & \text { CH 144:285 } \end{aligned}$ | RSA 21-J:43, Changes installment plan time length from 6 months to no amount of time RSA 72:28, Tax exemption on Commercial Industrial Construction changed to preceding the tax year for which exemption is claimed |
| 2010 | 6/14/10 | CH 153 | RSA 76:15-aa,l, allowing towns converting to quarterly property tax billing to make the first bill due on a date other than April 1st. |
| 2011 |  |  | ? |
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REPEALED 1990

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1911 |  | RSA 82 | TAX RATE |
| 1990 |  | CH 9:3 | Tax was repealed by CH 9:3, Laws of 1990 |
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | FILING THRESHOLD | CHAPTER | TAX RATE | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949 |  | Forest Conservation and Taxation statute enacted. | CH 295:1 | 10\% of stumpage value taxable at time of cutting |  |
| 1955 |  | Addition of special aid to heavily timbered towns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSA 79:20 and RSA } \\ & \text { 79:23 CH 287:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 12\% of stumpage value |  |
| 1975 |  | Repealed special aid to heavily timbered towns | CH 457:3 | Effective 4/1/80. Tax rate returned to $10 \%$ of stumpage value |  |
| 1999 |  | Current requirements for filing: All timber is taxable except as provided in RSA 79:1,II,b, 1-5 and RSA 79:2 |  | The normal yield tax shall be deposited into the general fund within the town the timber was cut. |  |
| 2003 |  |  |  | Amend language no tax change |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  | Intent to cut |  |
| 2005 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |  |

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION: DOCUMENT TITLE:
LAST DATE REVISED:
Reference Document
Historical Summary of Tax Rates DOCUMENT TITLE:
RSA 78, first enacted in 1939

NH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION: DOCUMENT TITLE:
LAST DATE REVISED:
Historical Summary of Tax Rates September 2011
TOBACCO TAX: RSA 78 RSA 78, first enacted in 1939

## TAX RATE AND LICENSE FEES

Added license fees for tobacco samplers and for each vending machine location.
Enacted further restrictions on sale of tobacco products through vending machines.
俍
Tax sto "loose tobacco" to definition of tobacco products.
Increased tax from $\$ 0.52$ to $\$ 0.80$
Inventory submitted as of 20 days of effective date.
1.08 tax imposed
RSA 78:2, Inventory
RSA 78:1 XIV, Added: Includes snuff and cigars but not premium cigars
RSA 78:1 XX, Adds definition of premium cigars
RSA 78:7-C, Rate increase from $19 \%$ to $48.59 \%$ of wholesale price. No tax on premium cigars
RSA:32, Amount changed from $\$ 0.37$ to $\$ 1.00$
RSA 78:7-c, rate increase from $48.59 \%$ to $65.03 \%$ of wholesale price. No tax on premium cigars.
RSA 78:12, substituting the word "return" for the word "report."
RSA 78:7-c, decrease in tax from $65.03 \%$ to $48 \%$ of wholesale price.
Conitgency for decrease in tax to remain - if the DRA reports that the amount of Tobacco Tax revenue received for July 1, 2011 through June 30, at the decreased rates.

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | TAX RATE AND LICENSE FEES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1/1/98 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CH} 338: 2 \\ & \mathrm{CH} 338: 7 \end{aligned}$ | Added license fees for tobacco samplers and for each vending machine location. Enacted further restrictions on sale of tobacco products through vending machines. |
| 1999 |  | CH 351:57 | Increased the rate of tobacco to \$0.52 per pack of 20 not to include cigars, pipe tobacco and loose tobacco. |
| 2003 | 7/1/03 | CH 152 | Rate $\$ 0.52$ per pack. $19 \%$ tax on tobacco other than cigarettes. |
|  |  | CH 319 | Tax stamps discount removed. Repealed comp for collecting tax. |
| 2005 | 7/1/05 | CH 177 | Added "loose tobacco" to definition of tobacco products. Increased tax from \$0.52 to \$0.80 Inventory submitted as of 20 days of effective date. |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |
| 2007 | 7/1/07 | CH 263 | 1.08 tax imposed |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |
| 2009 | 7/1/09 | CH 144:2 (HB 2) CH 144:3 CH 144:177 CH 144:178 CH 144:179 CH 144:257 | RSA 78:7, \$0.45 increase in tax from \$1.33 to \$1.78 <br> RSA 78:2, Inventory <br> RSA 78:1 XIV, Added: Includes snuff and cigars but not premium cigars <br> RSA 78:1 XX, Adds definition of premium cigars <br> RSA 78:7-C, Rate increase from $19 \%$ to $48.59 \%$ of wholesale price. No tax on premium cigars <br> RSA:32, Amount changed from $\$ 0.37$ to $\$ 1.00$ |
| 2010 | 6/10/10 | CH 1:45 | RSA 78:7-c, rate increase from $48.59 \%$ to $65.03 \%$ of wholesale price. No tax on premium cigars. |
| 2011 | 6/27/11 | CH 27 | RSA 78:12, substituting the word "return" for the word "report." |
| 2011 | 7/1/11 | CH 224:377-381 | RSA 78:7, $\$ 0.10$ decrease in tax from $\$ 1.78$ to $\$ 1.68$ RSA 78:7-c, decrease in tax from $65.03 \%$ to $48 \%$ of wholesale price. Conitgency for decrease in tax to remain - if the DRA reports that the amount of Tobacco Tax revenue received for July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013 was equal to or above the amount received for the period of July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2011, then the Tobacco Tax rates shall remain at the decreased rates. |
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## This tax is handled basically the same as the telephone tax was handled before the enactment of the Communications Services Tax.

The Department appraises the entire company to determine the "Current Market Value" of the company. A portion of this value is then allocated to the state, based on the original cost of investment in NH compared to the original cost of investment everywhere. The result is the "Market Value in NH". From this value, the amount taxable at the ocal level is deducted by comparing the total original cost of land and buildings at the local level, to the total original cost in NH . This result is the "Market Value Taxable at the state level (the items taxable at the state level would include lines poles switching equipment...). This value must be reduced to the average level of assessment in the state (for 1989 it was $63 \%$ ). Then the "Average State Tax Rate is applied to calculate the taxes due in NH.

## 1,000,000

$1,000,000$
50,000
$2,000,000$

| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 | 7/1/05 | CH Law 93 |  |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2007 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | QUARTERLY ESTIMATE PAYMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2010 | $7 / 1 / 10$ | CH 60 | Makes various changes to RSA 82 relative to the definitions and procedures relating to the Railroad Tax. (Market value versus |  |
| 2011 | No change |  |  |  |
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| SESSION YEAR | EFFECTIVE DATE | CHAPTER | FILING THRESHOLD | TAX RATE \& PAYMENTS | ESTIMATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 |  | CH 17 | RSA 83-F was adopted | The rate is $\$ 6.60$ per $\$ 1,000$ of value of utility property. On December 1st of each year the DRA will determine the value of utility property as of the previous April 1st. | Due on 7/1/, $9 / 15$ and 12/15, for the first year estimates shall be in the amount of one-third of the estimated tax. |
| 2003 | 7/1/04 | CH 241 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 7/1/04 | CH 200 |  |  | Exempt from enhanced statewide education tax. |
| 2005 | 7/1/05 | CH 93:4,1,23 |  | Determine value <br> Appeals payments and tax notice <br> Repealed public hearings |  |
| 2006 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 8/24/07 | CH 216:2 | 5 VII \& VIII added | Info filing penalties Change of ownership |  |
| 2008 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | No change |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 8/27/10 | CH 219:2 | RSA 83-F:3 amended to require utility property to be appraised at market value using generally accepted appraisal methods and techniques and to require the Commissioner to hold one annual public hearing prior to performing assessments for valuation of utility property of regulated public utilities. |  |  |
| 2010 | 8/27/10 | CH 219:3 | RSA 83-F:5, VIII amended to require a taxpayer acquiring utility property or assets to notify the Commissioner, in writing, no later than 30 days subsequent to the sale or transfer of the utility's property or asset. |  |  |
| 2011 | 7/1/11 | CH 59 | RSA 83-F:1, V amended to exclude from the definition of "utility property" anything that does not go into the stream of commerce. |  |  |

## 2011 Legislative Review

This is only a brief summary of relevant 2011 Legis/ative changes. It is not intended to be relied upon as a full and complete text. Please refer to the applicable forms, instructions, rules and to the laws cited below to determine how this information applies to specific persons or situations.

## BUSINESS TAX:

Senate Bill 58 (Chapter 181, Laws of 2011 - effective June 14, 2011 - and applicable to taxable periods ending on or after December 31, 2010) exempts from taxation under New Hampshire's Business Profits Tax (RSA 77-A) and the Business Enterprise Tax (RSA 77-E) "qualified community development entities," as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 45D, which are owned, controlled, or managed, directly or indirectly, by the New Hampshire Business Finance Authority.

Senate Bill 125 (Chapter 207, Laws of 2011 - effective June 25, 2011 and applicable for taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011) modifies the standards and burden of proof with respect to the Business Profits Tax deduction for reasonable compensation (RSA 77-A:4, III) attributable to owners of partnerships, limited liability companies, and sole proprietorships that file a business tax return as a partnership or proprietorship. The new law allows a deduction equal to a fair and reasonable compensation for the actual personal services of a natural person, who is a proprietor, partner, or member, provided to the business organization. The amount of such deduction, however, cannot reduce the business organization's taxable business profits to less than zero. The deduction shall not exceed the amount reported as earned income on the federal income tax returns of the proprietor, partner, or member, but may include an amount not to exceed net rental income as compensation for operating rental property, and an amount not to exceed $15 \%$ of the gross selling price as commission on the sale of business assets.

The new law sets forth that the business organization shall use the standards outlined in section 162(a)(1) of the United States Internal Revenue Code (as it may be amended from time to time) and the Treasury Regulations, administrative rulings, and judicial cases issued thereunder to determine the amount of the deduction available. The law also requires the business organization to keep such records as may be necessary to determine that the deduction is reasonable under these standards.

The new law keeps in place the $\$ 50,000$ "record-keeping safe harbor." Therefore, in lieu of substantiating the value of the personal services of proprietors, partners, or members, a business organization or group of related business organizations may elect, as a record-keeping safe harbor, to deduct up to $\$ 50,000$ as total compensation for the tax year. Any such deduction claimed by a business organization or group of related business organizations shall not be subject to challenge by the Department; provided, that upon request, the business organization or group of related business organizations shall substantiate that the proprietor or at least one partner or member performed actual personal services for the business organization or group of related business organizations.

This law also amended the burden of proving the reasonableness of the compensation deduction. Under the new law, a business organization claiming a deduction shall bear the burden of proving that all proprietors, partners, or members for whom a deduction is being claimed provided actual personal services to the business organization at any time during the taxable period. Once a business organization has satisfied this burden of proof, the amount claimed as a deduction shall be presumed to be reasonable, unless the Department proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the deduction claimed by the business organization is clearly unreasonable.

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:363, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2013) increases the amount of Net Operating Loss (NOL) that may be generated in a tax year from $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 10,000,000$.

House Bill 187 (Chapter 225, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2014 and applicable for taxable periods ending on or after July 1, 2014) changes the carryforward periods for the Business Enterprise Tax (BET) credit against the Business Profits Tax (BPT). Under the new law, any unused portion of the BET credit may be carried forward and allowed against the BPT due for ten (instead of five) taxable periods from the taxable period in which the tax was paid.

## INTEREST \& DIVIDENDS TAX:

Senate Bill 58 (Chapter 181, Laws of 2011 - effective June 14, 2011 and applicable to taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011, and also to taxable periods ending before January 1, 2011 if the taxable period is subject to assessment of tax and appealed pursuant to RSA 21-J:28-b) provides that amounts reported and taxed federally as interest or dividends to a holder of an ownership interest in a Qualified Investment Company (QIC) as defined in RSA 77-A:1, XXI, a mutual fund, or a unit investment trust are taxable under the Interest and Dividends Tax (RSA chapter 77). The new law also creates a special rule for QICs, mutual funds, and unit investment trusts. Amounts accruing to the holder of an ownership interest in a QIC or a mutual fund, or investment income earned or distributions received by the holder of an ownership interest in a unit investment trust, which QIC, mutual fund, or unit investment trust invests solely in New Hampshire tax-exempt tax anticipation notes, bond anticipation notes, and other instruments exempt under New Hampshire law are not treated as interest or dividend income for Interest and Dividends Tax purposes. In addition, amounts reported and taxed federally as capital gains to the holder of an ownership interest in a QIC, a mutual fund, or a unit investment trust are not treated as interest or dividend income for Interest and Dividends Tax purposes.

Senate Bill 125 (Chapter 207, Laws of 2011 - effective June 25, 2011 and applicable for taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011) provides that excess compensation determined by an audit of the Department shall not be considered a dividend under RSA chapter 77, unless such determination is accepted by the Internal Revenue Service.

## MEALS \& RENTALS TAX

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:1, $224: 16$ and 224:316, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) provides that for each fiscal year of the biennium ending June 30, 2013, the State Treasurer shall fund the distribution of revenue to New Hampshire cities and towns pursuant to the formula for determining the amount of revenue returnable to cities and towns under RSA $78-A: 26$, I and II at no more than the fiscal year 2011 distribution. In addition, for the biennium ending June 30, 2013, the State Treasurer shall suspend the distribution of net income pursuant to RSA 78-A:26, I(a)(2) credited to the Department of Resources and Economic Development, Division of Travel and Tourism Development.
The new law also repeals the $\$ 5.00$ fee for a Meals \& Rentals Operator's License under RSA 78-A:4, II.

## TOBACCO TAX:

Senate Bill 43 (Chapter 27, Laws of 2011 - effective June 27, 2011) corrects the language in RSA chapter 78 to state that tobacco wholesalers file a "return" and not a "report" for Tobacco Tax purposes.

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:377-381, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011): decreases the Tobacco Tax rates as follows:

- The tax rate for each pack containing 20 cigarettes is decreased from $\$ 1.78$ to $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 6 8}$ per pack;
- The tax rate for each pack containing 25 cigarettes is decreased from $\$ 2.23$ to $\$ 2.10$ per pack; and
- The tax rate for all other tobacco products, except premium cigars, is decreased from 65.03 percent to 48 percent of the wholesale sales price.

The new law has a contingency provision that requires the Department to report, on or before July 15, 2013, to the Speaker of the House, the Senate President, the Fiscal Committee of the General Court, the Secretary of State, and the Director of the Office of Legislative Services, the amount of Tobacco Tax revenue received, as reported in the Department's "Daily Cash Basis Revenue Report," for the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013. If the Department reports that the amount of Tobacco Tax revenue received for the period was below the amounts received for the period of July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2011, then the tax rate for each pack containing 20 cigarettes shall increase back to $\$ 1.78$ per pack; the tax rate for each pack containing 25 cigarettes shall increase back to $\$ 2.23$ per pack; and the tax rate for all other tobacco products, except premium cigars, shall increase back to 65.03 percent of the wholesale sales price - effective on August 1, 2013. If, however, the Department reports that the amount of Tobacco Tax revenue received for the period was equal to or above the amount received for the period of July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2011, then the Tobacco Tax rates shall remain at the decreased rates.

## GAMBLING TAX:

House Bill 229 (Chapter 47, Laws of 2011 - effective May 23, 2011 and applicable to all gambling winnings received on or after the effective date of this act) repeals the $10 \%$ Gambling Winnings Tax. The repeal was not applied retroactively and, therefore, those taxpayers who reported and paid New Hampshire Gambling Winnings Tax for gambling winnings received between July 1, 2009 and December 31, 2010 are not entitled to a refund based upon the repeal. Taxable gambling winnings received between January 1, 2011 and May 22, 2011 must be reported and the tax due paid in April of 2012.

## UTILITY PROPERTY TAX:

Senate Bill 35 (Chapter 59, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) the new law provides that "utility property" shall not include:

- The electrical generation, production, and supply equipment of an "eligible customer-generator" as defined in RSA 362-A:1-a, II-b;
- Property used for the retail distribution of fuel for personal, non-commercial use, use as fuel in a motorized vehicle, home cooking, or heating; and
- That portion of a manufacturing establishment's generation, production, supply, distribution, transmission, or transportation of electric power or natural gas, crude petroleum and refined petroleum products or combinations thereof, water, or sewage subject to tax under RSA 72:6, 72:7, and 72:8, but not exempt under RSA 72:23, that is expended, used, or consumed on-site primarily for the operation of the manufacturing establishment and that does not otherwise enter the stream of commerce.

House Bill 381 (Chapter 168, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) authorizes net metering for micro-combined heat and power systems.

## MEDICAID ENHANCEMENT TAX:

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:34 and 224:38-40, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) transfers the authority for the Medicaid Enhancement Tax Account from the Department of Health and Human Services to the Department of Revenue Administration. The new law also amended the definition of "hospital" under RSA 84-A:1, III to mean general hospitals and special hospitals for rehabilitation required to be licensed under RSA 151 that provide inpatient and outpatient hospital services, but not including government facilities. The definition of "net patient services revenue" under RSA 84-A:1, IV-a was amended to include revenues received from the State's uncompensated care account and revenues received from all payers of inpatient and outpatient patient care.

In addition, paragraphs I and II of RSA 84-A:3, as they were applied in 1991 and 1992, were declared null and void.

## NURSING FACILITY QUALITY ASSESSMENT \& INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES:

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:46-48 and 224:368, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) provides a contingency that for the biennium ending June 30, 2013, the Nursing Facility Quality Assessment (NFQA) imposed by RSA chapter 84-C shall not be assessed and no return shall be required to be made upon the occurrence of any proceeds collected from nursing facilities (as defined in RSA 84-C:1, V(a)) from the NFQA being expended by the State or any State agency for any purpose other than funding nursing facility expenditures through the Nursing Facility Trust Fund under RSA 151-E:14 and long-term care services through the Department of Health and Human Services.

Also, for the biennium ending June 30, 2013, moneys from the Intermediate Care Facility (ICF) separate account may be expended by the State for long-term care services through the Department of Health and Human Services.

For the biennium ending June 30, 2013, 25\% of the receipts from the NFQA and the ICF Quality Assessment shall be deposited as restricted revenue in accounts of the Department of Health and Human Services and will be used in support of long-term care services and not for any other purpose.

Moreover, under this new law, the Department of Health and Human Services shall make an additional American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Medicaid Quality Incentive Program (MQIP) payment to nursing facilities prior to June 30, 2011. The payment will cover the period April 1, 2011 - May 31, 2011. The provisions of RSA chapter $84-\mathrm{C}$ and RSA chapter $51-\mathrm{E}$ shall govern the assessment and payment, except that the assessment period shall be April 1 to May 31, 2011; the returns shall be filed on or before June 27, 2011; and the Department of Health and Human Services shall make the MQIP payment to the nursing facilities prior to June 30, 2011.

With respect to the remainder of the assessment period as defined by RSA 84-C:1 (June 1 - June 30, 2011), the assessment and payment shall be carried out as would otherwise have been, except that the returns filed in July 2011 shall only cover the period June 1, 2011 - June 30, 2011.

## MUNICIPAL:

Senate Bill 1 (Chapter 3, Laws of 2011 - effective March 1, 2011) eliminates the automatic continuation requirement for public employee collective bargaining agreements.

Senate Bill 2 (Chapter 234, Laws of 2011 - effective July 5, 2011) allows a municipality to adopt a tax cap through the charter process or by a warrant article placed on the warrant for the annual meeting by the governing body or by petition. If the tax cap is proposed by warrant article, it requires a public hearing prior to vote and also needs a $3 / 5$ majority to pass. The article must specify the parameters of any increase of local taxes. Parameters would be based on a fixed dollar amount or percentage of allowable increase to the proposed budget brought forward at the municipality's annual meeting. The new law also legalizes existing charter tax caps.

Senate Bill 15 (Chapter 19, Laws of 2011 - effective June 24, 2011) amends the requirement that tax collectors, city clerks or city officials must remit money collected to the town treasurer on a daily basis whenever the tax receipts totaled $\$ 500$ or more. The $\$ 500$ threshold was amended to $\$ 1,500$.

Senate Bill 16 (Chapter 57, Laws of 2011 - effective May 9, 2011) requires all votes relative to recommendations on ballot questions to be recorded votes and the numerical tally of any such vote shall be printed on the ballot next to the affected ballot question. If a town has not voted to require such tallies to be printed on the ballot next to the affected ballot question, the governing body may do so on its own initiative. Any ballot vote that includes a tally shall be valid, notwithstanding the fact that the vote occurred prior to May 9, 2011.

House Bill 32 (Chapter 4, Laws of 2011 - effective April 25, 2011) repeals RSA 669:16, VI-a, relative to a town auditor and removed the reference to RSA 41:31, which was repealed last year.

House Bill 70 (Chapter 91, Laws of 2011 - effective July 26, 2011) requires written notification by the State to the municipal clerk and the Chairman of the Charter Commission within 14 days of the State's receipt of the municipal clerk's report under RSA 49-B:5-a.

House Bill 77 (Chapter 1, Laws of 2011 - effective February 4, 2011) prohibits an amendment to a warrant article that eliminates the subject matter of the article, but allows an amendment that changes the dollar amount of an appropriation in a warrant article.

House Bill 144 (Chapter 68, Laws of 2011 - effective July 15, 2011) provides that revenue bonds and not general obligation bonds can be used for RSA 53-F qualifying energy conservation and clean energy improvements. Only grants, and not municipal revenues, can be used to fund the revolving fund. In addition, general municipal revenues cannot be used to fund the loss reserve account.

The new law also provides that the lien for the assessments under this chapter will be junior to other existing liens, such as a mortgage, and in the event of a foreclosure on the property, the municipality's lien is extinguished.

House Bill 198 (Chapter 71, Laws of 2011 - effective July 15, 2011) allows the County Treasurer to invest in units of pooled money market mutual funds which invest solely in obligations of the United States Treasury when the County Treasurer has an excess of funds which are not immediately needed for the purpose of expenditure. Investment by the County Treasurer requires approval of the County Commissioners and County Executive Committee.

House Bill 288 (Chapter 22, Laws of 2011 - effective June 27, 2011) provides that if an unincorporated place exceeds ten (10) voters, and has not organized pursuant to RSA 53:1, the county in which the unincorporated place is located shall pay the town designated pursuant to RSA 668:2 for costs it incurs in conducting a primary or general election for the unincorporated place. The county shall assess the cost for conducting an election to the unincorporated place.

House Bill 337 (Chapter 258, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) changes the name of the "State Education Property Tax" to "Education Tax" and repeals the requirement for excess payments to be remitted to the Department, thereby allowing municipalities to retain all of the state tax assessed. It also repeals RSA 198:47 regarding the DP-5 form, amends the calculation of adequate education grants and makes other changes to the education grant formula and distribution.

House Bill 521 (Chapter 112, Laws of 2011 - effective July 30, 2011) requires that a public hearing on county budget estimates be held no earlier than 5 days, nor later than 20 days, after the mailing of the Commissioners' statement.

House Bill 622 (Chapter 262, Laws of 2011 - effective July 13, 2011) allows for the adjustment in the method of calculating the partial payment of taxes related to an increase or decrease in local education taxes resulting from a change to the town's or city's adequate education grant. The changes apply to both semi-annual and quarterly collection of taxes.

House Bill 650 (Chapter 211, Laws of 2011 - effective June 27, 2011 - sunsets July 1, 2012) and House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:155 and 224:156, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011and sunsets July $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 1 2}$ ) in response to statutory changes resulting in reductions or increases in distribution of state revenues for education to school districts, the new law allows the governing body of any school district (including those that have adopted RSA 40:13) to call a special meeting of the legislative body to consider a reduction, rescission, or increase of appropriations made at an annual meeting. The special meeting is subject to certain provisions for giving notice and voting.

## PROPERTY APPRAISAL:

Senate Bill 42 (Chapter 179, Laws of 2011 - effective August 13, 2011) requires a purchaser and seller in a real estate transfer (or transfer of interest therein) to each file a separate Declaration of Consideration (Form CD-57) with the Department.

Senate Bill 97 (Chapter 237, Laws of 2011 - effective July 5, 2011 and the authority conferred by this act shall apply retroactively to cities and towns that adopted the provisions of RSA chapter 79-E in effect prior to the effective date of this act) allows for buildings which have been destroyed by fire or other acts of nature to be included as a "qualifying structure" eligible for the Community Revitalization Tax Relief Incentive. This includes where such destruction occurred within 15 years prior to the adoption of SB 97 by the city or town.

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:199, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) beginning on July 1, 2011, the administrative and business processing functions of the Equalization Standards Board under RSA 21-J:14-c shall be merged with and performed by the Assessing Standards Board under RSA 21-J:14-a.

House Bill 46 (Chapter 33, Laws of 2011 - effective July 8, 2011) modifies the membership of the Current Use Board by stating that no other member of the Board shall or have been certified under RSA 21-J:14-f, except for the Commissioner of the Department of Revenue or the Commissioner's designee. The new law also allows the Executive Director of the New Hampshire Association of Conservation Commissions to have a designee on the Board.

House Bill 316 (Chapter 206, Laws of 2011 - effective July 24, 2011) sets forth that anyone who fails to file an inventory form shall not lose the right of appeal, including the subsequent owner if the property is transferred during the tax year to a different owner and the inventory blank was mailed or delivered to the previous owner. Furthermore, the owner does not lose their right to appeal for refusing to grant inspection of the property.

House Bill 541 (Chapter 138, Laws of 2011 - effective April 1, 2011) amends RSA 72:29, VI to state that ownership of real estate, as expressed by such words as "owner," "owned" or "own," shall include those who have placed their property in a grantor/revocable trust.

## RSA CHAPTER 21-J ADMINISTRATIVE:

Senate Bill 18 (Chapter 23, Laws of 2011 - effective June 27, 2011) removes the responsibility of the internal control of all tax receivables from the Central Tax Services Unit within the Department.

Senate Bill 56 (Chapter 180, Laws of 2011 - effective August 13, 2011) authorizes the Department to accept credit card and debit card payments of taxes.

Senate Bill 125 (Chapter 207, Laws of 2011 - effective June 25, 2011 and applicable for taxable periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011) provides that in an appeal under RSA 21-J:28-b, the Department is required to prove any change in the compensation deduction under RSA chapter 77-A.

House Bill 2 (Chapter 224:195, Laws of 2011 - effective July 1, 2011) Flood Control expenditures will be reduced by approximately $\$ 590,500$ for each fiscal year, as New Hampshire would only distribute to cities and towns New Hampshire's required portion of the reimbursements. Payments made by states are to be applied first to existing outstanding balances.

House Bill 579 (Chapter 173, Laws of 2011 - effective August 13, 2011) allows the Legislative Budget Assistant to conduct performance audits of the Department without violation of the confidentiality statute, RSA 21-J:14. This exception does not authorize disclosure to any member of the Legislature. Moreover, the records, files, returns, or information deemed confidential and privileged under RSA 21-J:14 shall not be subject to disclosure under RSA 14:31-a, II or RSA 91-A.
The new law also clarifies that the Department of Revenue Administration Revenue Counsel shall serve at the pleasure of the Commissioner.

## IX REVENUE AND STATISTICS

RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

| RECEIPTS | FY 10 | FY 11 | \% of Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Collections | 1,209,619,602 | 1,256,180,848 | 3.85\% |
| TOTAL | 1,209,619,602 | 1,256,180,848 | 3.85\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| EXPENDITURES | FY 10 | FY 11 | \% of Change |
| CLASSIFIED | 7,163,277 | 6,770,552 | (5.48\%) |
| UNCLASSIFIED | 1,624,355 | 1,678,759 | 3.35\% |
| BENEFITS | 4,546,017 | 4,533,490 | (0.28\%) |
| SUBTOTAL | 13,333,649 | 12,982,801 | (2.63\%) |
| Current Expense | 3,295,138 | 3,212,074 | (2.52\%) |
| Equipment | 0 | 20,407 |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 3,295,138 | 3,232,481 | (1.90\%) |
| In-State | 68,497 | 55,636 | (18.78\%) |
| Out-of-State | 127,670 | 115,489 | (9.54\%) |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 19,847 |  |
| SUBTOTAL | 196,167 | 190,972 | (2.65\%) |
| TOTAL | 16,824,954 | 16,406,254 | (2.49\%) |
|  |  |  |  |
| DISBURSEMENT/TOWNS | FY 10 | FY 11 | \% of Change |
| Flood Control | 811,515 | 811,515 | 0.00\% |
| Forest Land | 152,101 | 142,995 | (5.99\%) |
| Recreational | 0 | 0 |  |
| TOTAL | 963,617 | 954,510 | (0.95\%) |

- Due to the new ERP system, some miscellaneous expenses had to be reclassified as a new chart of accounts was implemented.
- Source Data - Cash Basis from fiscal year end reconciliation

REVENUE BREAKDOWN BY SOURCE

|  | FY 10 | FY11 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Profits Tax | 290,777,382 | 319,720,426 | 28,943,044 |
| Business Enterprise Tax | 168,004,685 | 193,736,386 | 25,731,701 |
| Meals \& Rental Tax | 229,325,552 | 234,720,642 | 5,395,090 |
| Tobacco Tax | 239,904,386 | 232,029,505 | (7,874,881) |
| Interest \& Dividends Tax | 83,007,576 | 75,665,931 | $(7,341,645)$ |
| Estate \& Legacy Tax | 23,970 | 56,173 | 32,203 |
| Communications Svs Tax | 78,367,621 | 77,657,826 | $(709,795)$ |
| Real Estate Transfer Tax | 83,036,064 | 81,739,703 | $(1,296,361)$ |
| Utility Property Tax | 29,923,585 | 32,299,961 | 2,376,376 |
| Electricity Consumption Tax | 5,957,300 | 6,056,038 | 98,738 |
| Gambling Tax | 821,049 | 2,007,420 | 1,186,371 |
| Other | 470,432 | 490,837 | 20,405 |
| TOTAL | 1,209,619,602 | 1,256,180,848 | 46,561,246 |

No excess state education property tax due to law change on RSA 198:41 effective 1/06
OF THE REVENUES COLLECTED IN THE TABLE ABOVE, THE FOLLOWING TRANSFERS WERE MADE TO THE EDUCATION TRUST FUND RESULTING IN THE GENERAL FUND AMOUNTS LISTED BELOW.

|  | FY 10 | FY 11 | Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Business Profits Tax | $51,242,018$ | $55,982,766$ | $4,740,748$ |
| Business Enterprise Tax | $117,327,790$ | $133,953,056$ | $16,625,266$ |
| Meals \& Rentals Tax | $4,506,992$ | $6,393,270$ | $1,886,278$ |
| Real Estate Transfer Tax | $28,630,789$ | $28,627,025$ | $(3,764)$ |
| Tobacco Tax | $114,415,401$ | $99,674,833$ | $(14,740,568)$ |
| Utility Property Tax | $\underline{29,923,585}$ | $\underline{32,299,961}$ | $\underline{2,376,376}$ |
| EDUCATION TRUST FUND | $\underline{346,046,575}$ | $\underline{356,930,911}$ | $\underline{10,884,336}$ |
| NET GENERAL FUND | $\mathbf{8 6 3 , 7 5 3 , 0 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 9 , 2 4 9 , 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 6 7 6 , 9 1 0}$ |

- Source Data - Cash Basis from fiscal year end reconciliation

NET INCOME ON A CASH BASIS FOR 2004-2007

| TYPE OF REVENUE | Fiscal Year <br> Ending <br> $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 0 4}$ | Fiscal Year <br> Ending <br> $6 / 30 / 05$ | Fiscal Year <br> Ending <br> $6 / 30 / 06$ | Fiscal Year <br> Ending <br> $6 / 30 / 07$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BPT | $171,568,053$ | $209,747,332$ | $341,351,280$ | $332,902,093$ |
| BET | $236,627,334$ | $236,162,258$ | $212,115,406$ | $252,499,583$ |
| M \& R Tax | $184,259,685$ | $192,196,642$ | $204,907,639$ | $207,287,472$ |
| Tobacco Tax | $100,040,497$ | $99,307,075$ | $145,022,895$ | $139,510.631$ |
| I \& D Tax | $53,769,043$ | $66,929,900$ | $80,256,331$ | $106,017,526$ |
| Estate \& Legacy Tax | $35,050,805$ | $11,909,724$ | $3,925,281$ | 445,818 |
| CST Tax | $65,595,263$ | $69,557,473$ | $70,330,594$ | $73,369,315$ |
| Real Estate Transfer Tax | $137,018,703$ | $160,430,527$ | $157,941,376$ | $140,630,984$ |
| Utilities Property Tax | $20,159,763$ | $20,087,776$ | $20,789,572$ | $21,801,715$ |
| Excess Education Property Tax | $29,843,911$ | $20,934,231$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Electric Consumption Tax | $6,217,227$ | $6,229,864$ | $6,344,187$ | $6,258,150$ |
| Other Revenue | $\underline{923,468}$ | $\underline{871,900}$ | $\underline{360,933}$ | $\underline{288,579}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 1 , 0 7 3 , 7 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 4 , 3 6 4 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 3 , 3 4 5 , 4 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 1 , 0 1 1 , 8 6 6}$ |

NET INCOME ON A CASH BASIS FOR 2008-2011

| TYPE OF REVENUE | Fiscal Year Ending $6 / 30 / 08$ | Fiscal Year Ending $6 / 30 / 09$ | Fiscal Year Ending 6/30/10 | Fiscal Year Ending 6/30/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BPT | 373,427,632 | 305,497,834 | 290,777,382 | 319,720,426 |
| BET | 222,225,230 | 174,855,792 | 168,004,685 | 193,736,386 |
| M \& R Tax | 214,258,477 | 210,069,413 | 229,325,552 | 234,720,642 |
| Tobacco Tax | 165,821,083 | 193,893,330 | 239,904,386 | 232,029,505 |
| I \& D Tax | 115,928,152 | 97,372,040 | 83,007,576 | 75,665,931 |
| Estate \& Legacy Tax | 111,396 | 61,887 | 23,970 | 56,173 |
| CST Tax | 79,509,885 | 80,932,268 | 78,367,621 | 77,657,826 |
| Real Estate Transfer Tax | 117,153,685 | 83,477,646 | 83,036,064 | 81,739,703 |
| Utilities Property Tax | 24,209,319 | 28,942,542 | 29,923,585 | 32,299,961 |
| Excess Education Property Tax | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Electric Consumption Tax | 6,285,323 | 6,073,712 | 5,957,300 | 6,056,038 |
| Gambling Tax | n/a | n/a | 821,049 | 2,007,420 |
| Other Revenue | 515,220 | 672,438 | 470,432 | 490,837 |
| TOTAL | 1,319,445,402 | 1,181,848,902 | 1,209,619,602 | 1,256,180,848 |

- Source Data - Cash Basis from fiscal year end reconciliation
DRA Personnel
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PERSONNEL \& REVENUE ADMINISTRATION STATISTICS

| Personnel Expenditure <br> FY 2011 | Revenue Collected <br> FY 2011 | Estimated Cost <br> of Collection FY 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 12,982,801$ | $\$ 1,256,180,848$ | $1.03 \%$ |


|  | CURRENT \# OF EMPLOYEES AS OF <br> PERSONNEL DATA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Unclassified Employees 30, 2011 |  |


| PHYSICAL PLANT AND PROPERTY VALUE AS OF JUNE 30, 2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Equipment | $\$ 1,194,174$ |
| Motor Vehicles | $\$ 24,701$ |
| Physical Plant | $\$ 0$ |
| Farm | $\$ 0$ |
| Highways | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Property Value | $\$ 1,218,875$ |


| REVOLVING FUND RSA 9:15 APPROVED BY G\&C 4/15/82 - LAST AMENDED BY G\&C 3/3/93 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| FOR PERIOD JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance 7/1/10 |  |
| Expenditure | $\$ 8,307.04$ |
| Revenues | $\$ 1,462.70$ |
| Ending Fund Balance 6/30/11 | $\$ 791.20$ |


| REVOLVING FUND RSA 21-J:24-a,VII, FOR PERIOD JULY 1, 2010 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2011 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Beginning Fund Balance 7/1/10 | $\$ 2,731.12$ |
| Expenditure | $\$ 947.86$ |
| Revenues | $\$ 1,520.00$ |
| Ending Fund Balance 6/30/11 | $\$ 3,303.26$ |

- Source Data - Cash Basis from fiscal year end reconciliation

SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES

|  | ACTUAL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY07 | FY08 | FY09 | FY10 | FY11 |
| Classified | 6,052,899 | 6,637,589 | 6,982,512 | 7,163,277 | 6,770,552 |
| Unclassified | 1,515,825 | 1,536,758 | 1,580,880 | 1,624,355 | 1,678,759 |
| Benefits | 3,728,587 | 3,777,189 | 3,978,307 | 4,546,017 | 4,533,490 |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | 11,597,311 | 11,951,536 | 12,541,699 | 13,333,649 | 12,982,801 |
| Current Expense | 2,649,319 | 2,658,295 | 3,733,515 | 3,295,138 | 3,212,074 |
| Equipment | 551,022 | 161,378 | 448,560 | - | 20,407 |
| Subtotal | 2,700,341 | 2,819,673 | 4,182,075 | 3,295,138 | 3,232,481 |
| In-State | 525,504 | 87,053 | 94,570 | 68,497 | 55,636 |
| Out-of-State | 122,504 | 153,980 | 114,425 | 127,670 | 115,489 |
| Miscellaneous | 269,544 | 274,655 | 6,602 | - | 19,847 |
| Subtotal | 444,553 | 515,688 | 215,597 | 196,167 | 190,972 |
| TOTAL | 14,442,204 | 15,286,897 | 16,939,371 | 16,824,954 | 16,406,254 |

Source: Cash Basis from fiscal year end reconciliation as presented in Annual Reports

History of Tax Types as a Percent of Total Tax Received


Annual Reports - Historical Data

| FYE | Tobacco | Change | BPT/BET | Change | M\&R | Change | I\&D | Change | Inherit | Change | RETT | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | \$24,946,507 |  | \$24,397,020 |  | \$17,987,701 |  | \$4,169,840 |  | \$7,055,524 |  | \$942,662 |  |
| 1974 | \$23,504,946 | -5.78\% | \$30,072,497 | 23.26\% | \$18,090,062 | 0.57\% | \$5,036,721 | 20.79\% | \$5,785,708 | -18.00\% | \$745,290 | -20.94\% |
| 1975 | \$23,876,124 | 1.58\% | \$26,843,979 | -10.74\% | \$12,813,408 | -29.17\% | \$5,794,286 | 15.04\% | \$5,882,019 | 1.66\% | \$518,338 | -30.45\% |
| 1976 | \$27,526,968 | 15.29\% | \$24,169,700 | -9.96\% | \$14,098,938 | 10.03\% | \$6,151,735 | 6.17\% | \$5,936,160 | 0.92\% | \$662,408 | 27.79\% |
| 1977 | \$26,838,701 | -2.50\% | \$32,556,760 | 34.70\% | \$15,749,858 | 11.71\% | \$7,004,073 | 13.86\% | \$7,339,690 | 23.64\% | \$912,850 | 37.81\% |
| 1978 | \$26,807,244 | -0.12\% | \$52,453,232 | 61.11\% | \$21,248,439 | 34.91\% | \$8,934,421 | 27.56\% | \$6,980,010 | -4.90\% | \$2,623,754 | 187.42\% |
| 1979 | \$25,948,944 | -3.20\% | \$64,017,845 | 22.05\% | \$25,542,747 | 20.21\% | \$10,028,131 | 12.24\% | \$7,527,734 | 7.85\% | \$3,303,553 | 25.91\% |
| 1980 | \$25,611,731 | -1.30\% | \$62,786,373 | -1.92\% | \$27,048,739 | 5.90\% | \$11,637,871 | 16.05\% | \$8,255,749 | 9.67\% | \$3,308,599 | 0.15\% |
| 1981 | \$26,753,946 | 4.46\% | \$57,339,717 | -8.67\% | \$30,231,760 | 11.77\% | \$14,021,728 | 20.48\% | \$10,371,777 | 25.63\% | \$3,031,327 | -8.38\% |
| 1982 | \$26,249,960 | -1.88\% | \$79,737,252 | 39.06\% | \$37,246,943 | 23.20\% | \$15,070,913 | 7.48\% | \$9,476,041 | -8.64\% | \$5,164,164 | 70.36\% |
| 1983 | \$25,992,045 | -0.98\% | \$73,625,927 | -7.66\% | \$43,119,834 | 15.77\% | \$16,762,818 | 11.23\% | \$10,582,542 | 11.68\% | \$7,021,612 | 35.97\% |
| 1984 | \$33,610,893 | 29.31\% | \$103,791,353 | 40.97\% | \$57,409,639 | 33.14\% | \$22,509,584 | 34.28\% | \$11,894,496 | 12.40\% | \$21,604,174 | 207.68\% |
| 1985 | \$32,755,456 | -2.55\% | \$108,522,266 | 4.56\% | \$56,644,696 | -1.33\% | \$24,688,791 | 9.68\% | \$12,002,575 | 0.91\% | \$28,615,918 | 32.46\% |
| 1986 | \$32,389,000 | -1.12\% | \$110,497,000 | 1.82\% | \$61,672,000 | 8.88\% | \$24,973,000 | 1.15\% | \$14,121,000 | 17.65\% | \$33,810,000 | 18.15\% |
| 1987 | \$31,788,225 | -1.85\% | \$150,293,655 | 36.02\% | \$68,938,740 | 11.78\% | \$27,010,105 | 8.16\% | \$20,824,464 | 47.47\% | \$43,147,975 | 27.62\% |
| 1988 | \$31,816,530 | 0.09\% | \$138,398,145 | -7.91\% | \$76,324,821 | 10.71\% | \$29,994,574 | 11.05\% | \$20,397,785 | -2.05\% | \$35,710,318 | -17.24\% |
| 1989 | \$31,758,544 | -0.18\% | \$143,170,703 | 3.45\% | \$81,654,391 | 6.98\% | \$36,165,831 | 20.57\% | \$30,428,049 | 49.17\% | \$29,507,058 | -17.37\% |
| 1990 | \$37,574,259 | 18.31\% | \$113,170,448 | -20.95\% | \$82,690,546 | 1.27\% | \$41,185,227 | 13.88\% | \$25,093,842 | -17.53\% | \$30,422,231 | 3.10\% |
| 1991 | \$39,182,468 | 4.28\% | \$111,889,162 | -1.13\% | \$89,806,369 | 8.61\% | \$36,874,798 | -10.47\% | \$22,882,849 | -8.81\% | \$31,531,363 | 3.65\% |
| 1992 | \$39,409,121 | 0.58\% | \$88,642,475 | -20.78\% | \$92,055,899 | 2.50\% | \$34,985,058 | -5.12\% | \$25,524,248 | 11.54\% | \$34,758,217 | 10.23\% |
| 1993 | \$40,991,088 | 4.01\% | \$119,454,080 | 34.76\% | \$95,064,348 | 3.27\% | \$35,662,683 | 1.94\% | \$32,006,083 | 25.39\% | \$27,276,954 | -21.52\% |
| 1994 | \$42,859,252 | 4.56\% | \$144,403,149 | 20.89\% | \$101,263,635 | 6.52\% | \$35,980,018 | 0.89\% | \$33,219,462 | 3.79\% | \$28,985,629 | 6.26\% |
| 1995 | \$44,489,670 | 3.80\% | \$163,953,839 | 13.54\% | \$107,328,262 | 5.99\% | \$37,958,245 | 5.50\% | \$30,266,348 | -8.89\% | \$28,992,391 | 0.02\% |
| 1996 | \$45,420,240 | 2.09\% | \$180,114,322 | 9.86\% | \$112,454,174 | 4.78\% | \$51,658,363 | 36.09\% | \$31,707,415 | 4.76\% | \$30,077,586 | 3.74\% |
| 1997 | \$49,837,126 | 9.72\% | \$209,896,593 | 16.54\% | \$118,721,973 | 5.57\% | \$52,698,495 | 2.01\% | \$41,234,484 | 30.05\% | \$32,423,790 | 7.80\% |
| 1998 | \$75,244,227 | 50.98\% | \$240,329,945 | 14.50\% | \$127,720,467 | 7.58\% | \$61,833,319 | 17.33\% | \$42,774,343 | 3.73\% | \$42,587,934 | 31.35\% |
| 1999 | \$73,327,818 | -2.55\% | \$257,267,608 | 7.05\% | \$136,499,008 | 6.87\% | \$62,911,196 | 1.74\% | \$47,482,309 | 11.01\% | \$51,066,185 | 19.91\% |
| 2000 | \$92,570,165 | 26.24\% | \$313,738,870 | 21.95\% | \$153,311,197 | 12.32\% | \$65,203,307 | 3.64\% | \$60,635,156 | 27.70\% | \$82,864,095 | 62.27\% |
| 2001 | \$87,959,255 | -4.98\% | \$352,471,608 | 12.35\% | \$163,049,648 | 6.35\% | \$76,842,273 | 17.85\% | \$57,064,323 | -5.89\% | \$90,350,287 | 9.03\% |
| 2002 | \$84,976,512 | -3.39\% | \$382,873,659 | 8.63\% | \$169,703,721 | 4.08\% | \$71,470,243 | -6.99\% | \$57,088,030 | 0.04\% | \$97,371,970 | 7.77\% |
| 2003 | \$93,267,036 | 9.76\% | \$393,381,018 | 2.74\% | \$175,114,686 | 3.19\% | \$56,417,343 | -21.06\% | \$68,193,847 | 19.45\% | \$117,003,621 | 20.16\% |
| 2004 | \$100,040,497 | 7.26\% | \$408,195,387 | 3.77\% | \$184,259,685 | 5.22\% | \$53,769,043 | -4.69\% | \$35,050,805 | -48.60\% | \$137,018,703 | 17.11\% |
| 2005 | \$99,307,075 | -0.73\% | \$445,909,590 | 9.24\% | \$192,196,642 | 4.31\% | \$66,929,900 | 24.48\% | \$11,909,724 | -66.02\% | \$160,430,527 | 17.09\% |
| 2006 | \$145,022,895 | 46.03\% | \$553,466,686 | 24.12\% | \$204,907,639 | 6.61\% | \$80,256,331 | 19.91\% | \$3,925,281 | -67.04\% | \$157,941,376 | -1.55\% |
| 2007 | \$139,510,631 | -3.80\% | \$585,401,676 | 5.77\% | \$207,287,472 | 1.16\% | \$106,017,526 | 32.10\% | \$445,818 | -88.64\% | \$140,630,984 | -10.96\% |
| 2008 | \$165,821,083 | 18.86\% | \$595,652,862 | 1.75\% | \$214,258,477 | 3.36\% | \$115,928,152 | 9.35\% | \$111,396 | -75.01\% | \$117,153,685 | -16.69\% |
| 2009 | \$193,893,330 | 16.93\% | \$480,353,626 | -19.36\% | \$210,069,413 | -1.96\% | \$97,372,040 | -16.01\% | \$61,887 | -44.44\% | \$83,477,646 | -28.75\% |
| 2010 | \$239,904,386 | 23.73\% | \$458,782,067 | -4.49\% | \$229,325,552 | 9.17\% | \$83,007,576 | -14.75\% | \$23,970 | -61.27\% | \$83,036,064 | -0.53\% |
| 2011 | \$232,102,486 | -3.25\% | \$527,641,934 | 15.01\% | \$241,701,762 | 5.40\% | \$77,387,749 | -6.77\% | \$91,853 | 283.20\% | \$86,171,206 | 3.78\% |

Net Income, Cash Basis

| Annual Reports - Historical Data |  |  | Utility |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | \% Change | FYE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FYE | CST | Change | Prop | Change | ECT | Change | Taxes | Change | Taxes | Change |  |  |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$333,678 |  | \$79,834,905 |  |  | 1973 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$359,720 | 7.80\% | \$84,979,557 | \$5,144,652 | 6.44\% | 1974 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,710,438 | 2043.46\% | \$85,005,761 | \$26,204 | 0.03\% | 1975 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,815,505 | 14.33\% | \$89,128,838 | \$4,123,077 | 4.85\% | 1976 |
| 1977 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,872,647 | 0.65\% | \$101,081,603 | \$11,952,765 | 13.41\% | 1977 |
| 1978 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,138,967 | 3.00\% | \$130,565,844 | \$29,484,241 | 29.17\% | 1978 |
| 1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$12,042,285 | 31.77\% | \$151,147,613 | \$20,581,769 | 15.76\% | 1979 |
| 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,538,922 | -12.48\% | \$152,573,529 | \$1,425,916 | 0.94\% | 1980 |
| 1981 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,156,720 | -3.63\% | \$156,418,864 | \$3,845,335 | 2.52\% | 1981 |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,533,012 | -15.99\% | \$187,200,276 | \$30,781,412 | 19.68\% | 1982 |
| 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,433,211 | -24.61\% | \$189,651,857 | \$2,451,581 | 1.31\% | 1983 |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,233,320 | -3.11\% | \$281,137,838 | \$91,485,981 | 48.24\% | 1984 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,914,946 | 10.94\% | \$287,831,332 | \$6,693,494 | 2.38\% | 1985 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,262,188 | 5.02\% | \$303,191,188 | \$15,359,856 | 5.34\% | 1986 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,697,750 | -7.77\% | \$365,527,760 | \$62,336,572 | 20.56\% | 1987 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,605,989 | 13.56\% | \$358,790,194 | -\$6,737,566 | -1.84\% | 1988 |
| 1989 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,809,300 | 15.82\% | \$381,900,647 | \$23,110,453 | 6.44\% | 1989 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,482,072 | -3.71\% | \$363,060,271 | -\$18,840,376 | -4.93\% | 1990 |
| 1991 | \$22,205,619 |  |  |  |  |  | \$637,500 | -92.48\% | \$377,330,276 | \$14,270,005 | 3.93\% | 1991 |
| 1992 | \$26,681,057 | 20.15\% |  |  |  |  | \$803,428 | 26.03\% | \$373,837,793 | -\$3,492,483 | -0.93\% | 1992 |
| 1993 | \$29,621,244 | 11.02\% |  |  |  |  | \$791,530 | -1.48\% | \$405,330,148 | \$31,492,355 | 8.42\% | 1993 |
| 1994 | \$30,430,075 | 2.73\% |  |  |  |  | \$877,211 | 10.82\% | \$438,656,024 | \$33,325,876 | 8.22\% | 1994 |
| 1995 | \$32,900,476 | 8.12\% |  |  |  |  | \$878,303 | 0.12\% | \$463,841,048 | \$25,185,024 | 5.74\% | 1995 |
| 1996 | \$35,266,871 | 7.19\% |  |  |  |  | \$1,424,895 | 62.23\% | \$505,612,212 | \$41,771,164 | 9.01\% | 1996 |
| 1997 | \$38,263,312 | 8.50\% |  |  |  |  | \$1,995,890 | 40.07\% | \$562,633,582 | \$57,021,370 | 11.28\% | 1997 |
| 1998 | \$40,219,738 | 5.11\% |  |  |  |  | \$1,918,204 | -3.89\% | \$650,358,868 | \$87,725,286 | 15.59\% | 1998 |
| 1999 | \$45,824,952 | 13.94\% |  |  |  |  | \$1,277,630 | -33.39\% | \$686,058,317 | \$35,699,449 | 5.49\% | 1999 |
| 2000 | \$47,416,610 | 3.47\% | \$31,167,539 |  |  |  | \$1,027,627 | -19.57\% | \$882,058,932 | \$196,000,615 | 28.57\% | 2000 |
| 2001 | \$49,256,789 | 3.88\% | \$15,625,403 | -49.87\% |  |  | \$1,210,757 | 17.82\% | \$927,090,749 | \$45,031,817 | 5.11\% | 2001 |
| 2002 | \$62,508,517 | 26.90\% | \$18,192,984 | 16.43\% | \$5,735,676 |  | \$1,226,191 | 1.27\% | \$980,409,577 | \$53,318,828 | 5.75\% | 2002 |
| 2003 | \$63,452,424 | 1.51\% | \$18,833,596 | 3.52\% | \$6,024,844 | 5.04\% | \$815,634 | -33.48\% | \$1,025,170,080 | \$44,760,503 | 4.57\% | 2003 |
| 2004 | \$65,595,263 | 3.38\% | \$20,159,763 | 7.04\% | \$6,217,227 | 3.19\% | \$923,468 | 13.22\% | \$1,041,073,752 | \$15,903,672 | 1.55\% | 2004 |
| 2005 | \$69,557,473 | 6.04\% | \$20,087,776 | -0.36\% | \$6,229,864 | 0.20\% | \$871,900 | -5.58\% | \$1,094,364,702 | \$53,290,950 | 5.12\% | 2005 |
| 2006 | \$70,330,594 | 1.11\% | \$20,789,572 | 3.49\% | \$6,344,187 | 1.84\% | \$360,933 | -58.60\% | \$1,243,345,494 | \$148,980,792 | 13.61\% | 2006 |
| 2007 | \$73,369,315 | 4.32\% | \$21,801,715 | 4.87\% | \$6,258,150 | -1.36\% | \$288,579 | -20.05\% | \$1,281,011,866 | \$37,666,372 | 3.03\% | 2007 |
| 2008 | \$79,509,885 | 8.37\% | \$24,209,319 | 11.04\% | \$6,285,323 | 0.43\% | \$515,220 | 78.54\% | \$1,319,445,402 | \$38,433,536 | 3.00\% | 2008 |
| 2009 | \$80,932,268 | 1.79\% | \$28,942,542 | 19.55\% | \$6,073,712 | -3.37\% | \$672,438 | 30.51\% | \$1,181,848,901 | -\$137,596,501 | -10.43\% | 2009 |
| 2010 | \$78,367,621 | -3.17\% | \$29,923,585 | 3.39\% | \$5,957,300 | -1.92\% | \$470,432 | -30.04\% | \$1,208,798,552 | \$26,949,651 | 2.28\% | 2010 |
| 2011 | \$78,199,323 | -0.21\% | \$32,327,619 | 8.03\% | \$6,060,651 | 1.73\% | \$2,361,824 | 402.05\% | \$1,284,046,410 | \$75,247,858 | 6.2250\% | 2011 |

Net Income, Cash Basis

|  | FY 07 Returns | FY 08 Returns | \% change | FY 09 Returns | \% change | FY 10 Returns | \% change | FY 11 Returns | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 1,305,595 | 2,481,128 | 90\% | 2,442,542 | -2\% | 1,612,494 | -34\% | 982,914 | -39\% |
| August | 2,292,020 | 3,258,693 | 42\% | 3,398,504 | 4\% | 1,294,187 | -62\% | 1,186,541 | -8\% |
| September | 3,897,040 | 5,524,775 | 42\% | 5,262,101 | -5\% | 6,736,791 | 28\% | 4,812,668 | -29\% |
| October | 11,364,890 | 24,601,627 | 116\% | 10,323,728 | -58\% | 8,005,382 | -22\% | 8,810,739 | 10\% |
| November | 2,941,691 | 1,876,402 | -36\% | 1,891,936 | 1\% | 2,587,646 | 37\% | 1,413,778 | -45\% |
| December | 4,026,017 | 2,616,751 | -35\% | 2,877,439 | 10\% | 1,502,829 | -48\% | 1,292,618 | -14\% |
| January | 2,052,502 | 1,816,006 | -12\% | 3,267,382 | 80\% | 1,195,203 | -63\% | 7,331,582 | 513\% |
| February | 3,137,997 | 3,138,444 | 0\% | 2,061,645 | -34\% | 1,897,101 | -8\% | 1,689,275 | -11\% |
| March | 19,013,989 | 16,257,976 | -14\% | 13,864,702 | -15\% | 13,525,604 | -2\% | 13,417,735 | -1\% |
| April | 30,189,708 | 20,238,148 | -33\% | 18,655,148 | -8\% | 11,976,806 | -36\% | 15,194,078 | 27\% |
| May | 4,797,228 | 3,495,992 | -27\% | 1,437,468 | -59\% | 1,346,401 | -6\% | 2,284,898 | 70\% |
| June | 2,522,506 | 1,897,245 | -25\% | 2,283,086 | 20\% | 1,370,224 | -40\% | 1,922,491 | 40\% |
| Total | \$87,541,183 | \$87,203,187 | 0\% | \$67,765,682 | -22\% | \$53,050,669 | -22\% | \$60,339,318 | 14\% |
|  | FY 07 Estimates | FY 08 Estimates | \% change | FY 09 Estimates | \% change | FY 10 Estimates | \% change | FY 11 Estimates | \% change |
| July | 12,300,505 | 11,988,558 | -3\% | 12,382,147 | 3\% | 11,162,844 | -10\% | 49,734,333 | 346\% |
| August | 6,339,448 | 6,779,914 | 7\% | 5,331,185 | -21\% | 5,744,370 | 8\% | 5,137,261 | -11\% |
| September | 89,432,510 | 84,929,294 | -5\% | 74,390,077 | -12\% | 66,303,341 | -11\% | 71,302,529 | 8\% |
| October | 15,596,056 | 26,567,358 | 70\% | 13,469,531 | -49\% | 14,202,249 | 5\% | 12,309,524 | -13\% |
| November | 8,008,827 | 5,876,500 | -27\% | 4,156,418 | -29\% | 7,239,856 | 74\% | 7,566,933 | 5\% |
| December | 88,771,803 | 87,187,881 | -2\% | 77,322,308 | -11\% | 70,588,783 | -9\% | 75,247,472 | 7\% |
| January | 14,904,345 | 24,031,937 | 61\% | 12,213,208 | -49\% | 19,362,571 | 59\% | 14,245,653 | -26\% |
| February | 4,599,774 | 6,527,712 | 42\% | 5,121,780 | -22\% | 7,155,328 | 40\% | 5,645,147 | -21\% |
| March | 21,840,391 | 18,737,798 | -14\% | 17,100,614 | -9\% | 18,193,810 | 6\% | 20,848,852 | 15\% |
| April | 42,150,879 | 46,005,522 | 9\% | 45,644,649 | -1\% | 38,655,064 | -15\% | 42,711,711 | 10\% |
| May | 13,347,912 | 9,672,631 | -28\% | 8,243,013 | -15\% | 7,983,889 | -3\% | 10,256,424 | 28\% |
| June | 73,613,366 | 75,765,811 | 3\% | 68,954,059 | -9\% | 79,692,497 | 16\% | 69,740,351 | -12\% |
| Total | \$390,905,816 | \$404,070,916 | 3\% | \$344,328,990 | -15\% | \$346,284,601 | 1\% | \$384,746,190 | 11\% |
|  | FY 07 Extensions | FY 08 Extensions | \% change | FY 09 Extensions | \% change | FY 10 Extensions | \% change | FY 11 Extensions | \% change |


| July | 1,312,697 | 703,532 | -46\% | 975,359 | 39\% | 725,404 | -26\% | 975,409 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August | 1,688,502 | 1,975,157 | 17\% | 2,699,557 | 37\% | 1,697,856 | -37\% | 3,107,124 | 83\% |
| September | 5,102,858 | 2,541,840 | -50\% | 3,152,706 | 24\% | 2,505,338 | -21\% | 2,372,105 | -5\% |
| October | 1,287,743 | 1,204,703 | -6\% | 3,348,704 | 178\% | 3,525,245 | 5\% | 2,312,750 | -34\% |
| November | 2,173,995 | 1,844,524 | -15\% | 2,120,911 | 15\% | 656,102 | -69\% | 1,241,465 | 89\% |
| December | 2,292,815 | 7,640,263 | 233\% | 3,677,301 | -52\% | 2,201,613 | -40\% | 5,803,472 | 164\% |
| January | 1,574,576 | 653,350 | -59\% | 1,331,362 | 104\% | 1,379,287 | 4\% | 978,888 | -29\% |
| February | 517,846 | 1,287,661 | 149\% | 8,202,325 | 537\% | 4,373,541 | -47\% | 688,422 | -84\% |
| March | 75,610,620 | 61,994,927 | -18\% | 44,141,135 | -29\% | 44,647,055 | 1\% | 47,019,416 | 5\% |
| April | 19,178,444 | 29,140,510 | 52\% | 15,418,466 | -47\% | 14,389,590 | -7\% | 14,685,771 | 2\% |
| May | 851,518 | 1,647,579 | 93\% | 1,391,973 | -16\% | 1,286,066 | -8\% | 2,082,272 | 62\% |
| June | 5,088,895 | 9,718,586 | 91\% | 1,819,286 | -81\% | 4,386,353 | 141\% | 3,985,037 | -9\% |
| Total | \$116,680,509 | \$120,352,632 | 3\% | \$88,279,085 | -27\% | \$81,773,448 | -7\% | \$85,252,131 | 4\% |


|  | FY 07 Tax Notices | FY 08 Tax Notices | \% change | FY 09 Tax Notices | \% change | FY 10 Tax Notices | \% change | FY 11 Tax Notices | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July | 1,969,481 | 2,900,319 | 47\% | 2,482,495 | -14\% | 4,335,485 | 75\% | 2,145,265 | -51\% |
| August | 2,480,526 | 2,651,423 | 7\% | 2,124,242 | -20\% | 2,018,179 | -5\% | 4,810,562 | 138\% |
| September | 2,192,841 | 1,643,195 | -25\% | 1,674,229 | 2\% | 5,744,363 | 243\% | 3,323,595 | -42\% |
| October | 3,323,234 | 3,013,001 | -9\% | 5,089,814 | 69\% | 3,889,055 | -24\% | 5,406,377 | 39\% |
| November | 3,671,977 | 4,354,468 | 19\% | 1,655,252 | -62\% | 3,154,057 | 91\% | 3,276,064 | 4\% |
| December | 3,399,465 | 5,650,328 | 66\% | 4,037,974 | -29\% | 3,925,989 | -3\% | 4,797,930 | 22\% |
| January | 2,282,480 | 4,499,675 | 97\% | 2,516,170 | -44\% | 2,595,452 | 3\% | 2,877,750 | 11\% |
| February | 2,717,436 | 7,332,404 | 170\% | 6,616,127 | -10\% | 3,375,819 | -49\% | 2,596,196 | -23\% |
| March | 5,182,038 | 2,802,357 | -46\% | 4,995,864 | 78\% | 3,345,778 | -33\% | 5,787,010 | 73\% |
| April | 8,360,700 | 12,087,041 | 45\% | 7,069,855 | -42\% | 5,272,074 | -25\% | 2,927,845 | -44\% |
| May | 10,085,939 | 3,706,210 | -63\% | 2,282,527 | -38\% | 2,656,268 | 16\% | 6,682,230 | 152\% |
| June | 6,475,596 | 2,161,912 | -67\% | 2,510,757 | 16\% | 3,089,067 | 23\% | 2,922,203 | -5\% |
| Total | \$52,141,713 | \$52,802,333 | 1\% | \$43,055,304 | -18\% | \$43,401,586 | 1\% | \$47,553,026 | 10\% |
| FY Total | \$647,269,221 | \$664,429,068 | 3\% | \$543,429,061 | -18\% | \$524,510,304 | -3\% | \$577,890,665 | 10\% |
|  | FY 07 Refunds | FY 08 Refunds | \% change | FY 09 Refunds | \% change | FY 10 Refunds |  | FY 11 Refunds |  |
| July | 1,363,921 | 1,836,415 | 35\% | 1,329,134 | -28\% | 1,601,975 | 21\% | 3,382,644 | 111\% |
| August | 1,176,726 | 4,636,805 | 294\% | 1,406,649 | -70\% | 1,193,374 | -15\% | 932,906 | -22\% |
| September | 1,311,758 | 1,638,091 | 25\% | 1,476,808 | -10\% | 2,125,457 | 44\% | 3,532,641 | 66\% |
| October | 3,358,977 | 3,324,016 | -1\% | 5,323,837 | 60\% | 3,559,447 | -33\% | 5,210,636 | 46\% |
| November | 5,566,469 | 8,325,444 | 50\% | 11,355,518 | 36\% | 6,291,101 | -45\% | 6,139,894 | -2\% |
| December | 7,730,779 | 3,333,459 | -57\% | 3,632,762 | 9\% | 5,211,797 | 43\% | 2,476,738 | -52\% |
| January | 4,929,660 | 9,566,719 | 94\% | 4,748,612 | -50\% | 7,243,848 | 53\% | 14,964,619 | 107\% |
| February | 1,789,369 | 2,184,143 | 22\% | 6,203,516 | 184\% | 6,394,490 | 3\% | 3,478,690 | -46\% |
| March | 3,623,388 | 3,595,908 | -1\% | 3,323,731 | -8\% | 3,713,867 | 12\% | 3,289,882 | -11\% |
| April | 5,425,413 | 3,511,164 | -35\% | 3,017,477 | -14\% | 6,579,147 | 118\% | 2,147,670 | -67\% |
| May | 5,197,989 | 2,913,463 | -44\% | 3,523,941 | 21\% | 2,785,218 | -21\% | 2,686,399 | -4\% |
| June | 1,717,688 | 2,540,342 | 48\% | 3,062,245 | 21\% | 1,620,726 | -47\% | 2,200,979 | 36\% |
| Total | \$43,192,137 | \$47,405,969 | 10\% | \$48,404,230 | 2\% | \$48,320,447 | 0\% | \$50,443,698 | 4\% |
| FY Total Net | \$604,077,084 | \$617,023,099 | 2\% | \$495,024,831 | -20\% | \$476,189,857 | -4\% | \$527,446,967 | 11\% |

## Summary of 2009 Business Taxes*

- 130,000 to 150,000 Business Entities operating in NH
- 66,075 Business Entities filed business tax returns
- 23,918 Business Entities filing business tax returns paid no (\$0) BET or BPT
- 42,157 Business Entities filed business tax returns with payments totaling $\$ 450.4$ m, of which
- 957 ( $2.3 \%$ ) of those paid $71.0 \%$ of BET/BPT ( $\$ 319.7 \mathrm{~m}$ out of $\$ 450.4 \mathrm{~m}$ )
- $809(84.5 \%)$ are corporations paying a total of $\$ 295,576,098$
- $128(13.4 \%)$ are partnerships paying a total of $\$ 21,675,500$
- $20(2.1 \%)$ are proprietors and fiduciaries paying a total of $\$ 2,460,676$
- The remaining 41,200 ( $97.7 \%$ ) paid $29.0 \%$ of BET/BPT ( $\$ 130.7 \mathrm{~m}$ out of $\$ 450.4 \mathrm{~m}$ )
- Of the 41,200 Business Entities that filed and paid $29.0 \%$ of BPT/BET:
- $11,312(27.5 \%)$ paid under $\$ 500=\$ 2,434,737$ (1.9\%)
- $8,805(21.4 \%)$ paid $\$ 500-\$ 1,000=\$ 3,121,996(2.4 \%)$
- $17,867(43.4 \%)$ paid $\$ 1,000-\$ 10,000=\$ 59,322,927(45.4 \%)$
- $3,216(7.8 \%)$ paid $\$ 10,000-\$ 50,000=\$ 65,809,078(50.4 \%)$

|  | BET | BPT | Combined |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Corporations | $\$ 144,689,018$ | $\$ 234,716,016$ | $\$ 379,405,034$ | $84.2 \%$ |
| Partnerships | $\$ 16,293,392$ | $\$ 31,391,352$ | $\$ 47,684,744$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| Proprietors | $\$ 12,145,056$ | $\$ 9,856,095$ | $\$ 22,001,151$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Fiduciaries | $\$ 88,479$ | $\$ 1,221,602$ | $\$ 1,310,081$ | $0.3 \%$ |
|  | $\$ 173,215,946$ | $\$ 277,185,065$ | $\$ 450,401,011$ |  |

- If there are 150,000 business entities operating in the state of NH then 107,800 or $71.9 \%$ of them pay no business taxes (neither BET nor BPT)
- If there are 130,000 business entities operating in the state of NH then 87,800 or $67.5 \%$ of them pay no business taxes (neither BET nor BPT)
- When BET and BPT are split out:
- 433 (1.2\%) are paying $47.0 \%$ of BET
- $407(94.0 \%)$ are corporations paying a total of $\$ 79,227,573$
- $26(6.0 \%)$ are partnerships, proprietors and fiduciaries paying a total of \$2,587,719
- $576(0.9 \%)$ are paying $81.0 \%$ of BPT
- $460(79.9 \%)$ are corporations paying a total of $\$ 205,073,317$
- $100(17.4 \%)$ are partnerships paying a total of $\$ 17,466,973$
- $16(2.8 \%)$ are proprietors and fiduciaries paying a total of $\$ 2,001,084$

[^1]
## Business Enterprise Tax Stats by Tax Year and Amount of Tax Liability All BET Entity Types

This Population includes all Corporations, Partnerships, Proprietorships, and any other Entity that Files BET DRAFT as of $3 / 14 / 12$

| Tax Year 2009 Range in Tax Paid | COUNT | Sum BE TAX | \% of POP | \% of Tax Liability by POP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0 | 28,812 | \$0 | 43.6\% | 0.0\% |
| \$1-\$500 | 11,170 | \$2,411,198 | 16.9\% | 1.4\% |
| \$500-\$1K | 8,885 | \$6,428,910 | 13.4\% | 3.7\% |
| \$1K-\$10K | 14,820 | \$43,209,244 | 22.4\% | 24.9\% |
| \$10K-\$50K | 1,955 | \$39,351,302 | 3.0\% | 22.7\% |
| \$50K - \$100K | 238 | \$16,289,789 | 0.4\% | 9.4\% |
| \$100K - \$MIL | 186 | \$46,004,112 | 0.3\% | 26.6\% |
| $\rightarrow$ \$1MIL | 9 | \$19,521,391 | 0.0\% | 11.3\% |
| Totals: | 66,075 | \$173,215,946 |  |  |


| 2009 |  | COUNT | Sum BE TAX | \% of Total POP | \% of Total Tax Liability by POP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporations |  | 24,945 | \$66,037,976 | 37.8\% | 38.1\% |
| Water's Edge |  | 2,812 | \$78,651,042 | 4.3\% | 45.4\% |
| Partnerships |  | 10,579 | \$16,293,392 | 16.0\% | 9.4\% |
| Proprietors |  | 27,445 | \$12,145,056 | 41.5\% | 7.0\% |
| Fiduciaries |  | 294 | \$88,479 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% |
|  | Totals: | 66,075 | \$173,215,946 |  |  |

Business Profits Tax Stats by Tax Year and Amount of Tax Liability
All BPT Entity Types
This Population includes all Corporations, Partnerships, Proprietorships, and any other Entity that Files BPT
DRAFT as of $3 / 14 / 12$

$\left.$| Tax Year 2009 Range in Tax Paid | COUNT |  | Sum BP TAX | \% of POP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | \% of Tax Liability |
| :---: |
| by POP | \right\rvert\,


| 2009 | COUNT | Sum BP TAX | \% of Total POP | \% of Total Tax <br> Liability by POP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporations | 24,945 | \$67,244,712 | 37.8\% | 24.3\% |
| Water's Edge | 2,812 | \$167,471,304 | 4.3\% | 60.4\% |
| Partnerships | 10,579 | \$31,391,352 | 16.0\% | 11.3\% |
| Proprietors | 27,445 | \$9,856,095 | 41.5\% | 3.6\% |
| Fiduciaries | 294 | \$1,221,602 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% |
|  | 66,075 | \$277,185,065 |  |  |

Any and all figures are unaudited and should be considered draft

## Summary of 2010 Business Taxes*

- 130,000 to 150,000 Business Entities operating in NH
- 60,314 Business Entities filed business tax returns
- 21,299 Business Entities filing business tax returns paid no (\$0) BET or BPT
- 39,015 Business Entities filed business tax returns with payments totaling $\$ 351.8 \mathrm{~m}$, of which
- $826(2.1 \%)$ of those paid $65.0 \%$ of BET/BPT ( $\$ 228.5 \mathrm{~m}$ out of $\$ 351.8 \mathrm{~m}$ )
- $655(79.3 \%)$ are corporations paying a total of $\$ 200,936,381$
- $135(16.3 \%)$ are partnerships paying a total of $\$ 21,421,822$
- $36(4.4 \%)$ are proprietors and fiduciaries paying a total of $\$ 6,168,090$
- The remaining 38,189 ( $97.9 \%$ ) paid $35.0 \%$ of BET/BPT ( $\$ 123.3 \mathrm{~m}$ out of \$351.8m)
- Of the 38,189 Business Entities that filed and paid $35.0 \%$ of BPT/BET:
- $10,437(27.3 \%)$ paid under $\$ 500=\$ 2,263,530(1.8 \%)$
- $8,060(21.1 \%)$ paid $\$ 500-\$ 1,000=\$ 2,943,404(2.4 \%)$
- $16,716(43.8 \%)$ paid $\$ 1,000-\$ 10,000=\$ 56,086,520(45.5 \%)$
- $2,976(7.8 \%)$ paid $\$ 10,000-\$ 50,000=\$ 62,017,527(50.3 \%)$

|  | BET | BPT | Combined |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Corporations | $\$ 117,296,873$ | $\$ 159,177,145$ | $\$ 276,474,018$ | $78.6 \%$ |
| Partnerships | $\$ 15,177,538$ | $\$ 32,007,079$ | $\$ 47,184,617$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| Proprietors | $\$ 13,069,650$ | $\$ 13,369,930$ | $\$ 26,439,580$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Fiduciaries | $\$ 70,369$ | $\$ 1,668,691$ | $\$ 1,739,060$ | $0.5 \%$ |
|  | $\$ 145,614,429$ | $\$ 206,222,846$ | $\$ 351,837,275$ |  |

- If there are 150,000 business entities operating in the state of NH then 111,000 or $74.0 \%$ of them pay no business taxes (neither BET nor BPT)
- If there are 130,000 business entities operating in the state of NH then 91,000 or $70.0 . \%$ of them pay no business taxes (neither BET nor BPT)
- When BET and BPT are split out:
- $336(1.0 \%)$ are paying $44.1 \%$ of BET
- $307(91.4 \%)$ are corporations paying a total of $\$ 60,592,609$
- $29(8.6 \%)$ are partnerships, proprietors and fiduciaries paying a total of \$3,684,305
- $524(0.9 \%)$ are paying $74.1 \%$ of BPT
- 395 ( $75.4 \%$ ) are corporations paying a total of $\$ 131,458,740$
- $100(19.1 \%)$ are partnerships paying a total of $\$ 17,141,343$
- $29(5.5 \%)$ are proprietors and fiduciaries paying a total of $\$ 4,305,687$

[^2]
## Business Enterprise Tax Stats by Tax Year and Amount of Tax Liability All BET Entity Types

This Population includes all Corporations, Partnerships, Proprietorships, and any other Entity that Files BET DRAFT as of $3 / 14 / 12$

| Tax Year 2010 Range in Tax Paid | COUNT | Sum BE TAX | \% of POP | \% of Tax Liability by POP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0 | 26,258 | \$0 | 43.5\% | 0.0\% |
| \$1-\$500 | 10,337 | \$2,239,395 | 17.1\% | 1.5\% |
| \$500-\$1K | 8,144 | \$5,904,793 | 13.5\% | 4.1\% |
| \$1K-\$10K | 13,575 | \$39,433,654 | 22.5\% | 27.1\% |
| \$10K-\$50K | 1,664 | \$33,759,673 | 2.8\% | 23.2\% |
| \$50K - \$100K | 179 | \$12,125,587 | 0.3\% | 8.3\% |
| \$100K - \$MIL | 150 | \$35,998,887 | 0.2\% | 24.7\% |
| $\rightarrow$ \$1MIL | 7 | \$16,152,440 | 0.0\% | 11.1\% |
| Totals: | 60,314 | \$145,614,429 |  |  |


| 2010 |  | COUNT | Sum BE TAX | \% of Total POP | \% of Total Tax Liability by POP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corporations |  | 22,226 | \$56,707,345 | 36.9\% | 38.9\% |
| Water's Edge |  | 2,200 | \$60,589,528 | 3.6\% | 41.6\% |
| Partnerships |  | 10,018 | \$15,177,538 | 16.6\% | 10.4\% |
| Proprietors |  | 25,592 | \$13,069,650 | 42.4\% | 9.0\% |
| Fiduciaries |  | 278 | \$70,369 | 0.5\% | 0.0\% |
|  | Totals: | 60,314 | \$145,614,429 |  |  |

## Business Profits Tax Stats by Tax Year and Amount of Tax Liability

All BPT Entity Types
This Population includes all Corporations, Partnerships, Proprietorships, and any other Entity that Files BPT
DRAFT as of $3 / 14 / 12$

| Tax Year 2010 Range in Tax Paid | COUNT | Sum BP TAX | \% of POP | \% of Tax Liability by POP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0 | 47,541 | \$0 | 78.8\% | 0.0\% |
| \$1-\$500 | 4,001 | \$667,295 | 6.6\% | 0.3\% |
| \$500-\$1K | 1,387 | \$1,006,046 | 2.3\% | 0.5\% |
| \$1K-\$10K | 5,340 | \$19,255,941 | 8.9\% | 9.3\% |
| \$10K - \$50K | 1,521 | \$32,387,792 | 2.5\% | 15.7\% |
| \$50K-\$100K | 244 | \$17,002,691 | 0.4\% | 8.2\% |
| \$100K - \$MIL | 253 | \$70,878,670 | 0.4\% | 34.4\% |
| $\geq$ \$1MIL | 27 | \$65,024,410 | 0.0\% | 31.5\% |
| Totals: | 60,314 | \$206,222,846 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | COUNT | Sum BP TAX | \% of Total POP | \% of Total Tax <br> Liability by POP |
| Corporations | 2010 | 22,226 | $\$ 54,654,234$ | $36.9 \%$ |
| Water's Edge | 2,200 | $\$ 104,522,911$ | $26.5 \%$ |  |
| Partnerships | 10,018 | $\$ 32,007,079$ | $50.7 \%$ |  |
| Proprietors | 25,592 | $\$ 13,369,930$ | $16.6 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| Fiduciaries | 278 | $\$ 1,668,691$ | $42.4 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |

Any and all figures are unaudited and should be considered draft

Tax received in Fiscal Years, not net of refunds

| FY2011 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74,698 | \$198,730,993 | \$39,641,866 | \$7,950,288 | \$246,323,147 | \$6,715,704 | \$239,607,442 | 4.58\% |
| 9\% rate | 80.68\% | 16.09\% | 3.23\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2010 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 74,580 | \$191,001,895 | \$37,184,922 | \$7,330,483 | \$235,517,299 | \$6,405,593 | \$229,111,707 | 8.89\% |
| 9\% rate | 81.10\% | 15.79\% | 3.11\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2009 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 70,777 | \$173,947,910 | \$34,806,937 | \$7,659,466 | \$216,414,313 | \$6,016,506 | \$210,397,806 | -1.64\% |
| 9\% rate | 80.38\% | 16.08\% | 3.54\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2008 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 69,348 | \$175,670,645 | \$36,158,205 | \$8,279,773 | \$220,108,624 | \$6,199,607 | \$213,909,016 | 3.40\% |
| 8\% rate | 79.81\% | 16.43\% | 3.76\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2007 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 68,622 | \$171,843,315 | \$33,596,627 | \$7,366,347 | \$212,806,290 | \$5,931,358 | \$206,874,932 | 3.53\% |
| 8\% rate | 80.75\% | 15.79\% | 3.46\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2006 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 67,204 | \$165,947,696 | \$32,181,390 | \$7,430,528 | \$205,559,613 | \$5,738,251 | \$199,821,362 | 3.84\% |
| 8\% rate | 80.73\% | 15.66\% | 3.61\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2005 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 65,325 | \$159,148,320 | \$31,219,485 | \$7,576,571 | \$197,944,376 | \$5,503,777 | \$192,440,599 | 4.33\% |
| 8\% rate | 80.40\% | 15.77\% | 3.83\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2004 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 64,738 | \$151,649,546 | \$30,836,386 | \$7,174,861 | \$189,660,792 | \$5,211,100 | \$184,449,692 | 5.06\% |
| 8\% rate | 79.96\% | 16.26\% | 3.78\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2003 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net | Net Change |
| 63,389 | \$142,942,089 | \$30,599,999 | \$6,901,698 | \$180,443,787 | \$4,879,641 | \$175,564,146 | 3.14\% |
| 8\% rate | 79.22\% | 16.96\% | 3.82\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |
| FY2002 | Meals | Rooms | Motor | Total | Commissions | Net |  |
| 62,620 | \$138,132,209 | \$30,101,180 | \$6,749,164 | \$174,982,553 | \$4,762,565 | \$170,219,987 |  |
| 8\% rate | 78.94\% | 17.20\% | 3.86\% | 100.00\% |  |  |  |

## Comparison of State Tobacco Products Taxes

(January 1, 2011)


Source: Compiled by FTA from various sources.
Notes:
(1) The volume-based tax rates were converted to cents per 10 cigars or per ounce for consistency.
(2) Alabama's cigar tax rate rises with the retail price; the rate on smoking tobacco and snuff depends on package weight.
(3) California adjusts the tax rate annually, effective July 1st each year.
(4) Connecticut taxes snuff tobacco at 40 cents per ounce.
(5) Florida's rate includes a $60 \%$ surtax.
(6) Little cigars are taxed as cigarettes.
(7) The Texas rate on cigars is scheduled to increase on September 1, 2011.
(8) Or $10 \%$ of retail price in Wyoming.

## STATE EXCISE TAX RATES ON CIGARETTES

(January 1, 2011)

| STATE | TAX RATE <br> ( $\not \subset$ per pack) | RANK | STATE | TAX RATE <br> ( $\not \subset$ per pack) | RANK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama (a) | 42.5 | 47 | Nebraska | 64 | 38 |
| Alaska | 200 | 11 | Nevada | 80 | 35 |
| Arizona | 200 | 11 | New Hampshire | 178 | 16 |
| Arkansas | 115 | 29 | New Jersey | 270 | 6 |
| California | 87 | 33 | New Mexico | 166 | 19 |
| Colorado | 84 | 34 | New York (a) | 435 | 1 |
| Connecticut | 300 | 4 | North Carolina | 45 | 45 |
| Delaware | 160 | 20 | North Dakota | 44 | 46 |
| Florida (b) | 133.9 | 25 | Ohio | 125 | 26 |
| Georgia | 37 | 48 | Oklahoma | 103 | 30 |
| Hawaii (c) | 300 | 4 | Oregon | 118 | 28 |
| Idaho | 57 | 42 | Pennsylvania | 160 | 20 |
| Illinois (a) | 98 | 32 | Rhode Island | 346 | 2 |
| Indiana | 99.5 | 31 | South Carolina | 57 | 42 |
| Iowa | 136 | 24 | South Dakota | 153 | 22 |
| Kansas | 79 | 36 | Tennessee (a) (e) | 62 | 39 |
| Kentucky (d) | 60 | 40 | Texas | 141 | 23 |
| Louisiana | 36 | 49 | Utah | 170 | 17 |
| Maine | 200 | 11 | Vermont | 224 | 10 |
| Maryland | 200 | 11 | Virginia (a) | 30 | 50 |
| Massachusetts | 251 | 8 | Washington | 302.5 | 3 |
| Michigan | 200 | 11 | West Virginia | 55 | 44 |
| Minnesota (e) | 123 | 27 | Wisconsin | 252 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 68 | 37 | Wyoming | 60 | 40 |
| Missouri (a) | 17 | 51 |  |  |  |
| Montana | 170 | 17 | Dist. of Columbia <br> U. S. Median | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | 9 |

Source: Compiled by FTA from state sources.
(a) Counties and cities may impose an additional tax on a pack of cigarettes: in Alabama, $1 \phi$ to $6 \phi$; Illinois, $10 \phi$ to $15 \phi$; Missouri, $4 \phi$ to $7 \phi$; New York City, $\$ 1.50$; Tennessee, $1 \phi$; and Virginia, $2 \phi$ to $15 \phi$.
(b) Florida's rate includes a surcharge of $\$ 1$ per pack.
(c) Hawaii's tax rate is scheduled to rise to $\$ 3.20$ per pack effective July $1,2011$.
(d) Dealers pay an additional enforcement and administrative fee of $0.1 \phi$ per pack in Kentucky and $0.05 \phi$ in Tennessee.
(e) In addition, Minnesota imposes an in lieu cigarette sales tax determined annually by the Department. The current rate is $34.6 \notin$ through July 31, 2011.

| Surrounding State's Tobacco Tax Stamps Sales Analysis - 5 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In Millions) One stamp per pack |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month | FY2011 | FY 2010 |  | FY 2009Stamp Sales |  | FY 2008Stamp Sales |  | FY 2007 Stamp Sales |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine | 67.9 | -3\% | 69.8 | 1\% | 68.8 | -5\% | 72.7 | -6\% | 77.4 |
| Massachusetts | 223.7 | 1\% | 222.0 | -1\% | 224.7 | -19\% | 277.9 | 0\% | 277.1 |
| New Hampshire | 122.2 | -5\% | 128.6 | -16\% | 153.4 | 3\% | 149.3 | -14\% | 173.1 |
| Vermont | 30.5 | 3\% | 29.7 | -2\% | 30.4 | -5\% | 32.0 | -6\% | 34.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TOBACCO TAX STAMP RATE INCREASE DURING 5 YEAR ANALYSIS PERIO[
Maine
$9 / 19 / 05$ (FY06)- $\$ 1.00$ to $\$ 2.00$ (previous increase 10/1/01 (FY02))

## Massachusetts

7/1/08 (FY 09)- $\$ 1.51$ to $\$ 2.51$ (previous increase 7/25/02)
New Hampshire
(FY11) July 2011 to Current - A stamp rate $\$ 2.10$, B stamp rate $\$ 1.68$
(FY10) July 2009 to June 30, 2011 - A stamp rate $\$ 2.23$, B stamp rate $=\$ 1.78$ (FY09) October 2008 to June 2009 current - A stamp rate $=1.65$ B stamp rate $=\$ 1.33$ (FY08) July 2007 to September 2008 - A stamp rate $=1.35 \quad$ B stamp rate $=\$ 1.08$ (FY06) July 2005 to June 2007 - A stamp rate $=\$ 1.00$, B stamp rate $=80$ cents
Notes - July - September 2008 (FY09) are increased due to the legislation on rate increase based on July - September stamp sales.
Vermont
7/1/06 (FY 07)- $\$ 1.19$ to $\$ 1.79$ 7/1/08 (FY08)- $\$ 1.79$ to $\$ 1.99$
7/1/09 (FY10)- $\$ 1.99$ to $\$ 2.24$
7/1/11(FY11) - \$2.24 to \$2.62
Federal Cigarette Tax Increase
4/1/09 (FY09)- 39cents to $\$ 1.01$ (previous increase 1/1/02)

## Interest and Dividends Tax Stats by Tax Year and Amount of Tax Liability All I\&D Entity Types

This Population includes all Individuals, Partnerships, Fiduciaries, and any other Entity that File I\&D DRAFT as of 4/12/12

| Tax Year 2009 Range in Tax Paid | COUNT | Sum TAX | \% of POP | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of Tax Liability } \\ \text { by POP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0 | 13,231 |  | 19.4\% | 0.0\% |
| \$1-\$500 | 32,416 | 5,940,655 | 47.5\% | 7.4\% |
| \$500-\$1K | 9,188 | 6,533,160 | 13.5\% | 8.1\% |
| \$1K-\$10K | 12,369 | 32,343,083 | 18.1\% | 40.1\% |
| \$10K-\$50K | 896 | 16,756,759 | 1.3\% | 20.8\% |
| \$50K-\$100K | 72 | 5,087,095 | 0.1\% | 6.3\% |
| $\xrightarrow{100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 250 \mathrm{~K} \longrightarrow}$ | 41 | 6,402,273 | 0.1\% | 7.9\% |
| 2\$250K | 16 | 7,663,404 | 0.0\% | 9.5\% |
| $\downarrow$ Totals: | 68,229 | \$80,726,429 |  |  |



Interest and Dividends Tax Stats by Tax Year and Amount of Tax Liability
All I\&D Entity Types
This Population includes all Individuals, Partnerships, Fiduciaries, and any other Entity that File I\&D
DRAFT as of $4 / 12 / 12$

| Tax Year 2010 Range in Tax Paid | COUNT | Sum TAX | \% of POP | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of Tax Liability } \\ \text { by POP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$0 | 12,352 |  | 20.2\% | 0.0\% |
| \$1-\$500 | 28,165 | 5,184,159 | 46.1\% | 6.9\% |
| \$500-\$1K | 8,229 | 5,873,477 | 13.5\% | 7.9\% |
| \$1K-\$10K | 11,376 | 29,534,695 | 18.6\% | 39.5\% |
| \$10K-\$50K | 802 | 15,635,816 | 1.3\% | 20.9\% |
| \$50K-\$100K | 74 | 5,079,780 | 0.1\% | 6.8\% |
| \$ $100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 250 \mathrm{~K}$ | 33 | 4,803,230 | 0.1\% | 6.4\% |
| \$250K | 18 | 8,640,802 | 0.0\% | 11.6\% |
|  | 61,049 | \$74,751,959 |  |  |



Any and all figures are unaudited and should be considered draft

# THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 

 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUEADMINISTRATION


2010
PROPERTY TAX
TABLES BY COUNTY
VALUATIONS, TAXES AND TAX RATES
(AS REQUIRED BY RSA 21-J:3 XII)

## 2010

TABLES BY COUNTY

This report presents the 2010 Tables by Counties as compiled by the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration pursuant to RSA 21-J:3 XII, which states:
"XII. File with the secretary of state his report showing all the taxable property in the state and its assessed value, in tabulated form, and such other statistics and information as may be deemed of interest. This report shall be filed not later than $\mathbf{3 0}$ days after all necessary figures become available."

Annually, each municipality is required to certify to the Department of Revenue Administration the assessed valuation of all taxable property in that municipality. This information is reported on the Summary Inventory of Valuation, MS-1 Form.

Assessment information is also gathered regarding exemptions and tax credits as well as taxes raised by each municipality. An exemption is an amount deducted from a taxpayer's assessment vs. a tax credit which is an amount deducted from the taxes due by a taxpayer.

The following is an explanation of the information contained in this report.
LAND VALUATION: The sum of the taxable land valuations for the following land subcategories:
CURRENT USE: RSA 79-A
CONSERVATION RESTRICTION: RSA 79-B
DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT: RSA 79-C
DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT: RSA 79-D
TAXATION OF FARM STRUCTURES \& LAND UNDER FARM STRUCTURES: RSA 79-F RESIDENTIAL
COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL

The values in the above columns are prior to the application of any exemption and do not include any utility land value.

BUILDING VALUATION: The sum of the taxable building valuations for the following building subcategories:

RESIDENTIAL
MANUFACTURED (MFG) HOUSING: RSA 674:31
COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL
DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT: RSA 79-D
TAXATION OF FARM STRUCTURES \& LAND UNDER FARM STRUCTURES: RSA 79-F

The values in the above columns are prior to the application of any exemptions and do not include any utility building value.

PUBLIC UTILITIES: The sum of all utility values classified as PUBLIC WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC, OIL, PIPELINE or OTHER pursuant to RSA 83-F. OTHER utilities include utilities that the Department of Revenue Administration has not appraised. Some examples of OTHER utilities include hydro plants, water and certain gas companies.

MATURE WOOD \& TIMBER: RSA 79:5
GROSS VALUATION: The valuation of all taxable property in each municipality prior to adjustments for property tax exemptions.

EDUCATIONAL \& SPECIAL EXEMPTIONS: The sum of the following exemptions:

> RSA 72:36-a, Certain Disabled Veterans
> RSA 72:38-b, Improvements to Assist the Deaf
> RSA 72:37-a, Improvements to Assist Persons with Disabilities
> RSA 72:23 IV, School Dining, Dormitory and Kitchen Exemption
> (maximum \$150,000 per property)
> RSA 72:12-a, Water \& Air Pollution Control Facilities Exemption

MODIFIED ASSESSED VALUATION: The sum of the gross valuation minus the educational and special exemptions. The modified assessed valuation is the basis for calculating the equalized values for each municipality.

## LOCAL OPTIONAL EXEMPTIONS OR EXEMPTIONS WITH OPTIONAL ELEMENTS:

Each municipality has adopted an elderly exemption. The amount of the exemption and the specific criteria (asset and income limits) to qualify are determined locally within statutory requirements. Each municipality may adopt the other exemptions listed below in this section:

> RSA 72:37, Blind Exemption
> RSA 72:39-a \& b, Elderly Exemption
> RSA 72:38-b, Deaf Exemption
> RSA 72:37-b, Disabled Exemption
> RSA 72:70, Wood-Heating Energy Systems Exemption
> RSA 72:62, Solar Energy Systems Exemption
> RSA 72:66, Wind-Powered Energy Systems Exemption
> RSA 72:23 IV, Additional School Dining, Dormitory and Kitchen Exemption (amount > \$150,000 per property)

NET LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION: The sum of the modified assessed valuation minus the value of the blind, elderly and local optional exemptions.

GROSS PROPERTY TAXES: The sum of the net tax commitment plus the veteran's tax credits. The Department of Revenue's Municipal Services Division establishes the property tax rate using this figure.

LESS VETERANS TAX CREDITS: The sum of tax credits granted pursuant to RSA 72:28; 72:29-a 72:32 and RSA 72:35.

NET TAX COMMITMENT: The sum of the gross property taxes minus the sum of the veteran's credits. The amount a municipality must actually raise in taxes equals the sum of the amount appropriated by the municipal legislative body minus any revenues.

ACTUAL TAX RATE: The sum of the municipal, county, local and state school education tax rate. This tax rate does not include any village district or precinct tax rates.

RESIDENTS TAX: The sum of monies collected by a municipality for the Resident's Tax pursuant to RSA 72:1.

COUNTY SUMMARY: The total of each of the above listed columns for all ten counties in the state. The county tax rate listed is the average county tax rate and is calculated by taking the sum of the tax rates for all municipalities in a county and dividing by the number of municipalities.

EDUCATIONAL \& SPECIAL EXEMPTIONS REPORT: A breakdown of the educational and special exemptions granted by municipalities. These are not optional.

LOCAL OPTIONAL EXEMPTIONS REPORT: A breakdown of what each municipality currently grants. These are optional, and must be adopted by the legislative body of the municipality.

For further explanation about any information contained in this report, please contact the Department of Revenue Administration, Property Appraisal Division at (603) 230-5950.

This document may be found on our web site at:
http://www.nh.gov/revenue/munc_prop/propertyappraisal.htm
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| COUNTY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENT USE | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM LAND | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| BELKNAP | 14,277,291 | 248,706 | 449,899 | 34,092 | 292 | 4,283,107,670 | 402,016,007 |
| CARROLL | 20,945,657 | 518,750 | 458,307 | 8,900 | 0 | 5,846,027,047 | 370,757,530 |
| CHESHIRE | 30,395,443 | 774,966 | 8,350 | 18,750 | 0 | 2,150,781,667 | 307,357,998 |
| COOS | 37,938,830 | 112,137 | 0 | 13,305 | 0 | 770,375,385 | 119,713,880 |
| GRAFTON | 48,940,283 | 608,111 | 252,800 | 64,110 | 0 | 3,843,228,521 | 689,653,484 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 30,974,104 | 700,921 | 28,755 | 333,383 | 13,040 | 11,463,115,771 | 2,516,644,966 |
| MERRIMACK | 36,639,899 | 716,931 | 215,208 | 56,305 | 1,690 | 4,491,579,773 | 934,000,951 |
| ROCKINGHAM | 18,349,405 | 744,790 | 431,404 | 102,100 | 0 | 13,974,028,790 | 2,548,596,066 |
| STRAFFORD | 12,867,496 | 415,609 | 484,788 | 24,975 | 0 | 3,042,955,230 | 546,003,720 |
| SULLIVAN | 24,529,396 | 713,689 | 152,300 | 2,700 | 0 | 1,538,683,801 | 102,881,657 |
| STATE TOTALS | 275,857,804 | 5,554,610 | 2,481,811 | 658,620 | 15,022 | 51,403,883,655 | 8,537,626,259 |

## 2010 COUNTY SUMMARY
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| COUNTY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM STRUCTURES |
| BELKNAP | 4,535,200,940 | 138,045,065 | 764,347,959 | 153,601 | 103,500 |
| CARROLL | 5,517,622,844 | 121,148,992 | 677,448,428 | 228,808 | 0 |
| CHESHIRE | 3,740,439,885 | 104,569,591 | 806,117,294 | 171,440 | 0 |
| coos | 1,592,237,364 | 60,373,690 | 357,749,850 | 71,979 | 0 |
| GRAFTON | 6,672,925,262 | 144,350,840 | 1,472,382,047 | 251,672 | 0 |
| HiLLSBOROUGH | 21,530,225,140 | 191,278,280 | 6,544,854,856 | 873,117 | 314,299 |
| MERRIMACK | 7,329,570,935 | 197,459,770 | 1,904,980,224 | 411,952 | 58,600 |
| ROCKINGHAM | 18,569,363,740 | 416,546,493 | 4,680,956,708 | 872,242 | 0 |
| STRAFFORD | 5,140,804,560 | 229,984,700 | 1,173,233,855 | 113,862 | 0 |
| SULLIVAN | 2,544,314,314 | 89,351,360 | 352,669,730 | 157,927 | 0 |
| STATE TOTALS | 77,172,704,984 | 1,693,108,781 | 18,734,740,951 | 3,306,600 | 476,399 |

## 2010 COUNTY SUMMARY
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| COUNTY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | GROSS VALUATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EDUCATIONAL } \\ & \text { SPECIAL } \\ & \text { EXEMTPIONS } \end{aligned}$ | MODIFIED ASSESSED VALUATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PUBLIC WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| BELKNAP | 2,825,649 | 18,199,400 | 89,407,750 | 0 | 27,000 | 2,172 | 10,248,446,993 | 1,722,940 | 10,246,724,053 |
| CARROLL | 2,733,400 | 0 | 115,902,334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,673,800,997 | 4,203,727 | 12,669,597,270 |
| CHESHIRE | 258,100 | 1,121,000 | 289,607,221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,431,621,705 | 6,313,393 | 7,425,308,312 |
| coos | 389,180 | 162,618,699 | 241,284,723 | 25,182,400 | 51,093 | 0 | 3,368,112,515 | 5,390,260 | 3,362,722,255 |
| GRAFTON | 16,729,682 | 0 | 802,622,444 | 0 | 230,400 | 0 | 13,692,239,656 | 2,139,800 | 13,690,099,856 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 132,244,200 | 164,453,100 | 618,112,766 | 0 | 1,873,900 | 0 | 43,196,040,598 | 6,555,816 | 43,189,484,782 |
| MERRIMACK | 3,250,400 | 69,564,081 | 595,574,343 | 0 | 268,300 | 0 | 15,564,349,362 | 32,303,727 | 15,532,045,635 |
| ROCKINGHAM | 45,080,687 | 177,537,425 | 3,201,363,047 | 0 | 125,432 | 0 | 43,634,098,329 | 313,913,460 | 43,320,184,869 |
| STRAFFORD | 325,800 | 27,394,882 | 130,938,385 | 0 | 20,300 | 0 | 10,305,568,162 | 1,502,900 | 10,304,065,262 |
| SULLIVAN | 482,700 | 0 | 137,938,097 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,791,877,671 | 3,280,400 | 4,788,597,271 |
| STATE TOTALS | 204,319,798 | 620,888,587 | 6,222,751,110 | 25,182,400 | 2,596,425 | 2,172 | 164,906,155,988 | 377,326,423 | 164,528,829,565 |

## 2010 COUNTY SUMMARY
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| COUNTY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { NET } \\ \text { VALUATION } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { PROPERTY } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | LESS VETERANS CREDITS | NET TAX COMMITMENT | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { TAX } \\ \text { RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { RESIDENTS } \\ \text { TAX } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { OPTIONAL } \\ & \text { EXEMPTIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BELKNAP | 835,400 | 31,084,366 | 1,403,656 | 10,213,400,631 | 170,965,366 | 2,053,303 | 168,912,063 | \$16.54 | 0 |
| CARROLL | 740,000 | 20,560,600 | 973,167 | 12,647,323,503 | 143,226,107 | 1,753,256 | 141,472,851 | \$11.19 | 150 |
| CHESHIRE | 609,000 | 26,262,084 | 4,164,945 | 7,394,272,283 | 175,920,095 | 1,170,875 | 174,749,220 | \$23.63 | 0 |
| COOS | 480,000 | 10,434,160 | 147,290 | 3,351,660,805 | 62,270,762 | 400,500 | 61,870,262 | \$18.46 | 0 |
| GRAFTON | 1,065,500 | 44,374,281 | 2,259,533 | 13,642,400,542 | 236,873,513 | 1,606,429 | 235,267,084 | \$17.25 | 37,590 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 17,379,550 | 480,560,906 | 108,031,943 | 42,583,512,383 | 826,031,372 | 7,754,300 | 818,277,072 | \$19.22 | 0 |
| MERRIMACK | 6,687,453 | 99,474,919 | 6,798,302 | 15,419,084,961 | 333,972,257 | 2,784,777 | 331,187,480 | \$21.48 | 0 |
| ROCKINGHAM | 4,628,250 | 345,297,865 | 25,838,272 | 42,944,420,482 | 781,789,772 | 7,016,185 | 774,773,587 | \$18.04 | 186,490 |
| STRAFFORD | 3,640,400 | 111,050,189 | 9,150,031 | 10,180,224,642 | 237,682,012 | 2,526,725 | 235,155,287 | \$23.10 | 82,000 |
| SULLIVAN | 525,000 | 14,313,700 | 8,160,663 | 4,765,597,908 | 99,835,264 | 801,785 | 99,033,479 | \$20.78 | 0 |
| STATE TOTALS | 36,590,553 | 1,183,413,070 | 166,927,802 | 163,141,898,140 | 3,068,566,520 | 27,868,135 | 3,040,698,385 | \$18.64 | 306,230 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 1 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | CURRENT USE | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM LAND | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| ACWORTH | 2,080,920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,176,400 | 962,700 |
| ALBANY | 316,549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,451,400 | 3,932,400 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 1,954,970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87,240,200 | 1,779,500 |
| ALLENSTOWN | 278,524 | 770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70,174,490 | 15,388,600 |
| ALSTEAD | 1,373,519 | 13,349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57,901,500 | 999,100 |
| ALTON | 1,790,283 | 146,500 | 0 | 8,700 | 0 | 887,898,700 | 30,514,900 |
| AMHERST | 1,103,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 585,766,275 | 76,657,925 |
| ANDOVER | 1,358,861 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97,120,700 | 5,534,900 |
| ANTRIM | 1,499,710 | 0 | 0 | 92,300 | 0 | 92,899,840 | 3,925,470 |
| ASHLAND | 317,411 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80,412,650 | 11,584,300 |
| ATKINSON | 152,491 | 1,477 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 449,963,900 | 17,481,500 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 359,032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151,000 | 0 |
| AUBURN | 418,088 | 0 | 0 | 2,600 | 0 | 268,633,700 | 19,790,300 |
| BARNSTEAD | 1,865,283 | 48,004 | 2,912 | 3,300 | 0 | 186,097,500 | 3,656,100 |
| BARRINGTON | 1,113,509 | 88,637 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 333,546,800 | 28,364,900 |
| BARTLETT | 675,838 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191,797,210 | 38,533,290 |
| BATH | 3,537,780 | 0 | 0 | 8,560 | 0 | 34,842,940 | 1,356,200 |
| BEAN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BEDFORD | 435,165 | 4,555 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 917,984,900 | 164,313,500 |
| BELMONT | 1,169,482 | 0 | 0 | 12,462 | 0 | 248,589,459 | 56,485,350 |
| BENNINGTON | 425,650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,228,300 | 2,451,200 |
| BENTON | 312,923 | 4,566 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,665,600 | 42,700 |
| BERLIN | 469,124 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 45,152,800 | 6,846,800 |
| BETHLEHEM | 2,311,328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,696,658 | 8,819,200 |
| BOSCAWEN | 1,274,300 | 11,536 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91,272,200 | 8,574,300 |
| BOW | 473,741 | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | 0 | 276,131,250 | 52,939,350 |
| BRADFORD | 1,674,322 | 7,140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,151,400 | 3,361,300 |
| BRENTWOOD | 759,675 | 2,921 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129,374,015 | 19,754,485 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 764,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184,284,000 | 5,018,300 |
| BRISTOL | 640,226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212,163,070 | 15,638,340 |
| BROOKFIELD | 987,478 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,084,800 | 234,000 |
| BROOKLINE | 716,998 | 18 | 3,255 | 0 | 0 | 228,564,700 | 6,754,600 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 954,654 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,769,080 | 70,680 |
| CAMPTON | 1,386,717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115,431,800 | 10,396,100 |
| CANAAN | 2,344,647 | 154,733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137,986,250 | 8,233,700 |
| CANDIA | 778,683 | 0 | 0 | 5,300 | 0 | 147,685,600 | 12,445,800 |
| CANTERBURY | 1,845,350 | 9,981 | 75,962 | 0 | 0 | 126,423,500 | 4,312,100 |
| CARROLL | 594,879 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,346,210 | 23,084,110 |
| CENTER HARBOR | 623,343 | 10,678 | 0 | 9,630 | 0 | 300,703,170 | 8,196,100 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,160 |
| CHARLESTOWN | 1,737,816 | 21,654 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 45,902,764 | 5,344,036 |
| CHATHAM | 381,451 | 50,141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,045,600 | 0 |
| CHESTER | 925,300 | 0 | 0 | 1,400 | 0 | 217,965,600 | 3,842,000 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 1,772,400 | 6,800 | 7,800 | 0 | 0 | 256,789,900 | 15,158,000 |
| CHICHESTER | 988,314 | 50,248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109,158,300 | 13,762,500 |
| CLAREMONT | 2,494,600 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 88,352,800 | 35,108,900 |
| CLARKSVILLE | 1,982,693 | 16,804 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,273,900 | 341,200 |
| COLEBROOK | 2,891,398 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,481,800 | 7,246,100 |
| COLUMBIA | 2,495,956 | 11,333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,355,400 | 962,500 |
| CONCORD | 2,376,400 | 59,100 | 0 | 32,100 | 0 | 701,294,500 | 500,338,700 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 2 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM STRUCTURES |
| ACWORTH | 60,799,100 | 1,385,600 | 2,153,000 | 0 | 0 |
| ALBANY | 52,921,100 | 2,196,800 | 8,607,400 | 0 | 0 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 124,762,200 | 5,373,600 | 2,099,100 | 0 | 0 |
| ALLENSTOWN | 132,684,600 | 18,454,200 | 22,777,500 | 0 | 0 |
| ALSTEAD | 107,143,600 | 2,770,900 | 4,046,200 | 0 | 0 |
| ALTON | 536,895,600 | 9,625,700 | 32,029,300 | 55,000 | 0 |
| AMHERST | 1,020,609,750 | 3,930,700 | 147,102,450 | 0 | 0 |
| ANDOVER | 129,302,900 | 4,621,500 | 14,938,800 | 0 | 0 |
| ANTRIM | 136,654,970 | 1,112,910 | 10,659,570 | 43,740 | 0 |
| ASHLAND | 137,622,900 | 4,017,300 | 24,473,300 | 0 | 0 |
| ATKINSON | 530,530,103 | 80,300 | 27,523,200 | 17,697 | 0 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 175,050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AUBURN | 275,223,114 | 1,199,600 | 27,890,600 | 31,886 | 0 |
| BARNSTEAD | 256,000,149 | 6,351,100 | 5,867,800 | 33,751 | 0 |
| BARRINGTON | 447,936,200 | 22,615,800 | 61,824,000 | 0 | 0 |
| BARTLETT | 673,423,252 | 1,579,500 | 59,384,328 | 0 | 0 |
| BATH | 65,855,782 | 1,564,800 | 1,214,100 | 6,018 | 0 |
| BEAN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 16,880 | 0 | 0 |
| BEDFORD | 1,822,235,700 | 154,700 | 428,879,700 | 0 | 0 |
| BELMONT | 306,999,462 | 44,989,300 | 69,562,850 | 27,475 | 0 |
| BENNINGTON | 70,832,500 | 1,924,300 | 6,355,200 | 0 | 0 |
| BENTON | 14,760,100 | 1,127,200 | 17,000 | 0 | 0 |
| BERLIN | 231,018,342 | 2,083,400 | 52,947,400 | 20,351 | 0 |
| BETHLEHEM | 148,765,140 | 2,194,000 | 22,566,445 | 0 | 0 |
| BOSCAWEN | 137,210,400 | 8,432,600 | 22,526,200 | 0 | 0 |
| BOW | 503,220,550 | 0 | 85,471,050 | 14,600 | 0 |
| BRADFORD | 109,595,100 | 1,544,000 | 8,585,500 | 0 | 0 |
| BRENTWOOD | 269,128,770 | 1,387,210 | 42,672,220 | 19,700 | 0 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 165,020,100 | 3,004,800 | 6,393,100 | 0 | 0 |
| BRISTOL | 293,216,520 | 1,470,800 | 26,124,460 | 0 | 0 |
| BROOKFIELD | 61,886,622 | 38,100 | 295,300 | 0 | 0 |
| BROOKLINE | 326,488,700 | 1,042,600 | 13,429,000 | 0 | 0 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 2,235,420 | 6,730 | 110,450 | 0 | 0 |
| CAMPTON | 238,825,000 | 9,796,300 | 20,027,700 | 0 | 0 |
| CANAAN | 173,523,964 | 15,550,600 | 16,388,800 | 0 | 0 |
| CANDIA | 225,218,600 | 1,352,900 | 13,944,300 | 84,400 | 0 |
| CANTERBURY | 167,951,084 | 322,900 | 4,863,700 | 48,416 | 0 |
| CARROLL | 241,601,110 | 1,146,720 | 49,092,470 | 0 | 0 |
| CENTER HARBOR | 149,243,157 | 1,733,000 | 10,278,500 | 19,675 | 0 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 11,050 | 0 | 0 |
| CHARLESTOWN | 165,989,924 | 28,099,300 | 31,439,376 | 12,800 | 0 |
| CHATHAM | 31,429,800 | 599,000 | 216,100 | 0 | 0 |
| CHESTER | 335,961,700 | 1,442,200 | 8,323,700 | 6,600 | 0 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 253,277,200 | 1,187,600 | 29,089,600 | 0 | 0 |
| CHICHESTER | 138,291,700 | 3,067,000 | 24,224,000 | 0 | 0 |
| CLAREMONT | 455,928,500 | 19,492,500 | 170,145,514 | 22,700 | 0 |
| CLARKSVILLE | 19,697,100 | 1,174,200 | 682,300 | 0 | 0 |
| COLEBROOK | 90,340,000 | 4,229,400 | 28,234,800 | 0 | 0 |
| COLUMBIA | 37,277,791 | 2,551,100 | 2,190,700 | 16,909 | 0 |
| CONCORD | 1,556,730,600 | 39,347,100 | 924,148,100 | 42,000 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 3 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | GROSS VALUATION | EDUCATIONAL SPECIAL EXEMTPIONS | MODIFIED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION |
|  | PUBLIC WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| ACWORTH | 0 | 0 | 1,248,606 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103,806,326 | 0 | 103,806,326 |
| ALBANY | 78,900 | 0 | 741,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103,246,149 | 0 | 103,246,149 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 0 | 0 | 18,791,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242,000,970 | 0 | 242,000,970 |
| ALLENSTOWN | 0 | 2,576,900 | 5,875,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268,210,884 | 0 | 268,210,884 |
| ALSTEAD | 0 | 0 | 2,717,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176,965,268 | 0 | 176,965,268 |
| ALTON | 0 | 0 | 4,669,300 | 0 | 27,000 | 0 | 1,503,660,983 | 10,000 | 1,503,650,983 |
| AMHERST | 6,447,900 | 3,327,000 | 28,782,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,873,727,400 | 0 | 1,873,727,400 |
| ANDOVER | 0 | 0 | 12,755,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265,633,161 | 150,000 | 265,483,161 |
| ANTRIM | 0 | 0 | 8,357,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255,246,310 | 0 | 255,246,310 |
| ASHLAND | 0 | 0 | 5,525,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263,953,161 | 0 | 263,953,161 |
| ATKINSON | 3,442,200 | 117,300 | 3,911,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,033,221,668 | 179,900 | 1,033,041,768 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 685,082 | 0 | 685,082 |
| AUBURN | 0 | 83,800 | 7,440,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600,714,588 | 199,900 | 600,514,688 |
| BARNSTEAD | 2,191,119 | 0 | 3,203,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 465,320,818 | 212,700 | 465,108,118 |
| BARRINGTON | 0 | 0 | 14,323,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 909,813,024 | 0 | 909,813,024 |
| BARTLETT | 0 | 0 | 5,202,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 970,595,618 | 0 | 970,595,618 |
| BATH | 0 | 0 | 10,110,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118,496,780 | 0 | 118,496,780 |
| BEAN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 443 |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,880 | 0 | 16,880 |
| BEDFORD | 5,396,000 | 2,425,600 | 29,585,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,371,414,920 | 742,000 | 3,370,672,920 |
| BELMONT | 293,600 | 1,429,000 | 5,774,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 735,333,340 | 0 | 735,333,340 |
| BENNINGTON | 0 | 0 | 965,800 | 0 | 1,873,900 | 0 | 113,056,850 | 0 | 113,056,850 |
| BENTON | 0 | 0 | 933,399 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,863,488 | 0 | 26,863,488 |
| BERLIN | 0 | 15,312,800 | 99,079,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 452,930,117 | 3,498,860 | 449,431,257 |
| BETHLEHEM | 53,300 | 0 | 5,211,095 | 0 | 230,400 | 0 | 264,847,566 | 150,000 | 264,697,566 |
| BOSCAWEN | 0 | 661,100 | 5,451,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275,413,836 | 0 | 275,413,836 |
| BOW | 727,400 | 5,167,581 | 223,024,100 | 0 | 56,300 | 0 | 1,147,228,022 | 21,081,010 | 1,126,147,012 |
| BRADFORD | 0 | 0 | 4,127,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219,046,262 | 0 | 219,046,262 |
| BRENTWOOD | 0 | 0 | 15,528,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478,627,296 | 2,400 | 478,624,896 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 0 | 0 | 12,869,783 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377,354,483 | 0 | 377,354,483 |
| BRISTOL | 0 | 0 | 14,626,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563,880,016 | 0 | 563,880,016 |
| BROOKFIELD | 0 | 0 | 1,345,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102,872,100 | 0 | 102,872,100 |
| BROOKLINE | 0 | 0 | 6,754,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 583,754,571 | 0 | 583,754,571 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 0 | 0 | 147,878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,294,892 | 0 | 8,294,892 |
| CAMPTON | 25,282 | 0 | 14,135,173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 410,024,072 | 0 | 410,024,072 |
| CANAAN | 0 | 0 | 6,299,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360,482,394 | 150,000 | 360,332,394 |
| CANDIA | 0 | 0 | 2,369,904 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403,885,487 | 0 | 403,885,487 |
| CANTERBURY | 0 | 500,000 | 5,039,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 311,392,093 | 14,000 | 311,378,093 |
| CARROLL | 389,180 | 0 | 2,112,080 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396,366,759 | 0 | 396,366,759 |
| CENTER HARBOR | 0 | 0 | 1,314,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 472,131,653 | 997,200 | 471,134,453 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 1,923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49,133 | 0 | 49,133 |
| CHARLESTOWN | 0 | 0 | 9,117,390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287,665,160 | 0 | 287,665,160 |
| CHATHAM | 0 | 0 | 555,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51,277,392 | 0 | 51,277,392 |
| CHESTER | 97,600 | 0 | 20,334,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 588,900,500 | 150,000 | 588,750,500 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 0 | 0 | 3,457,988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 560,747,288 | 0 | 560,747,288 |
| CHICHESTER | 0 | 0 | 2,847,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292,389,062 | 704,555 | 291,684,507 |
| CLAREMONT | 0 | 0 | 14,942,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 786,488,414 | 124,900 | 786,363,514 |
| CLARKSVILLE | 0 | 0 | 916,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,084,297 | 0 | 40,084,297 |
| COLEBROOK | 0 | 9,974,999 | 5,962,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190,360,597 | 0 | 190,360,597 |
| COLUMBIA | 0 | 19,117,000 | 1,824,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84,803,489 | 0 | 84,803,489 |
| CONCORD | 0 | 30,754,900 | 122,019,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,877,142,900 | 8,994,950 | 3,868,147,950 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 4 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET VALUATION } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { PROPERTY } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LESS } \\ & \text { VETERANS } \\ & \text { CREDITS } \end{aligned}$ | NET TAX COMMITMENT | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { RESIDENTS } \\ \text { TAX } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { OPTIONAL } \\ & \text { EXEMPTIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACWORTH | 30,000 | 249,100 | 0 | 103,527,226 | 2,015,061 | 7,000 | 2,008,061 | 19.49 | 0 |
| ALBANY | 0 | 153,500 | 0 | 103,092,649 | 1,120,759 | 25,500 | 1,095,259 | 10.89 | 0 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 30,000 | 1,030,075 | 105,000 | 240,835,895 | 4,090,686 | 39,909 | 4,050,777 | 17.15 | 0 |
| ALLENSTOWN | 45,000 | 2,182,900 | 0 | 265,982,984 | 7,261,484 | 127,869 | 7,133,615 | 27.38 | 0 |
| ALSTEAD | 0 | 198,800 | 0 | 176,766,468 | 4,073,439 | 5,300 | 4,068,139 | 23.08 | 0 |
| ALTON | 15,000 | 1,848,200 | 218,100 | 1,501,569,683 | 18,322,913 | 217,500 | 18,105,413 | 12.21 | 0 |
| AMHERST | 129,000 | 13,171,900 | 550,500 | 1,859,876,000 | 38,010,448 | 305,000 | 37,705,448 | 20.48 | 0 |
| ANDOVER | 0 | 230,000 | 90,000 | 265,163,161 | 4,307,202 | 69,100 | 4,238,102 | 16.36 | 0 |
| ANTRIM | 15,000 | 1,237,500 | 0 | 253,993,810 | 6,110,516 | 69,500 | 6,041,016 | 24.14 | 0 |
| ASHLAND | 60,000 | 823,333 | 0 | 263,069,828 | 5,094,030 | 31,050 | 5,062,980 | 19.41 | 0 |
| ATKINSON | 30,000 | 7,800,000 | 220,665 | 1,024,991,103 | 15,573,753 | 209,750 | 15,364,003 | 15.21 | 0 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 685,082 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| AUBURN | 50,000 | 6,586,600 | 500,000 | 593,378,088 | 11,484,983 | 161,500 | 11,323,483 | 19.39 | 0 |
| BARNSTEAD | 45,000 | 950,000 | 0 | 464,113,118 | 10,363,597 | 166,500 | 10,197,097 | 22.36 | 0 |
| BARRINGTON | 60,000 | 8,438,500 | 1,471,100 | 899,843,424 | 17,575,990 | 236,150 | 17,339,840 | 19.57 | 0 |
| BARTLETT | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | 970,145,618 | 8,864,347 | 56,100 | 8,808,247 | 9.15 | 0 |
| BATH | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 118,486,780 | 1,980,053 | 14,700 | 1,965,353 | 16.91 | 6,320 |
| BEAN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| BEDFORD | 350,000 | 13,399,900 | 985,393 | 3,355,937,627 | 65,757,834 | 542,000 | 65,215,834 | 19.62 | 0 |
| BELMONT | 135,000 | 7,397,516 | 34,786 | 727,766,038 | 15,244,309 | 248,000 | 14,996,309 | 20.97 | 0 |
| BENNINGTON | 15,000 | 862,500 | 0 | 112,179,350 | 2,694,270 | 34,500 | 2,659,770 | 24.04 | 0 |
| BENTON | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 26,848,488 | 342,356 | 1,350 | 341,006 | 12.83 | 0 |
| BERLIN | 120,000 | 3,049,800 | 0 | 446,261,457 | 13,863,940 | 98,100 | 13,765,840 | 31.70 | 0 |
| BETHLEHEM | 15,000 | 1,905,800 | 0 | 262,776,766 | 6,572,709 | 97,000 | 6,475,709 | 25.06 | 0 |
| BOSCAWEN | 45,000 | 1,003,300 | 0 | 274,365,536 | 5,742,804 | 29,800 | 5,713,004 | 20.98 | 0 |
| BOW | 286,000 | 10,798,900 | 1,021,581 | 1,114,040,531 | 26,904,520 | 192,500 | 26,712,020 | 24.66 | 0 |
| BRADFORD | 0 | 232,500 | 19,558 | 218,794,204 | 4,493,003 | 52,200 | 4,440,803 | 20.58 | 0 |
| BRENTWOOD | 125,000 | 999,000 | 250,000 | 477,250,896 | 11,350,404 | 56,700 | 11,293,704 | 23.86 | 0 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 377,144,483 | 3,139,957 | 6,300 | 3,133,657 | 8.40 | 0 |
| BRISTOL | 60,000 | 724,100 | 150,000 | 562,945,916 | 9,181,491 | 111,200 | 9,070,291 | 16.37 | 0 |
| BROOKFIELD | 120,000 | 60,000 | 90,000 | 102,602,100 | 1,453,665 | 10,300 | 1,443,365 | 14.20 | 0 |
| BROOKLINE | 0 | 2,908,200 | 672,000 | 580,174,371 | 14,385,271 | 83,500 | 14,301,771 | 24.82 | 0 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,294,892 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| CAMPTON | 30,000 | 2,645,800 | 315,300 | 407,032,972 | 7,517,042 | 104,500 | 7,412,542 | 18.55 | 0 |
| CANAAN | 0 | 1,026,400 | 105,200 | 359,200,794 | 7,263,863 | 49,200 | 7,214,663 | 20.26 | 0 |
| CANDIA | 0 | 3,202,400 | 175,000 | 400,508,087 | 7,964,234 | 68,750 | 7,895,484 | 19.90 | 0 |
| CANTERBURY | 60,000 | 960,000 | 955,700 | 309,402,393 | 6,160,448 | 71,800 | 6,088,648 | 19.95 | 0 |
| CARROLL | 0 | 665,000 | 3,900 | 395,697,859 | 4,853,793 | 29,000 | 4,824,793 | 12.28 | 0 |
| CENTER HARBOR | 15,000 | 60,000 | 2,600 | 471,056,853 | 5,155,207 | 41,253 | 5,113,954 | 10.95 | 0 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49,133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| CHARLESTOWN | 30,000 | 765,500 | 100,000 | 286,769,660 | 7,565,089 | 90,150 | 7,474,939 | 26.45 | 0 |
| CHATHAM | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 51,272,392 | 569,964 | 17,500 | 552,464 | 11.14 | 0 |
| CHESTER | 90,000 | 9,368,100 | 3,107,500 | 576,184,900 | 10,783,198 | 106,000 | 10,677,198 | 18.79 | 0 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 0 | 1,760,000 | 0 | 558,987,288 | 9,495,142 | 71,700 | 9,423,442 | 17.00 | 0 |
| CHICHESTER | 0 | 1,115,400 | 328,760 | 290,240,347 | 5,528,676 | 79,700 | 5,448,976 | 19.07 | 0 |
| CLAREMONT | 180,000 | 6,521,400 | 562,675 | 779,099,439 | 24,380,814 | 130,625 | 24,250,189 | 31.34 | 0 |
| CLARKSVILLE | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 40,069,297 | 521,159 | 7,800 | 513,359 | 13.07 | 0 |
| COLEBROOK | 45,000 | 207,500 | 0 | 190,108,097 | 3,729,078 | 21,900 | 3,707,178 | 19.80 | 0 |
| COLUMBIA | 0 | 120,100 | 15,000 | 84,668,389 | 1,282,638 | 8,300 | 1,274,338 | 15.75 | 0 |
| CONCORD | 4,816,453 | 28,357,330 | 0 | 3,834,974,167 | 89,392,687 | 289,158 | 89,103,529 | 23.16 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 1 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { USE } \end{gathered}$ | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F <br> FARM LAND | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| CONWAY | 2,825,200 | 4,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,863,100 | 144,175,500 |
| CORNISH | 3,135,856 | 277,063 | 0 | 1,800 | 0 | 64,384,200 | 635,600 |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49,360 | 112,750 |
| CROYDON | 1,191,977 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,145,500 | 1,264,900 |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DALTON | 1,143,692 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,004,900 | 1,446,900 |
| DANBURY | 1,555,140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69,266,210 | 1,980,090 |
| DANVILLE | 339,853 | 23,336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201,954,700 | 7,771,600 |
| DEERFIELD | 2,029,385 | 779 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 192,405,200 | 5,526,500 |
| DEERING | 1,927,537 | 12,033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83,158,025 | 1,159,300 |
| DERRY | 965,452 | 0 | 15,600 | 0 | 0 | 873,367,460 | 114,298,640 |
| DIX GRANT | 364,446 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116,000 | 0 |
| DIXVILLE | 928,129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 862,720 | 2,373,890 |
| DORCHESTER | 1,614,350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,057,500 | 0 |
| DOVER | 889,870 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 665,821,630 | 165,766,600 |
| DUBLIN | 1,289,779 | 50,857 | 0 | 4,800 | 0 | 91,492,700 | 8,619,200 |
| DUMMER | 1,343,384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,160,100 | 76,100 |
| DUNBARTON | 1,061,662 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79,808,400 | 1,396,404 |
| DURHAM | 1,064,627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249,859,600 | 33,893,965 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 353,993 | 105,935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95,800,300 | 1,891,500 |
| EASTON | 361,075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,288,800 | 126,100 |
| EATON | 1,091,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,001,710 | 1,965,740 |
| EFFINGHAM | 974,498 | 34,447 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65,984,200 | 2,454,200 |
| ELLSWORTH | 99,290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,110,900 | 0 |
| ENFIELD | 1,184,368 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220,969,900 | 16,484,300 |
| EPPING | 879,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177,916,100 | 43,214,700 |
| EPSOM | 1,864,259 | 0 | 0 | 3,600 | 0 | 127,993,300 | 19,576,800 |
| ERROL | 825,769 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,184,960 | 881,720 |
| ERVING'S GRANT | 82,104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EXETER | 300,598 | 0 | 2,600 | 0 | 0 | 374,934,040 | 110,362,360 |
| FARMINGTON | 1,099,260 | 77,730 | 484,710 | 0 | 0 | 132,930,720 | 17,870,570 |
| FITZWILLIAM | 1,433,927 | 30,569 | 0 | 13,650 | 0 | 90,516,325 | 3,932,900 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 1,425,284 | 124,855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79,666,000 | 2,344,600 |
| FRANCONIA | 768,708 | 9,075 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106,286,700 | 8,228,800 |
| FRANKLIN | 845,000 | 52,700 | 46,000 | 1,200 | 0 | 122,766,650 | 20,580,800 |
| FREEDOM | 1,182,959 | 17,611 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299,262,400 | 6,076,600 |
| FREMONT | 723,577 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 113,881,613 | 6,864,200 |
| GILFORD | 844,760 | 26,830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 647,064,140 | 48,863,670 |
| GILMANTON | 2,617,222 | 1,811 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199,925,900 | 1,766,300 |
| GILSUM | 926,773 | 70,960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,656,900 | 993,200 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 970,100 | 0 | 1,200 | 0 | 0 | 461,554,900 | 62,466,600 |
| GORHAM | 491,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42,545,500 | 29,119,500 |
| GOSHEN | 978,745 | 25,835 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,749,073 | 941,260 |
| GRAFTON | 1,666,754 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,510,600 | 470,100 |
| GRANTHAM | 682,239 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 138,540,200 | 4,039,100 |
| GREENFIELD | 1,419,648 | 3,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56,446,400 | 1,951,800 |
| GREENLAND | 434,800 | 0 | 98,800 | 0 | 0 | 244,788,400 | 36,194,600 |
| GREEN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,005,580 |
| GREENVILLE | 396,946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,435,200 | 6,793,000 |
| GROTON | 1,057,811 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,232,600 | 0 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 2 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM STRUCTURES |
| CONWAY | 630,886,600 | 14,977,900 | 270,550,200 | 0 | 0 |
| CORNISH | 108,805,884 | 2,195,300 | 1,116,600 | 58,816 | 0 |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CROYDON | 48,989,500 | 1,524,200 | 2,398,300 | 0 | 0 |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DALTON | 47,861,600 | 5,547,800 | 895,100 | 0 | 0 |
| DANBURY | 64,163,850 | 2,698,610 | 3,436,810 | 0 | 0 |
| DANVILLE | 201,830,100 | 22,647,900 | 5,379,300 | 0 | 0 |
| DEERFIELD | 257,137,767 | 5,212,100 | 9,301,900 | 49,733 | 0 |
| DEERING | 96,295,000 | 4,589,800 | 2,942,900 | 0 | 0 |
| DERRY | 1,149,287,992 | 20,745,010 | 332,944,768 | 0 | 0 |
| DIX GRANT | 445,640 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DIXVILLE | 2,787,860 | 19,260 | 9,589,290 | 0 | 0 |
| DORCHESTER | 18,958,100 | 1,498,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOVER | 1,257,366,200 | 24,804,100 | 507,853,400 | 0 | 0 |
| DUBLIN | 142,074,432 | 538,000 | 8,608,500 | 41,303 | 0 |
| DUMMER | 19,846,900 | 914,900 | 39,500 | 0 | 0 |
| DUNBARTON | 196,006,996 | 61,800 | 3,044,700 | 0 | 0 |
| DURHAM | 529,811,820 | 112,300 | 68,970,047 | 0 | 0 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 173,697,600 | 1,943,800 | 3,217,300 | 0 | 0 |
| EASTON | 35,172,500 | 0 | 314,300 | 0 | 0 |
| EATON | 53,596,200 | 64,090 | 3,272,900 | 0 | 0 |
| EFFINGHAM | 90,004,058 | 4,307,000 | 11,202,500 | 0 | 0 |
| ELLSWORTH | 7,748,300 | 120,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ENFIELD | 276,203,400 | 5,372,900 | 19,613,200 | 0 | 0 |
| EPPING | 290,869,000 | 16,793,500 | 74,791,700 | 0 | 0 |
| EPSOM | 190,316,724 | 18,449,900 | 36,455,300 | 54,886 | 0 |
| ERROL | 33,946,110 | 915,250 | 5,234,900 | 0 | 0 |
| ERVING'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EXETER | 810,195,740 | 34,346,700 | 233,486,984 | 72,800 | 0 |
| FARMINGTON | 277,389,040 | 0 | 38,755,190 | 0 | 0 |
| FITZWILLIAM | 154,767,895 | 7,435,900 | 13,609,800 | 49,405 | 0 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 118,334,000 | 455,800 | 5,401,800 | 0 | 0 |
| FRANCONIA | 162,716,880 | 1,856,100 | 24,431,600 | 0 | 0 |
| FRANKLIN | 315,126,000 | 11,444,900 | 70,974,100 | 28,300 | 0 |
| FREEDOM | 219,001,936 | 21,744,700 | 6,764,700 | 20,064 | 0 |
| FREMONT | 206,577,700 | 8,335,900 | 18,054,200 | 12,100 | 0 |
| GILFORD | 721,805,780 | 17,359,600 | 106,700,710 | 0 | 0 |
| GILMANTON | 259,024,700 | 1,010,000 | 3,582,300 | 0 | 0 |
| GILSUM | 38,554,607 | 1,162,300 | 1,494,300 | 0 | 0 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 768,392,900 | 17,437,900 | 85,544,000 | 34,000 | 0 |
| GORHAM | 108,610,600 | 7,055,400 | 71,978,000 | 0 | 0 |
| GOSHEN | 40,811,920 | 1,718,790 | 1,277,290 | 0 | 0 |
| GRAFTON | 69,094,700 | 4,072,400 | 975,100 | 0 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM | 349,869,200 | 900,600 | 7,885,200 | 12,100 | 0 |
| GREENFIELD | 87,339,200 | 1,931,200 | 4,556,000 | 0 | 0 |
| GREENLAND | 279,558,800 | 8,700 | 87,283,200 | 0 | 0 |
| GREEN'S GRANT | 27,700 | 0 | 1,963,850 | 0 | 0 |
| GREENVILLE | 55,752,500 | 13,151,800 | 18,644,700 | 0 | 0 |
| GROTON | 33,148,200 | 4,197,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 3 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EDUCATIONAL SPECIAL EXEMTPIONS | MODIFIED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION |
|  | PUBLIC <br> WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| CONWAY | 1,218,900 | 0 | 13,777,065 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,379,279,065 | 0 | 1,379,279,065 |
| CORNISH | 0 | 0 | 4,516,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185,127,919 | 0 | 185,127,919 |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162,453 | 0 | 162,453 |
| CROYDON | 0 | 0 | 1,966,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93,481,077 | 0 | 93,481,077 |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DALTON | 0 | 0 | 3,392,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92,292,292 | 0 | 92,292,292 |
| DANBURY | 0 | 0 | 1,475,802 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144,576,512 | 0 | 144,576,512 |
| DANVILLE | 211,700 | 0 | 5,213,500 | 0 | 76,400 | 0 | 445,448,389 | 0 | 445,448,389 |
| DEERFIELD | 0 | 0 | 43,414,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 515,085,164 | 0 | 515,085,164 |
| DEERING | 0 | 0 | 10,236,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200,320,795 | 0 | 200,320,795 |
| DERRY | 2,292,600 | 1,735,400 | 15,404,600 | 0 | 42,900 | 0 | 2,511,100,422 | 360,200 | 2,510,740,222 |
| DIX GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 926,086 | 0 | 926,086 |
| DIXVILLE | 0 | 0 | 72,954 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,634,103 | 0 | 16,634,103 |
| DORCHESTER | 0 | 0 | 459,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39,587,550 | 0 | 39,587,550 |
| DOVER | 0 | 11,643,300 | 23,771,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,657,916,800 | 341,500 | 2,657,575,300 |
| DUBLIN | 0 | 0 | 3,195,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255,915,271 | 300,000 | 255,615,271 |
| DUMMER | 0 | 8,893,100 | 14,252,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,526,884 | 0 | 54,526,884 |
| DUNBARTON | 0 | 0 | 21,525,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302,904,962 | 0 | 302,904,962 |
| DURHAM | 0 | 3,528,282 | 8,788,225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 896,028,866 | 0 | 896,028,866 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 217,700 | 14,455,500 | 3,930,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 295,613,828 | 0 | 295,613,828 |
| EASTON | 0 | 0 | 565,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64,828,275 | 0 | 64,828,275 |
| EATON | 0 | 0 | 758,469 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110,750,859 | 0 | 110,750,859 |
| EFFINGHAM | 0 | 0 | 4,711,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179,672,803 | 173,000 | 179,499,803 |
| ELLSWORTH | 0 | 0 | 348,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,427,790 | 175,200 | 13,252,590 |
| ENFIELD | 0 | 0 | 2,788,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 542,616,468 | 0 | 542,616,468 |
| EPPING | 236,600 | 0 | 10,927,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 615,628,700 | 0 | 615,628,700 |
| EPSOM | 0 | 0 | 6,822,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 401,536,969 | 0 | 401,536,969 |
| ERROL | 0 | 0 | 9,333,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85,321,709 | 0 | 85,321,709 |
| ERVING'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,104 | 0 | 82,104 |
| EXETER | 67,001 | 12,731,800 | 10,686,712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,587,187,335 | 823,400 | 1,586,363,935 |
| FARMINGTON | 0 | 0 | 4,914,060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 473,521,280 | 0 | 473,521,280 |
| FITZWILLIAM | 0 | 0 | 34,892,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306,683,071 | 267,800 | 306,415,271 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 0 | 0 | 2,872,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210,624,339 | 0 | 210,624,339 |
| FRANCONIA | 0 | 0 | 2,806,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307,104,163 | 0 | 307,104,163 |
| FRANKLIN | 0 | 2,300,000 | 25,780,700 | 0 | 117,000 | 0 | 570,063,350 | 3,160 | 570,060,190 |
| FREEDOM | 193,700 | 0 | 3,113,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557,377,970 | 0 | 557,377,970 |
| FREMONT | 0 | 0 | 5,836,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360,285,890 | 0 | 360,285,890 |
| GILFORD | 141,230 | 436,000 | 5,603,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,548,845,720 | 199,640 | 1,548,646,080 |
| GILMANTON | 0 | 0 | 7,712,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475,640,633 | 3,400 | 475,637,233 |
| GILSUM | 0 | 0 | 1,744,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64,603,940 | 0 | 64,603,940 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 0 | 1,502,100 | 27,652,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,425,555,700 | 556,000 | 1,424,999,700 |
| GORHAM | 0 | 13,436,000 | 41,394,200 | 5,941,700 | 0 | 0 | 320,572,000 | 6,400 | 320,565,600 |
| GOSHEN | 0 | 0 | 1,323,571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75,826,484 | 0 | 75,826,484 |
| GRAFTON | 0 | 0 | 2,711,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126,501,554 | 0 | 126,501,554 |
| GRANTHAM | 482,700 | 0 | 4,560,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506,972,839 | 335,500 | 506,637,339 |
| GREENFIELD | 0 | 0 | 2,728,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156,376,722 | 468,700 | 155,908,022 |
| GREENLAND | 0 | 8,290,000 | 7,438,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 664,095,900 | 0 | 664,095,900 |
| GREEN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 43,369 | 0 | 7,993 | 0 | 4,048,492 | 0 | 4,048,492 |
| GREENVILLE | 0 | 0 | 3,860,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135,034,246 | 0 | 135,034,246 |
| GROTON | 0 | 0 | 11,959,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,595,311 | 0 | 78,595,311 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 4 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET VALUATION } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { PROPERTY } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LESS } \\ & \text { VETERANS } \\ & \text { CREDITS } \end{aligned}$ | NET TAX COMMITMENT | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2010 \\ \text { RESIDENTS } \\ \text { TAX } \end{array}$ |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { OPTIONAL } \\ & \text { EXEMPTIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONWAY | 100,000 | 3,905,000 | 347,300 | 1,374,926,765 | 24,532,152 | 296,696 | 24,235,456 | 17.87 | 0 |
| CORNISH | 45,000 | 1,155,000 | 0 | 183,927,919 | 3,449,115 | 47,000 | 3,402,115 | 18.81 | 0 |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162,453 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| CROYDON | 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 93,271,077 | 1,466,579 | 4,800 | 1,461,779 | 15.77 | 0 |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| DALTON | 0 | 210,900 | 0 | 92,081,392 | 1,668,045 | 40,500 | 1,627,545 | 18.20 | 0 |
| DANBURY | 45,000 | 430,000 | 45,000 | 144,056,512 | 2,697,990 | 22,600 | 2,675,390 | 18.75 | 0 |
| DANVILLE | 75,000 | 4,225,530 | 0 | 441,147,859 | 8,944,560 | 84,800 | 8,859,760 | 20.30 | 0 |
| DEERFIELD | 15,000 | 2,535,000 | 0 | 512,535,164 | 11,654,495 | 96,500 | 11,557,995 | 22.96 | 0 |
| DEERING | 15,000 | 3,453,200 | 0 | 196,852,595 | 4,684,581 | 58,600 | 4,625,981 | 23.93 | 0 |
| DERRY | 677,500 | 28,345,553 | 0 | 2,481,717,169 | 70,631,113 | 387,900 | 70,243,213 | 28.48 | 0 |
| DIX GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 926,086 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| DIXVILLE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,634,103 | 137,858 | 0 | 137,858 | 8.30 | 0 |
| DORCHESTER | 0 | 18,000 | 0 | 39,569,550 | 820,397 | 13,300 | 807,097 | 20.76 | 0 |
| DOVER | 1,926,000 | 44,352,750 | 4,761,500 | 2,606,535,050 | 61,814,899 | 686,197 | 61,128,702 | 23.75 | 0 |
| DUBLIN | 30,000 | 90,000 | 7,425 | 255,487,846 | 5,460,250 | 39,700 | 5,420,550 | 21.40 | 0 |
| DUMMER | 0 | 330,000 | 0 | 54,196,884 | 906,648 | 6,500 | 900,148 | 17.60 | 0 |
| DUNBARTON | 0 | 341,650 | 0 | 302,563,312 | 6,149,381 | 63,300 | 6,086,081 | 20.50 | 0 |
| DURHAM | 150,000 | 3,550,000 | 189,031 | 892,139,835 | 24,310,602 | 68,800 | 24,241,802 | 27.28 | 0 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 30,000 | 30,200 | 0 | 295,553,628 | 6,852,107 | 82,300 | 6,769,807 | 23.33 | 0 |
| EASTON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64,828,275 | 609,957 | 2,950 | 607,007 | 9.43 | 0 |
| EATON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110,750,859 | 1,200,016 | 19,500 | 1,180,516 | 10.85 | 0 |
| EFFINGHAM | 0 | 190,000 | 11,467 | 179,298,336 | 2,866,854 | 48,125 | 2,818,729 | 16.05 | 0 |
| ELLSWORTH | 0 | 2,500 | 0 | 13,250,090 | 204,518 | 200 | 204,318 | 15.50 | 0 |
| ENFIELD | 15,000 | 1,715,300 | 200,000 | 540,686,168 | 11,088,273 | 54,600 | 11,033,673 | 20.52 | 0 |
| EPPING | 45,000 | 5,241,300 | 0 | 610,342,400 | 14,175,987 | 141,000 | 14,034,987 | 23.27 | 0 |
| EPSOM | 45,000 | 1,035,000 | 420,900 | 400,036,069 | 7,892,340 | 146,250 | 7,746,090 | 19.77 | 0 |
| ERROL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85,321,709 | 794,396 | 3,500 | 790,896 | 9.56 | 0 |
| ERVING'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| EXETER | 90,000 | 34,363,088 | 2,425,400 | 1,549,485,447 | 38,074,357 | 369,500 | 37,704,857 | 24.61 | 0 |
| FARMINGTON | 45,000 | 5,846,160 | 10,000 | 467,620,120 | 9,452,886 | 231,000 | 9,221,886 | 20.24 | 0 |
| FITZWILLIAM | 15,000 | 1,637,800 | 272,950 | 304,489,521 | 7,152,515 | 109,000 | 7,043,515 | 23.74 | 0 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 0 | 280,000 | 0 | 210,344,339 | 4,619,125 | 49,200 | 4,569,925 | 21.99 | 0 |
| FRANCONIA | 0 | 122,500 | 93,431 | 306,888,232 | 4,290,373 | 25,000 | 4,265,373 | 14.00 | 0 |
| FRANKLIN | 75,000 | 6,059,200 | 0 | 563,925,990 | 11,851,193 | 116,100 | 11,735,093 | 21.14 | 0 |
| FREEDOM | 0 | 573,000 | 20,000 | 556,784,970 | 6,061,780 | 37,800 | 6,023,980 | 10.90 | 0 |
| FREMONT | 15,000 | 1,283,333 | 150,000 | 358,837,557 | 9,512,429 | 89,500 | 9,422,929 | 26.55 | 0 |
| GILFORD | 45,000 | 4,037,100 | 0 | 1,544,563,980 | 27,199,210 | 289,000 | 26,910,210 | 17.62 | 0 |
| GILMANTON | 15,000 | 2,228,700 | 145,000 | 473,248,533 | 10,369,450 | 130,500 | 10,238,950 | 21.95 | 0 |
| GILSUM | 15,000 | 360,000 | 0 | 64,228,940 | 1,662,536 | 4,000 | 1,658,536 | 25.95 | 0 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 180,000 | 13,495,000 | 0 | 1,411,324,700 | 32,266,394 | 438,750 | 31,827,644 | 22.91 | 0 |
| GORHAM | 60,000 | 2,182,900 | 52,600 | 318,270,100 | 7,483,402 | 14,650 | 7,468,752 | 23.95 | 0 |
| GOSHEN | 0 | 100,000 | 0 | 75,726,484 | 1,787,821 | 24,900 | 1,762,921 | 23.65 | 0 |
| GRAFTON | 15,000 | 355,000 | 180,000 | 125,951,554 | 2,375,750 | 58,000 | 2,317,750 | 18.91 | 0 |
| GRANTHAM | 0 | 400,000 | 0 | 506,237,339 | 9,708,055 | 88,000 | 9,620,055 | 19.20 | 0 |
| GREENFIELD | 15,000 | 280,000 | 0 | 155,613,022 | 3,190,971 | 20,700 | 3,170,271 | 20.55 | 0 |
| GREENLAND | 45,000 | 3,110,000 | 50,000 | 660,890,900 | 9,257,680 | 128,000 | 9,129,680 | 14.06 | 0 |
| GREEN'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,048,492 | 27,189 | 0 | 27,189 | 6.74 | 0 |
| GREENVILLE | 30,000 | 381,100 | 0 | 134,623,146 | 2,348,643 | 48,000 | 2,300,643 | 17.51 | 0 |
| GROTON | 0 | 35,000 | 0 | 78,560,311 | 991,609 | 21,000 | 970,609 | 12.98 | 0 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 1 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | CURRENT USE | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F <br> FARM LAND | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| HALE'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,831,200 | 1,073,400 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 100,430 | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | 0 | 269,616,500 | 38,626,900 |
| HAMPTON | 71,900 | 27,100 | 0 | 55,400 | 0 | 1,164,695,400 | 166,617,600 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 510,500 | 0 | 0 | 3,300 | 0 | 170,337,400 | 12,493,900 |
| HANCOCK | 896,992 | 143,858 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110,696,000 | 1,377,000 |
| HANOVER | 1,769,200 | 3,100 | 0 | 5,200 | 0 | 535,521,400 | 94,315,700 |
| HARRISVILLE | 632,732 | 112,810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101,958,500 | 1,213,400 |
| HART'S LOCATION | 4,142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,420,600 | 223,000 |
| HAVERHILL | 3,951,471 | 10,693 | 0 | 9,750 | 0 | 81,308,600 | 17,428,500 |
| HEBRON | 208,290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164,376,700 | 2,473,000 |
| HENNIKER | 1,767,248 | 0 | 0 | 13,395 | 0 | 144,213,685 | 18,541,710 |
| HILL | 982,550 | 7,569 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37,381,700 | 377,500 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 1,675,614 | 96,047 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200,257,395 | 22,806,206 |
| HINSDALE | 987,486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59,776,476 | 15,543,024 |
| HOLDERNESS | 847,880 | 51,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367,709,590 | 25,914,800 |
| HOLLIS | 1,162,250 | 0 | 0 | 170,000 | 0 | 431,945,700 | 15,378,900 |
| HOOKSETT | 695,847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420,567,192 | 136,453,887 |
| HOPKINTON | 2,028,441 | 267,144 | 16,168 | 2,850 | 0 | 202,072,400 | 7,816,666 |
| HUDSON | 410,161 | 0 | 3,914 | 0 | 0 | 858,080,502 | 171,126,307 |
| JACKSON | 539,598 | 51,270 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127,487,300 | 7,123,700 |
| JAFFREY | 1,476,243 | 62,868 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127,620,110 | 16,666,607 |
| JEFFERSON | 1,534,928 | 5,373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,848,400 | 2,915,800 |
| KEENE | 1,176,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344,238,800 | 156,614,000 |
| KENSINGTON | 695,711 | 77,469 | 0 | 1,800 | 0 | 146,664,700 | 2,262,000 |
| KILKENNY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KINGSTON | 391,822 | 46,346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304,454,200 | 30,873,100 |
| LACONIA | 460,544 | 14,547 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 511,592,662 | 93,475,976 |
| LANCASTER | 2,686,840 | 540 | 0 | 13,130 | 0 | 55,286,170 | 17,333,880 |
| LANDAFF | 1,328,763 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,496,446 | 237,160 |
| LANGDON | 1,023,014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,138,774 | 1,787,978 |
| LEBANON | 1,092,664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301,923,812 | 282,290,739 |
| LEE | 1,037,699 | 202,892 | 0 | 8,100 | 0 | 204,446,300 | 25,326,000 |
| LEMPSTER | 1,492,528 | 28,553 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,500,900 | 842,000 |
| LINCOLN | 119,950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136,981,000 | 38,251,840 |
| LISBON | 1,832,632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,220,800 | 2,037,700 |
| LITCHFIELD | 429,753 | 2,053 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296,666,300 | 11,079,700 |
| LITTLETON | 1,539,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94,031,600 | 60,210,200 |
| LIVERMORE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117,040 | 0 |
| LONDONDERRY | 767,444 | 0 | 284,200 | 21,400 | 0 | 670,496,682 | 179,382,918 |
| LOUDON | 2,185,315 | 22,113 | 10,684 | 300 | 0 | 173,813,900 | 29,528,500 |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LYMAN | 1,652,583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,287,200 | 235,000 |
| LYME | 2,550,600 | 4,900 | 0 | 30,400 | 0 | 114,687,300 | 5,696,900 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 1,584,510 | 0 | 0 | 30,628 | 0 | 60,519,100 | 3,189,500 |
| MADBURY | 641,855 | 32,244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83,454,600 | 7,128,600 |
| MADISON | 1,594,887 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184,413,900 | 7,829,700 |
| MANCHESTER | 125,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,169,511,002 | 749,635,898 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 1,166,860 | 86,890 | 550 | 0 | 0 | 56,629,870 | 8,045,970 |
| MARLOW | 1,023,760 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,549,240 | 493,860 |
| MARTIN'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MASON | 935,542 | 16,803 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63,053,900 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 2 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM STRUCTURES |
| HALE'S LOCATION | 38,605,300 | 0 | 5,338,200 | 0 | 0 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 567,481,646 | 33,788,500 | 84,986,000 | 16,754 | 0 |
| HAMPTON | 1,394,617,400 | 18,939,700 | 248,181,100 | 129,900 | 0 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 214,805,400 | 82,800 | 25,126,700 | 12,700 | 0 |
| HANCOCK | 152,879,700 | 499,900 | 2,792,700 | 0 | 0 |
| HANOVER | 941,842,200 | 0 | 364,152,900 | 35,600 | 0 |
| HARRISVILLE | 101,873,200 | 1,019,300 | 4,244,500 | 0 | 0 |
| HART'S LOCATION | 7,312,200 | 0 | 1,302,000 | 0 | 0 |
| HAVERHILL | 214,846,679 | 11,235,400 | 44,030,300 | 58,970 | 0 |
| HEBRON | 106,801,400 | 410,800 | 3,102,600 | 0 | 0 |
| HENNIKER | 165,130,636 | 1,594,600 | 45,542,500 | 65,025 | 0 |
| HILL | 55,212,031 | 2,540,300 | 967,400 | 0 | 0 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 282,684,092 | 7,121,100 | 63,140,800 | 5,012 | 0 |
| HINSDALE | 124,269,894 | 26,924,800 | 22,648,186 | 0 | 0 |
| HOLDERNESS | 245,992,000 | 9,498,100 | 30,646,607 | 0 | 0 |
| HOLLIS | 747,260,700 | 4,951,100 | 40,538,900 | 456,300 | 0 |
| HOOKSETT | 708,743,254 | 24,109,700 | 303,288,454 | 0 | 0 |
| HOPKINTON | 363,873,100 | 13,603,000 | 35,029,500 | 61,800 | 0 |
| HUDSON | 1,467,667,330 | 10,509,300 | 319,593,554 | 0 | 0 |
| JACKSON | 219,483,400 | 104,100 | 27,870,400 | 0 | 0 |
| JAFFREY | 272,874,827 | 4,272,876 | 24,280,159 | 0 | 0 |
| JEFFERSON | 78,177,800 | 4,003,700 | 9,857,700 | 0 | 0 |
| KEENE | 861,553,800 | 6,593,200 | 487,046,000 | 0 | 0 |
| KENSINGTON | 179,606,620 | 924,000 | 5,148,200 | 155,680 | 0 |
| KILKENNY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KINGSTON | 316,916,095 | 3,978,100 | 43,669,800 | 44,305 | 0 |
| LACONIA | 1,048,240,796 | 22,852,965 | 226,865,970 | 0 | 0 |
| LANCASTER | 146,752,280 | 3,145,740 | 46,881,170 | 23,940 | 0 |
| LANDAFF | 24,741,662 | 67,020 | 257,530 | 0 | 0 |
| LANGDON | 35,516,900 | 871,600 | 1,711,300 | 0 | 0 |
| LEBANON | 699,528,070 | 5,703,000 | 461,590,735 | 0 | 0 |
| LEE | 232,345,407 | 6,457,500 | 32,179,500 | 46,893 | 0 |
| LEMPSTER | 64,478,900 | 4,583,500 | 3,519,100 | 0 | 0 |
| LINCOLN | 592,172,394 | 3,321,220 | 80,848,430 | 0 | 0 |
| LISBON | 56,158,919 | 3,498,000 | 17,726,400 | 17,481 | 0 |
| LITCHFIELD | 441,786,900 | 4,379,200 | 17,203,700 | 0 | 0 |
| LITTLETON | 250,133,800 | 9,631,400 | 117,804,500 | 0 | 0 |
| LIVERMORE | 28,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONDONDERRY | 1,610,346,274 | 16,399,500 | 381,999,026 | 58,500 | 0 |
| LOUDON | 280,703,442 | 20,824,700 | 49,499,300 | 65,958 | 0 |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LYMAN | 37,239,300 | 1,400,800 | 634,100 | 0 | 0 |
| LYME | 185,711,800 | 1,390,400 | 10,692,600 | 61,600 | 0 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 94,610,200 | 1,511,500 | 2,293,000 | 53,900 | 0 |
| MADBURY | 123,078,500 | 2,736,700 | 3,779,100 | 0 | 0 |
| MADISON | 236,371,600 | 2,355,200 | 14,168,700 | 0 | 0 |
| MANCHESTER | 4,316,164,102 | 2,565,300 | 2,668,508,698 | 0 | 0 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 121,021,986 | 1,236,940 | 18,324,250 | 35,814 | 0 |
| MARLOW | 33,816,580 | 896,730 | 1,940,170 | 0 | 0 |
| MARTIN'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MASON | 105,766,200 | 677,000 | 390,700 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 3 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | GROSS VALUATION | EDUCATIONAL SPECIAL EXEMTPIONS | MODIFIED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION |
|  | PUBLIC <br> WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| HALE'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 137,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,985,100 | 0 | 73,985,100 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 2,865,000 | 0 | 8,538,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,006,022,030 | 0 | 1,006,022,030 |
| HAMPTON | 9,714,200 | 10,448,835 | 72,011,962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,085,510,497 | 0 | 3,085,510,497 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 0 | 37,400 | 9,119,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432,529,700 | 0 | 432,529,700 |
| HANCOCK | 0 | 0 | 5,040,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274,326,950 | 0 | 274,326,950 |
| HANOVER | 16,499,400 | 0 | 12,162,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,966,307,200 | 150,000 | 1,966,157,200 |
| HARRISVILLE | 0 | 0 | 2,103,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213,157,742 | 0 | 213,157,742 |
| HART'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 218,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,479,942 | 0 | 15,479,942 |
| HAVERHILL | 0 | 0 | 23,736,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396,617,163 | 0 | 396,617,163 |
| HEBRON | 0 | 0 | 4,807,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282,180,590 | 0 | 282,180,590 |
| HENNIKER | 0 | 0 | 9,294,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386,163,299 | 227,570 | 385,935,729 |
| HILL | 0 | 0 | 9,118,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106,587,650 | 0 | 106,587,650 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 0 | 0 | 28,535,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 606,321,466 | 0 | 606,321,466 |
| HINSDALE | 0 | 0 | 99,688,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 349,838,366 | 0 | 349,838,366 |
| HOLDERNESS | 0 | 0 | 3,361,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 684,021,957 | 600,000 | 683,421,957 |
| HOLLIS | 382,500 | 104,700 | 5,742,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,248,093,850 | 440,600 | 1,247,653,250 |
| HOOKSETT | 289,200 | 15,364,100 | 42,285,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,651,796,834 | 150,000 | 1,651,646,834 |
| HOPKINTON | 0 | 0 | 22,651,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 647,422,769 | 5,182 | 647,417,587 |
| HUDSON | 0 | 17,734,700 | 101,189,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,946,315,068 | 916,300 | 2,945,398,768 |
| JACKSON | 0 | 0 | 1,313,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383,973,468 | 0 | 383,973,468 |
| JAFFREY | 0 | 0 | 4,705,190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451,958,880 | 0 | 451,958,880 |
| JEFFERSON | 0 | 0 | 1,597,800 | 3,142,200 | 0 | 0 | 138,083,701 | 0 | 138,083,701 |
| KEENE | 0 | 1,121,000 | 49,702,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,908,045,600 | 93,500 | 1,907,952,100 |
| KENSINGTON | 0 | 1,177,800 | 10,091,349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346,805,329 | 0 | 346,805,329 |
| KILKENNY | 0 | 0 | 11,629 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,629 | 0 | 11,629 |
| KINGSTON | 98,500 | 0 | 11,159,280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 711,631,548 | 334,600 | 711,296,948 |
| LACONIA | 128,200 | 7,281,300 | 15,014,700 | 0 | 0 | 2,172 | 1,925,929,832 | 0 | 1,925,929,832 |
| LANCASTER | 0 | 0 | 7,268,000 | 6,700,000 | 0 | 0 | 286,091,690 | 0 | 286,091,690 |
| LANDAFF | 0 | 0 | 562,787 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41,691,368 | 0 | 41,691,368 |
| LANGDON | 0 | 0 | 779,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68,828,866 | 0 | 68,828,866 |
| LEBANON | 0 | 0 | 90,482,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,842,611,420 | 562,500 | 1,842,048,920 |
| LEE | 73,100 | 0 | 4,232,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 506,355,691 | 246,700 | 506,108,991 |
| LEMPSTER | 0 | 0 | 50,838,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167,283,781 | 0 | 167,283,781 |
| LINCOLN | 0 | 0 | 11,880,780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 863,575,614 | 0 | 863,575,614 |
| LISBON | 0 | 0 | 2,480,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111,972,132 | 0 | 111,972,132 |
| LITCHFIELD | 9,051,900 | 465,600 | 24,790,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 805,855,906 | 58,700 | 805,797,206 |
| LITTLETON | 0 | 0 | 217,042,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750,393,400 | 0 | 750,393,400 |
| LIVERMORE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145,080 | 0 | 145,080 |
| LONDONDERRY | 6,798,000 | 36,400,000 | 438,759,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,341,712,944 | 0 | 3,341,712,944 |
| LOUDON | 0 | 2,955,100 | 8,508,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 568,118,012 | 43,800 | 568,074,212 |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LYMAN | 0 | 0 | 972,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59,421,383 | 0 | 59,421,383 |
| LYME | 0 | 0 | 3,582,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 324,408,900 | 0 | 324,408,900 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 0 | 0 | 1,772,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165,564,838 | 3,500 | 165,561,338 |
| MADBURY | 0 | 292,500 | 11,235,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232,379,799 | 370,000 | 232,009,799 |
| MADISON | 0 | 0 | 9,612,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 456,346,887 | 0 | 456,346,887 |
| MANCHESTER | 794,000 | 62,484,900 | 122,502,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,092,291,800 | 0 | 10,092,291,800 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 0 | 0 | 3,494,410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210,043,540 | 564,660 | 209,478,880 |
| MARLOW | 0 | 0 | 796,787 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63,517,127 | 0 | 63,517,127 |
| MARTIN'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 36,089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,089 | 0 | 36,089 |
| MASON | 0 | 0 | 1,760,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172,600,245 | 0 | 172,600,245 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 4 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET VALUATION } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { PROPERTY } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LESS } \\ & \text { VETERANS } \\ & \text { CREDITS } \end{aligned}$ | NET TAX COMMITMENT | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2010 \\ \text { RESIDENTS } \\ \text { TAX } \end{array}$ |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { OPTIONAL } \\ & \text { EXEMPTIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HALE'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,985,100 | 224,551 | 13,990 | 210,561 | 3.04 | 0 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 172,800 | 12,820,500 | 276,200 | 992,752,530 | 21,316,698 | 252,500 | 21,064,198 | 21.50 | 0 |
| HAMPTON | 125,000 | 28,524,500 | 1,777,500 | 3,055,083,497 | 49,011,845 | 518,985 | 48,492,860 | 16.11 | 0 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 30,000 | 3,260,000 | 135,000 | 429,104,700 | 8,247,604 | 61,300 | 8,186,304 | 19.27 | 0 |
| HANCOCK | 15,000 | 245,000 | 0 | 274,066,950 | 4,730,319 | 34,800 | 4,695,519 | 17.30 | 0 |
| HANOVER | 60,000 | 1,310,000 | 165,000 | 1,964,622,200 | 31,997,284 | 8,650 | 31,988,634 | 16.32 | 0 |
| HARRISVILLE | 0 | 170,000 | 0 | 212,987,742 | 3,030,195 | 6,200 | 3,023,995 | 14.25 | 0 |
| HART'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,479,942 | 111,897 | 100 | 111,797 | 7.26 | 150 |
| HAVERHILL | 45,000 | 1,044,300 | 0 | 395,527,863 | 7,811,823 | 139,000 | 7,672,823 | 19.88 | 28,210 |
| HEBRON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282,180,590 | 2,130,982 | 5,900 | 2,125,082 | 7.59 | 0 |
| HENNIKER | 150,000 | 3,809,079 | 1,689,793 | 380,286,857 | 11,317,941 | 46,600 | 11,271,341 | 29.82 | 0 |
| HILL | 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 106,377,650 | 1,917,350 | 21,000 | 1,896,350 | 18.24 | 0 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 90,000 | 1,452,400 | 626,955 | 604,152,111 | 12,470,191 | 178,000 | 12,292,191 | 20.74 | 0 |
| HINSDALE | 0 | 1,325,300 | 120,000 | 348,393,066 | 8,085,378 | 44,825 | 8,040,553 | 23.84 | 0 |
| HOLDERNESS | 45,000 | 194,200 | 71,852 | 683,110,905 | 8,947,451 | 59,750 | 8,887,701 | 13.11 | 0 |
| HOLLIS | 240,000 | 5,993,500 | 128,540 | 1,241,291,210 | 26,635,757 | 192,000 | 26,443,757 | 21.47 | 0 |
| HOOKSETT | 550,000 | 25,867,100 | 0 | 1,625,229,734 | 35,098,825 | 194,750 | 34,904,075 | 21.68 | 0 |
| HOPKINTON | 240,000 | 3,465,900 | 80,300 | 643,631,387 | 17,137,125 | 179,500 | 16,957,625 | 26.72 | 0 |
| HUDSON | 1,995,000 | 26,938,250 | 4,847,430 | 2,911,618,088 | 46,644,535 | 596,330 | 46,048,205 | 16.11 | 0 |
| JACKSON | 25,000 | 115,500 | 0 | 383,832,968 | 3,835,480 | 27,250 | 3,808,230 | 10.00 | 0 |
| JAFFREY | 30,000 | 1,523,378 | 462,643 | 449,942,859 | 12,113,820 | 90,000 | 12,023,820 | 26.95 | 0 |
| JEFFERSON | 0 | 45,000 | 0 | 138,038,701 | 2,165,062 | 2,350 | 2,162,712 | 15.76 | 0 |
| KEENE | 264,000 | 4,445,000 | 875,400 | 1,902,367,700 | 57,279,063 | 267,050 | 57,012,013 | 30.17 | 0 |
| KENSINGTON | 0 | 3,560,000 | 0 | 343,245,329 | 6,452,247 | 43,800 | 6,408,447 | 18.87 | 0 |
| KILKENNY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,629 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| KINGSTON | 120,000 | 2,840,000 | 1,695,000 | 706,641,948 | 14,376,821 | 78,200 | 14,298,621 | 20.38 | 0 |
| LACONIA | 400,000 | 6,255,000 | 0 | 1,919,274,832 | 37,964,998 | 479,500 | 37,485,498 | 19.81 | 0 |
| LANCASTER | 15,000 | 552,620 | 6,290 | 285,517,780 | 5,257,340 | 26,400 | 5,230,940 | 18.52 | 0 |
| LANDAFF | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 41,676,368 | 837,127 | 1,300 | 835,827 | 20.12 | 0 |
| LANGDON | 0 | 300,000 | 0 | 68,528,866 | 1,499,114 | 27,000 | 1,472,114 | 21.90 | 0 |
| LEBANON | 137,900 | 14,238,500 | 0 | 1,827,672,520 | 42,466,234 | 72,900 | 42,393,334 | 23.35 | 0 |
| LEE | 15,000 | 11,471,162 | 0 | 494,622,829 | 12,564,227 | 68,800 | 12,495,427 | 25.42 | 0 |
| LEMPSTER | 15,000 | 414,400 | 0 | 166,854,381 | 2,962,044 | 22,200 | 2,939,844 | 18.45 | 0 |
| LINCOLN | 15,000 | 4,400,410 | 0 | 859,160,204 | 7,876,354 | 47,000 | 7,829,354 | 9.20 | 0 |
| LISBON | 30,000 | 647,100 | 0 | 111,295,032 | 3,177,751 | 20,800 | 3,156,951 | 28.61 | 0 |
| LITCHFIELD | 60,000 | 2,818,600 | 0 | 802,918,606 | 15,335,413 | 103,825 | 15,231,588 | 19.20 | 0 |
| LITTLETON | 150,000 | 4,161,233 | 0 | 746,082,167 | 16,499,131 | 177,500 | 16,321,631 | 22.83 | 0 |
| LIVERMORE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145,080 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| LONDONDERRY | 224,700 | 21,363,050 | 2,315,150 | 3,317,810,044 | 66,313,659 | 492,500 | 65,821,159 | 20.33 | 0 |
| LOUDON | 15,000 | 318,750 | 140,000 | 567,600,462 | 10,813,845 | 162,400 | 10,651,445 | 19.16 | 0 |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| LYMAN | 0 | 120,000 | 0 | 59,301,383 | 1,124,538 | 11,900 | 1,112,638 | 19.00 | 0 |
| LYME | 67,500 | 2,761,800 | 405,000 | 321,174,600 | 6,148,498 | 37,500 | 6,110,998 | 19.17 | 0 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 15,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 165,506,338 | 3,521,084 | 16,400 | 3,504,684 | 21.30 | 0 |
| MADBURY | 15,000 | 1,534,200 | 0 | 230,460,599 | 5,502,466 | 38,000 | 5,464,466 | 23.99 | 0 |
| MADISON | 0 | 449,000 | 0 | 455,897,887 | 6,351,151 | 83,165 | 6,267,986 | 13.98 | 0 |
| MANCHESTER | 9,910,650 | 189,356,400 | 85,763,125 | 9,807,261,625 | 174,266,042 | 1,470,395 | 172,795,647 | 17.81 | 0 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 30,000 | 210,000 | 0 | 209,238,880 | 4,840,831 | 64,100 | 4,776,731 | 23.17 | 0 |
| MARLOW | 15,000 | 428,440 | 33,313 | 63,040,374 | 1,413,736 | 16,400 | 1,397,336 | 22.46 | 0 |
| MARTIN'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| MASON | 0 | 820,000 | 15,000 | 171,765,245 | 3,713,145 | 36,000 | 3,677,145 | 21.64 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 10 OF 4$)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { CURRENT } \\ \text { USE } \end{gathered}$ | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F <br> FARM LAND | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| MEREDITH | 1,066,873 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 896,242,339 | 58,196,061 |
| MERRIMACK | 444,354 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 1,105,783,660 | 193,859,260 |
| MIDDLETON | 494,847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74,804,400 | 1,184,400 |
| MILAN | 1,749,709 | 7,456 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,666,500 | 1,034,100 |
| MILFORD | 769,427 | 0 | 0 | 6,500 | 12,600 | 420,341,900 | 87,510,500 |
| MILLSFIELD | 889,666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,377,950 | 208,000 |
| MILTON | 1,252,547 | 4,590 | 0 | 900 | 0 | 128,178,700 | 6,243,900 |
| MONROE | 888,720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,466,200 | 570,600 |
| MONT VERNON | 651,570 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 92,769,880 | 1,433,890 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 750,857 | 66,118 | 252,600 | 6,400 | 0 | 1,735,886,700 | 38,090,100 |
| NASHUA | 122,666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,404,102,200 | 807,729,980 |
| NELSON | 841,727 | 53,955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,535,851 | 0 |
| NEW BOSTON | 1,992,309 | 0 | 0 | 2,700 | 0 | 242,422,400 | 9,485,100 |
| NEW CASTLE | 182 | 11,962 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 441,472,400 | 17,877,100 |
| NEW DURHAM | 1,200,684 | 9,516 | 0 | 1,800 | 0 | 216,907,730 | 2,616,070 |
| NEW HAMPTON | 1,399,981 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115,907,800 | 13,578,350 |
| NEW IPSWICH | 1,502,684 | 176,134 | 2,453 | 0 | 0 | 142,185,000 | 7,549,200 |
| NEW LONDON | 833,894 | 2,936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450,050,055 | 24,951,746 |
| NEWBURY | 822,869 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,690 | 354,928,460 | 9,280,540 |
| NEWFIELDS | 199,570 | 62,302 | 7,647 | 0 | 0 | 118,866,100 | 10,663,800 |
| NEWINGTON | 113,806 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72,163,660 | 93,421,533 |
| NEWMARKET | 544,537 | 1,033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173,862,600 | 41,127,700 |
| NEWPORT | 2,070,736 | 0 | 152,300 | 0 | 0 | 129,663,900 | 31,371,183 |
| NEWTON | 266,113 | 12,195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152,955,600 | 10,234,700 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 251,300 | 5,700 | 19,800 | 1,500 | 0 | 362,363,600 | 58,891,500 |
| NORTHFIELD | 1,336,114 | 4,032 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110,830,181 | 8,628,600 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 1,272,242 | 11,678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23,227,900 | 3,691,500 |
| NORTHWOOD | 1,039,749 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231,986,200 | 19,357,500 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 1,428,498 | 194,951 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208,288,900 | 2,610,500 |
| ODELL | 393,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52,500 | 0 |
| ORANGE | 869,275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,257,700 | 199,000 |
| ORFORD | 1,909,416 | 31,416 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,095,200 | 3,161,400 |
| OSSIPEE | 1,961,202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287,191,700 | 36,256,400 |
| PELHAM | 548,353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557,767,838 | 40,030,820 |
| PEMBROKE | 1,190,263 | 1,346 | 66,394 | 0 | 0 | 160,118,800 | 26,242,500 |
| PETERBOROUGH | 1,396,689 | 15,741 | 10,160 | 30,855 | 0 | 141,391,470 | 29,507,710 |
| PIERMONT | 1,483,332 | 241,328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,384,310 | 1,548,260 |
| PINKHAM'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158,000 |
| PITTSBURG | 5,250,564 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119,291,900 | 7,883,100 |
| PITTSFIELD | 1,302,653 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,414,480 | 6,400,500 |
| PLAINFIELD | 2,821,438 | 269,004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84,200,400 | 2,938,100 |
| PLAISTOW | 77,834 | 2,322 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231,829,490 | 104,718,310 |
| PLYMOUTH | 1,445,814 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 85,891,185 | 37,861,645 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 92,300 | 13,100 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 869,210,500 | 423,154,400 |
| RANDOLPH | 167,982 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,084,600 | 359,600 |
| RAYMOND | 571,156 | 0 | 1,410 | 0 | 0 | 339,978,020 | 42,222,180 |
| RICHMOND | 1,497,030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,343,020 | 128,710 |
| RINDGE | 1,748,583 | 1,366 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200,714,929 | 18,361,700 |
| ROCHESTER | 1,376,840 | 0 | 0 | 10,175 | 0 | 448,702,061 | 179,682,264 |
| ROLLINSFORD | 424,363 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80,606,940 | 9,632,800 |
| ROXBURY | 469,726 | 24,384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,091,300 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 2 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM STRUCTURES |
| MEREDITH | 761,077,121 | 11,540,600 | 104,029,179 | 0 | 0 |
| MERRIMACK | 1,500,817,710 | 11,112,400 | 422,641,770 | 5,300 | 0 |
| MIDDLETON | 92,444,916 | 7,675,400 | 3,541,700 | 10,584 | 0 |
| MILAN | 56,741,700 | 4,765,500 | 4,029,200 | 0 | 0 |
| MILFORD | 848,537,100 | 17,761,000 | 202,053,900 | 28,400 | 252,400 |
| MILLSFIELD | 2,693,840 | 0 | 234,560 | 0 | 0 |
| MILTON | 213,535,815 | 15,219,200 | 21,172,300 | 4,685 | 0 |
| MONROE | 58,475,600 | 2,124,000 | 4,070,100 | 0 | 0 |
| MONT VERNON | 154,193,530 | 2,147,870 | 560,930 | 0 | 0 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 958,423,200 | 16,903,600 | 50,096,800 | 42,900 | 0 |
| NASHUA | 4,262,088,200 | 50,632,300 | 1,829,605,461 | 0 | 0 |
| NELSON | 57,088,094 | 325,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW BOSTON | 387,804,872 | 2,369,600 | 12,978,900 | 44,870 | 0 |
| NEW CASTLE | 193,929,400 | 0 | 53,180,100 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW DURHAM | 181,505,860 | 4,381,500 | 8,554,740 | 4,700 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPTON | 143,653,000 | 4,355,800 | 32,858,950 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW IPSWICH | 234,098,500 | 6,668,600 | 15,599,000 | 0 | 0 |
| NEW LONDON | 539,281,870 | 0 | 61,441,330 | 0 | 0 |
| NEWBURY | 312,490,860 | 412,400 | 22,590,640 | 0 | 58,600 |
| NEWFIELDS | 111,473,600 | 228,600 | 15,964,200 | 0 | 0 |
| NEWINGTON | 82,553,300 | 0 | 259,958,400 | 0 | 0 |
| NEWMARKET | 438,517,800 | 9,649,500 | 81,600,400 | 0 | 0 |
| NEWPORT | 221,469,200 | 13,994,000 | 89,918,200 | 0 | 0 |
| NEWTON | 259,764,100 | 2,346,700 | 20,749,100 | 1,000 | 0 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 475,442,900 | 24,613,000 | 84,422,300 | 37,000 | 0 |
| NORTHFIELD | 191,612,300 | 11,193,500 | 27,567,500 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 61,082,400 | 4,618,200 | 16,702,600 | 0 | 0 |
| NORTHWOOD | 184,232,025 | 11,797,800 | 22,529,300 | 29,375 | 0 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 306,238,000 | 3,334,300 | 6,629,300 | 0 | 0 |
| ODELL | 1,618,820 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ORANGE | 17,590,700 | 1,155,800 | 325,700 | 0 | 0 |
| ORFORD | 82,420,097 | 2,286,800 | 6,799,300 | 39,503 | 0 |
| OSSIPEE | 291,947,200 | 14,296,602 | 61,645,700 | 0 | 0 |
| PELHAM | 750,988,560 | 362,100 | 50,794,298 | 0 | 0 |
| PEMBROKE | 324,245,600 | 1,996,100 | 73,260,900 | 0 | 0 |
| PETERBOROUGH | 396,140,130 | 502,800 | 114,940,015 | 162,691 | 0 |
| PIERMONT | 51,122,680 | 944,910 | 4,639,990 | 0 | 0 |
| PINKHAM'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 2,603,020 | 0 | 0 |
| PITTSBURG | 123,030,000 | 4,316,100 | 12,220,200 | 0 | 0 |
| PITTSFIELD | 140,338,500 | 6,110,500 | 26,839,100 | 6,000 | 0 |
| PLAINFIELD | 176,905,789 | 4,268,300 | 8,911,200 | 51,511 | 0 |
| PLAISTOW | 329,980,150 | 319,000 | 151,774,440 | 0 | 0 |
| PLYMOUTH | 200,472,075 | 12,654,000 | 94,437,060 | 17,200 | 0 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 1,375,773,900 | 13,293,200 | 1,091,311,700 | 0 | 0 |
| RANDOLPH | 41,048,500 | 596,800 | 1,437,300 | 0 | 0 |
| RAYMOND | 493,456,910 | 43,825,700 | 90,935,290 | 25,400 | 0 |
| RICHMOND | 65,568,570 | 1,188,870 | 195,860 | 0 | 0 |
| RINDGE | 290,796,286 | 4,375,700 | 34,762,328 | 0 | 0 |
| ROCHESTER | 971,138,718 | 122,951,900 | 262,383,682 | 17,500 | 0 |
| ROLLINSFORD | 146,616,000 | 1,009,500 | 22,067,000 | 0 | 0 |
| ROXBURY | 16,251,200 | 552,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 3 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | GROSS VALUATION | EDUCATIONAL SPECIAL EXEMTPIONS | MODIFIED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION |
|  | PUBLIC WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| MEREDITH | 0 | 0 | 5,393,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,837,545,273 | 0 | 1,837,545,273 |
| MERRIMACK | 2,632,200 | 7,361,700 | 23,167,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,267,825,954 | 727,000 | 3,267,098,954 |
| MIDDLETON | 209,700 | 0 | 2,714,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183,080,247 | 0 | 183,080,247 |
| MILAN | 0 | 15,701,500 | 3,180,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122,876,065 | 0 | 122,876,065 |
| MILFORD | 2,294,700 | 3,332,600 | 12,600,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,595,501,427 | 744,456 | 1,594,756,971 |
| MILLSFIELD | 0 | 0 | 37,320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,441,336 | 0 | 6,441,336 |
| MILTON | 0 | 0 | 5,663,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391,275,937 | 0 | 391,275,937 |
| MONROE | 0 | 0 | 267,162,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357,757,220 | 0 | 357,757,220 |
| MONT VERNON | 0 | 0 | 1,509,916 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253,267,936 | 0 | 253,267,936 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 792,200 | 0 | 6,286,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,807,597,675 | 18,761 | 2,807,578,914 |
| NASHUA | 100,448,800 | 48,837,900 | 84,669,780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,588,237,287 | 882,998 | 8,587,354,289 |
| NELSON | 0 | 0 | 1,249,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121,094,027 | 0 | 121,094,027 |
| NEW BOSTON | 0 | 0 | 10,096,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 667,197,651 | 84,762 | 667,112,889 |
| NEW CASTLE | 0 | 0 | 1,283,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 707,754,631 | 0 | 707,754,631 |
| NEW DURHAM | 0 | 0 | 2,376,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 417,559,400 | 0 | 417,559,400 |
| NEW HAMPTON | 0 | 0 | 23,138,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 334,892,967 | 150,000 | 334,742,967 |
| NEW IPSWICH | 0 | 0 | 8,085,370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415,866,941 | 0 | 415,866,941 |
| NEW LONDON | 0 | 0 | 4,731,851 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,081,293,682 | 362,900 | 1,080,930,782 |
| NEWBURY | 0 | 0 | 5,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 705,586,059 | 0 | 705,586,059 |
| NEWFIELDS | 0 | 0 | 1,380,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258,846,519 | 20,000 | 258,826,519 |
| NEWINGTON | 0 | 20,625,100 | 444,229,005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 973,064,804 | 40,901,173 | 932,163,631 |
| NEWMARKET | 101,600 | 0 | 4,986,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750,391,870 | 0 | 750,391,870 |
| NEWPORT | 0 | 0 | 11,393,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500,033,019 | 0 | 500,033,019 |
| NEWTON | 143,000 | 9,625,500 | 3,865,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 459,963,008 | 373,452 | 459,589,556 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 8,298,000 | 249,600 | 4,805,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,019,401,500 | 0 | 1,019,401,500 |
| NORTHFIELD | 0 | 1,146,300 | 5,025,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357,344,027 | 559,100 | 356,784,927 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 0 | 13,202,000 | 11,052,280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,860,800 | 1,885,000 | 132,975,800 |
| NORTHWOOD | 0 | 0 | 3,756,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 474,728,249 | 19,405 | 474,708,844 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 87,100 | 0 | 4,105,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 532,916,849 | 20,000 | 532,896,849 |
| ODELL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,064,360 | 0 | 2,064,360 |
| ORANGE | 0 | 0 | 752,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,150,175 | 0 | 29,150,175 |
| ORFORD | 0 | 0 | 3,059,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146,802,932 | 0 | 146,802,932 |
| OSSIPEE | 48,700 | 0 | 14,843,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 708,191,204 | 0 | 708,191,204 |
| PELHAM | 4,749,100 | 16,876,300 | 16,977,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,439,094,369 | 260,400 | 1,438,833,969 |
| PEMBROKE | 0 | 8,138,100 | 14,955,500 | 0 | 95,000 | 0 | 610,310,503 | 7,500 | 610,303,003 |
| PETERBOROUGH | 0 | 0 | 7,578,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 691,676,861 | 43,000 | 691,633,861 |
| PIERMONT | 0 | 0 | 1,017,272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93,382,082 | 0 | 93,382,082 |
| PINKHAM'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 114,512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,875,532 | 0 | 2,875,532 |
| PITTSBURG | 0 | 2,418,700 | 7,643,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282,054,264 | 0 | 282,054,264 |
| PITTSFIELD | 2,233,800 | 0 | 2,864,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264,509,633 | 0 | 264,509,633 |
| PLAINFIELD | 0 | 0 | 7,140,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287,505,842 | 150,000 | 287,355,842 |
| PLAISTOW | 502,600 | 15,692,690 | 7,072,440 | 0 | 6,132 | 0 | 841,975,408 | 0 | 841,975,408 |
| PLYMOUTH | 0 | 0 | 11,419,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 444,198,879 | 0 | 444,198,879 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 0 | 19,577,900 | 162,211,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,954,638,800 | 27,382,800 | 3,927,256,000 |
| RANDOLPH | 0 | 0 | 1,277,700 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 67,472,482 | 0 | 67,472,482 |
| RAYMOND | 2,198,300 | 0 | 18,648,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,031,862,766 | 271,500 | 1,031,591,266 |
| RICHMOND | 0 | 0 | 3,742,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103,664,560 | 0 | 103,664,560 |
| RINDGE | 0 | 0 | 6,401,876 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557,162,768 | 4,615,361 | 552,547,407 |
| ROCHESTER | 0 | 7,395,800 | 40,731,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,034,390,740 | 0 | 2,034,390,740 |
| ROLLINSFORD | 0 | 66,500 | 2,908,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263,331,403 | 364,900 | 262,966,503 |
| ROXBURY | 0 | 0 | 572,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,961,810 | 0 | 24,961,810 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 4 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET VALUATION } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { PROPERTY } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LESS } \\ & \text { VETERANS } \\ & \text { CREDITS } \end{aligned}$ | NET TAX COMMITMENT | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { RESIDENTS } \\ \text { TAX } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { OPTIONAL } \\ & \text { EXEMPTIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEREDITH | 109,200 | 1,999,400 | 760,861 | 1,834,675,812 | 23,471,338 | 228,750 | 23,242,588 | 12.80 | 0 |
| MERRIMACK | 120,000 | 35,907,500 | 3,566,600 | 3,227,504,854 | 62,963,531 | 701,000 | 62,262,531 | 19.53 | 0 |
| MIDDLETON | 15,000 | 220,000 | 0 | 182,845,247 | 3,087,426 | 49,600 | 3,037,826 | 16.92 | 0 |
| MILAN | 45,000 | 1,090,000 | 0 | 121,741,065 | 1,852,627 | 10,300 | 1,842,327 | 15.59 | 0 |
| MILFORD | 75,000 | 6,452,000 | 26,400 | 1,588,203,571 | 30,677,761 | 170,400 | 30,507,361 | 19.34 | 0 |
| MILLSFIELD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,441,336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| MILTON | 30,000 | 3,301,717 | 0 | 387,944,220 | 8,741,630 | 149,150 | 8,592,480 | 22.57 | 0 |
| MONROE | 0 | 90,000 | 0 | 357,667,220 | 3,012,142 | 7,900 | 3,004,242 | 10.05 | 0 |
| MONT VERNON | 45,000 | 1,008,920 | 17,750 | 252,196,266 | 6,424,799 | 55,500 | 6,369,299 | 25.49 | 0 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 150,000 | 1,700,000 | 100,000 | 2,805,628,914 | 22,765,284 | 182,050 | 22,583,234 | 8.12 | 0 |
| NASHUA | 3,728,900 | 131,338,750 | 10,208,500 | 8,442,078,139 | 171,661,579 | 1,712,500 | 169,949,079 | 20.40 | 0 |
| NELSON | 0 | 299,150 | 0 | 120,794,877 | 2,305,666 | 8,900 | 2,296,766 | 19.11 | 0 |
| NEW BOSTON | 66,000 | 2,935,900 | 207,050 | 663,903,939 | 11,432,554 | 111,000 | 11,321,554 | 17.25 | 0 |
| NEW CASTLE | 50,000 | 750,000 | 0 | 706,954,631 | 4,097,527 | 38,500 | 4,059,027 | 5.80 | 0 |
| NEW DURHAM | 15,000 | 1,457,900 | 80,000 | 416,006,500 | 8,809,545 | 88,000 | 8,721,545 | 21.19 | 0 |
| NEW HAMPTON | 30,000 | 1,049,450 | 0 | 333,663,517 | 5,195,674 | 32,200 | 5,163,474 | 15.72 | 0 |
| NEW IPSWICH | 45,000 | 2,302,300 | 0 | 413,519,641 | 7,383,323 | 115,000 | 7,268,323 | 17.90 | 0 |
| NEW LONDON | 45,000 | 915,000 | 500,000 | 1,079,470,782 | 16,050,790 | 187,500 | 15,863,290 | 14.88 | 0 |
| NEWBURY | 0 | 90,000 | 60,000 | 705,436,059 | 9,758,789 | 65,750 | 9,693,039 | 13.85 | 0 |
| NEWFIELDS | 0 | 3,199,800 | 80,000 | 255,546,719 | 5,667,517 | 38,500 | 5,629,017 | 22.19 | 0 |
| NEWINGTON | 0 | 4,532,900 | 0 | 927,630,731 | 7,388,086 | 26,500 | 7,361,586 | 9.12 | 0 |
| NEWMARKET | 140,000 | 6,409,900 | 707,700 | 743,134,270 | 16,337,301 | 179,500 | 16,157,801 | 22.00 | 0 |
| NEWPORT | 165,000 | 1,783,700 | 0 | 498,084,319 | 11,939,261 | 169,260 | 11,770,001 | 24.02 | 0 |
| NEWTON | 90,000 | 975,300 | 374,900 | 458,149,356 | 10,891,469 | 83,800 | 10,807,669 | 23.84 | 0 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 0 | 12,972,900 | 105,000 | 1,006,323,600 | 15,043,615 | 178,250 | 14,865,365 | 14.98 | 0 |
| NORTHFIELD | 0 | 4,920,900 | 644,900 | 351,219,127 | 6,940,252 | 117,450 | 6,822,802 | 19.80 | 0 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 105,000 | 336,800 | 0 | 132,534,000 | 3,301,413 | 17,300 | 3,284,113 | 25.34 | 0 |
| NORTHWOOD | 45,000 | 4,488,000 | 442,331 | 469,733,513 | 11,527,866 | 63,700 | 11,464,166 | 24.56 | 0 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 99,450 | 4,982,875 | 690,900 | 527,123,624 | 10,489,822 | 130,100 | 10,359,722 | 19.92 | 0 |
| ODELL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,064,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| ORANGE | 0 | 125,000 | 0 | 29,025,175 | 564,700 | 4,600 | 560,100 | 19.52 | 0 |
| ORFORD | 0 | 35,000 | 5,000 | 146,762,932 | 3,686,281 | 35,500 | 3,650,781 | 25.17 | 0 |
| OSSIPEE | 30,000 | 3,950,000 | 0 | 704,211,204 | 10,520,832 | 172,500 | 10,348,332 | 14.99 | 0 |
| PELHAM | 120,000 | 10,650,300 | 119,300 | 1,427,944,369 | 27,792,020 | 235,750 | 27,556,270 | 19.53 | 0 |
| PEMBROKE | 210,000 | 649,300 | 525,000 | 608,918,703 | 15,680,189 | 182,250 | 15,497,939 | 25.84 | 0 |
| PETERBOROUGH | 30,000 | 6,480,000 | 0 | 685,123,861 | 16,615,482 | 160,800 | 16,454,682 | 24.28 | 0 |
| PIERMONT | 0 | 380,000 | 6,950 | 92,995,132 | 2,013,541 | 22,200 | 1,991,341 | 21.68 | 3,060 |
| PINKHAM'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,875,532 | 22,429 | 0 | 22,429 | 7.89 | 0 |
| PITTSBURG | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 281,979,264 | 3,741,581 | 12,500 | 3,729,081 | 13.35 | 0 |
| PITTSFIELD | 15,000 | 2,344,700 | 0 | 262,149,933 | 8,024,007 | 72,300 | 7,951,707 | 30.66 | 0 |
| PLAINFIELD | 0 | 1,315,100 | 7,424,398 | 278,616,344 | 6,600,574 | 20,050 | 6,580,524 | 23.75 | 0 |
| PLAISTOW | 75,000 | 10,850,136 | 2,608,526 | 828,441,746 | 20,418,350 | 144,100 | 20,274,250 | 24.72 | 0 |
| PLYMOUTH | 200,100 | 1,146,600 | 0 | 442,852,179 | 8,871,549 | 91,750 | 8,779,799 | 20.09 | 0 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 309,200 | 22,810,000 | 1,105,000 | 3,903,031,800 | 67,510,035 | 600,500 | 66,909,535 | 17.41 | 0 |
| RANDOLPH | 30,000 | 50,000 | 16,500 | 67,375,982 | 975,574 | 2,800 | 972,774 | 14.59 | 0 |
| RAYMOND | 300,000 | 11,479,200 | 3,182,200 | 1,016,629,866 | 18,398,930 | 306,250 | 18,092,680 | 18.14 | 0 |
| RICHMOND | 0 | 160,000 | 0 | 103,504,560 | 2,554,015 | 13,500 | 2,540,515 | 24.76 | 0 |
| RINDGE | 0 | 8,561,416 | 1,986,350 | 541,999,641 | 12,732,852 | 179,500 | 12,553,352 | 23.52 | 0 |
| ROCHESTER | 1,079,400 | 24,866,100 | 2,548,400 | 2,005,896,840 | 47,793,819 | 496,928 | 47,296,891 | 23.89 | 0 |
| ROLLINSFORD | 15,000 | 3,392,800 | 0 | 259,558,703 | 5,321,869 | 86,000 | 5,235,869 | 20.53 | 12,000 |
| ROXBURY | 0 | 50,000 | 0 | 24,911,810 | 574,251 | 1,400 | 572,851 | 23.11 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 1 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | CURRENT USE | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSA 79-F } \\ & \text { FARM LAND } \end{aligned}$ | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| RUMNEY | 1,098,870 | 8,226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68,657,600 | 6,279,000 |
| RYE | 230,500 | 114,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 922,435,200 | 34,975,000 |
| SALEM | 244,699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,516,623,475 | 537,969,725 |
| SALISBURY | 1,629,091 | 67,909 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58,607,700 | 328,500 |
| SANBORNTON | 2,044,509 | 0 | 37,813 | 0 | 292 | 210,573,900 | 4,466,400 |
| SANDOWN | 483,670 | 0 | 760 | 100 | 0 | 249,790,385 | 5,231,865 |
| SANDWICH | 1,813,477 | 220,710 | 0 | 2,100 | 0 | 234,970,239 | 412,500 |
| SARGENT'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 982,640 | 535,350 |
| SEABROOK | 20,850 | 6,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 582,997,700 | 183,222,300 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRANT | 785,152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396,360 | 0 |
| SHARON | 959,156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,552,400 | 273,200 |
| SHELBURNE | 710,180 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 16,118,600 | 1,829,000 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 114,395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177,732,149 | 65,767,551 |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 505,022 | 19,216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77,126,900 | 5,640,600 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 1,281,868 | 14,471 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66,776,600 | 4,114,400 |
| STARK | 681,522 | 42,078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,281,800 | 964,500 |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 2,345,410 | 16,875 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39,334,155 | 1,543,400 |
| STODDARD | 1,084,680 | 95,360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147,429,280 | 1,088,510 |
| STRAFFORD | 2,157,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 245,963,600 | 2,526,100 |
| STRATFORD | 1,887,291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,570,000 | 561,900 |
| STRATHAM | 479,417 | 15,946 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303,204,800 | 53,344,500 |
| SUCCESS | 908,116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,994,110 | 0 |
| SUGAR HILL | 633,598 | 78,880 | 252,800 | 10,000 | 0 | 62,348,200 | 2,577,500 |
| SULLIVAN | 937,608 | 19,379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,719,800 | 299,700 |
| SUNAPEE | 738,910 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 568,886,100 | 12,695,300 |
| SURRY | 586,408 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,208,600 | 744,800 |
| SUTTON | 1,674,907 | 71,947 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109,127,550 | 4,499,640 |
| SWANZEY | 2,594,894 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158,141,555 | 26,604,917 |
| TAMWORTH | 2,066,254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93,432,988 | 15,514,900 |
| TEMPLE | 1,190,392 | 3,255 | 0 | 100 | 440 | 46,164,900 | 1,815,600 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,391,790 | 1,964,480 |
| THORNTON | 958,901 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105,149,200 | 1,898,600 |
| TILTON | 395,011 | 0 | 409,174 | 0 | 0 | 78,512,100 | 82,816,800 |
| TROY | 583,042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,731,311 | 2,300,900 |
| TUFTONBORO | 1,183,172 | 32,493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 638,939,200 | 11,859,900 |
| UNITY | 1,376,670 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52,162,990 | 0 |
| WAKEFIELD | 1,164,349 | 27,411 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 468,040,400 | 7,503,300 |
| WALPOLE | 2,237,023 | 141,761 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 120,029,100 | 13,613,900 |
| WARNER | 2,146,470 | 0 | 0 | 560 | 0 | 82,666,090 | 9,050,250 |
| WARREN | 735,115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,498,400 | 646,400 |
| WASHINGTON | 1,422,079 | 77,109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131,103,200 | 836,200 |
| WATERVILLE VALLEY | 29,409 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58,026,500 | 6,532,100 |
| WEARE | 1,955,638 | 101,795 | 7,423 | 200 | 0 | 348,353,800 | 15,757,600 |
| WEBSTER | 1,382,971 | 80,460 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 92,523,400 | 1,646,000 |
| WENTWORTH | 1,471,162 | 9,314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,403,450 | 1,723,000 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 278,578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,236,210 | 0 |
| WESTMORELAND | 2,706,805 | 1,762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55,929,200 | 7,620,400 |
| WHITEFIELD | 1,471,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52,780,070 | 4,127,280 |
| WILMOT | 1,065,393 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,703,280 | 2,508,568 |
| WILTON | 1,608,173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169,079,384 | 18,280,600 |
| WINCHESTER | 1,848,138 | 1,896 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69,777,400 | 8,315,200 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 2 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM STRUCTURES |
| RUMNEY | 91,500,250 | 3,700,400 | 10,272,800 | 0 | 0 |
| RYE | 786,233,990 | 2,200,000 | 35,961,500 | 0 | 0 |
| SALEM | 1,836,607,135 | 40,063,200 | 706,382,565 | 24,400 | 0 |
| SALISBURY | 81,248,200 | 1,389,100 | 1,589,500 | 0 | 0 |
| SANBORNTON | 199,213,275 | 3,104,800 | 9,438,200 | 17,700 | 103,500 |
| SANDOWN | 331,492,500 | 3,207,300 | 5,083,690 | 10,000 | 0 |
| SANDWICH | 194,296,850 | 714,800 | 1,503,500 | 56,850 | 0 |
| SARGENT'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 334,730 | 0 | 0 |
| SEABROOK | 350,372,300 | 67,178,900 | 186,732,600 | 0 | 0 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRANT | 252,510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHARON | 32,466,700 | 0 | 287,000 | 0 | 0 |
| SHELBURNE | 26,326,621 | 566,800 | 9,139,500 | 10,779 | 0 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 428,391,884 | 17,287,000 | 139,299,996 | 0 | 0 |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 71,322,846 | 1,664,473 | 4,257,700 | 0 | 0 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 102,038,400 | 3,121,800 | 8,989,500 | 0 | 0 |
| STARK | 30,834,000 | 1,865,700 | 1,137,300 | 0 | 0 |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 45,500,700 | 4,237,300 | 4,168,000 | 0 | 0 |
| STODDARD | 121,807,610 | 876,520 | 2,592,920 | 0 | 0 |
| STRAFFORD | 239,244,200 | 4,733,800 | 2,853,200 | 29,500 | 0 |
| STRATFORD | 32,105,100 | 2,144,600 | 2,214,600 | 0 | 0 |
| STRATHAM | 711,437,288 | 3,152,700 | 111,469,700 | 32,312 | 0 |
| SUCCESS | 3,096,130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SUGAR HILL | 77,074,900 | 105,800 | 4,967,400 | 15,300 | 0 |
| SULLIVAN | 34,177,400 | 1,862,300 | 527,500 | 0 | 0 |
| SUNAPEE | 532,716,460 | 1,238,800 | 21,219,500 | 0 | 0 |
| SURRY | 53,082,300 | 635,700 | 1,020,200 | 0 | 0 |
| SUTTON | 141,555,490 | 127,560 | 6,826,590 | 0 | 0 |
| SWANZEY | 304,793,272 | 18,322,955 | 55,106,321 | 3,150 | 0 |
| TAMWORTH | 193,438,500 | 8,049,000 | 28,890,500 | 84,200 | 0 |
| TEMPLE | 94,393,194 | 710,500 | 4,830,450 | 22,004 | 61,899 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 1,093,810 | 0 | 0 |
| THORNTON | 244,872,100 | 4,921,100 | 4,682,500 | 0 | 0 |
| TILTON | 153,047,900 | 15,122,200 | 163,134,200 | 0 | 0 |
| TROY | 80,226,300 | 3,275,500 | 4,347,300 | 0 | 0 |
| TUFTONBORO | 338,174,200 | 10,279,700 | 12,983,000 | 0 | 0 |
| UNITY | 70,088,960 | 4,815,870 | 226,250 | 0 | 0 |
| WAKEFIELD | 368,274,000 | 11,509,100 | 20,382,300 | 0 | 0 |
| WALPOLE | 238,755,732 | 2,858,900 | 46,734,500 | 41,768 | 0 |
| WARNER | 158,786,170 | 1,860,520 | 20,663,350 | 6,445 | 0 |
| WARREN | 45,298,300 | 2,581,500 | 2,952,300 | 0 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 109,905,677 | 1,141,200 | 1,759,400 | 0 | 0 |
| WATERVILLE VALLEY | 271,916,600 | 0 | 23,577,400 | 0 | 0 |
| WEARE | 495,861,900 | 20,034,400 | 31,506,400 | 16,900 | 0 |
| WEBSTER | 115,898,278 | 2,852,000 | 2,105,600 | 18,522 | 0 |
| WENTWORTH | 56,913,200 | 2,011,500 | 5,113,500 | 0 | 0 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 3,648,160 | 18,810 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WESTMORELAND | 125,217,700 | 546,300 | 9,866,200 | 0 | 0 |
| WHITEFIELD | 103,457,580 | 4,450,280 | 22,709,470 | 0 | 0 |
| WILMOT | 109,850,700 | 401,280 | 6,322,800 | 0 | 0 |
| WILTON | 247,054,300 | 456,300 | 21,079,360 | 0 | 0 |
| WINCHESTER | 141,447,400 | 15,710,900 | 35,632,500 | 0 | 0 |

## TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 3 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | GROSS VALUATION | EDUCATIONAL SPECIAL EXEMTPIONS | MODIFIED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION |
|  | PUBLIC <br> WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| RUMNEY | 0 | 0 | 9,530,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191,047,846 | 0 | 191,047,846 |
| RYE | 2,366,000 | 0 | 4,980,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,789,497,690 | 0 | 1,789,497,690 |
| SALEM | 540,800 | 10,236,200 | 53,566,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,702,258,399 | 0 | 4,702,258,399 |
| SALISBURY | 0 | 0 | 9,651,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154,511,100 | 0 | 154,511,100 |
| SANBORNTON | 0 | 26,700 | 2,295,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 431,322,589 | 0 | 431,322,589 |
| SANDOWN | 744,186 | 0 | 3,768,795 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 599,813,251 | 0 | 599,813,251 |
| SANDWICH | 0 | 0 | 6,962,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 440,953,126 | 887,400 | 440,065,726 |
| SARGENT'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,852,720 | 0 | 1,852,720 |
| SEABROOK | 0 | 5,730,700 | 1,755,639,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,131,900,850 | 242,426,300 | 2,889,474,550 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,434,022 | 0 | 1,434,022 |
| SHARON | 0 | 0 | 715,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,253,756 | 0 | 54,253,756 |
| SHELBURNE | 0 | 16,148,700 | 4,688,700 | 6,898,500 | 0 | 0 | 82,437,455 | 0 | 82,437,455 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 0 | 4,468,500 | 6,275,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 839,337,075 | 179,800 | 839,157,275 |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 0 | 0 | 2,679,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163,215,857 | 0 | 163,215,857 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 0 | 0 | 13,649,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199,986,339 | 2,670,000 | 197,316,339 |
| STARK | 0 | 12,450,500 | 1,204,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68,461,600 | 0 | 68,461,600 |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 0 | 13,332,000 | 4,104,700 | 0 | 43,100 | 0 | 114,625,640 | 0 | 114,625,640 |
| STODDARD | 0 | 0 | 5,508,170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280,483,050 | 0 | 280,483,050 |
| STRAFFORD | 43,000 | 0 | 3,003,200 | 0 | 20,300 | 0 | 500,577,900 | 0 | 500,577,900 |
| STRATFORD | 0 | 22,631,400 | 2,618,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75,732,891 | 0 | 75,732,891 |
| STRATHAM | 1,172,000 | 9,086,900 | 11,074,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,204,469,963 | 0 | 1,204,469,963 |
| SUCCESS | 0 | 0 | 12,333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,010,689 | 0 | 11,010,689 |
| SUGAR HILL | 0 | 0 | 1,286,535 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149,350,913 | 0 | 149,350,913 |
| SULLIVAN | 0 | 0 | 1,386,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55,930,587 | 0 | 55,930,587 |
| SUNAPEE | 0 | 0 | 9,551,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,147,046,470 | 0 | 1,147,046,470 |
| SURRY | 0 | 0 | 1,403,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81,681,108 | 0 | 81,681,108 |
| SUTTON | 0 | 0 | 2,394,060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266,277,744 | 0 | 266,277,744 |
| SWANZEY | 258,100 | 0 | 16,494,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 582,320,064 | 296,430 | 582,023,634 |
| TAMWORTH | 213,700 | 0 | 29,591,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371,281,142 | 2,875,866 | 368,405,276 |
| TEMPLE | 0 | 0 | 2,604,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151,796,734 | 0 | 151,796,734 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,450,736 | 0 | 5,450,736 |
| THORNTON | 151,700 | 0 | 5,421,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368,056,001 | 25,000 | 368,031,001 |
| TILTON | 71,500 | 9,026,400 | 15,287,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 517,823,185 | 150,000 | 517,673,185 |
| TROY | 0 | 0 | 12,768,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130,232,553 | 0 | 130,232,553 |
| TUFTONBORO | 187,300 | 0 | 11,365,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,025,004,365 | 0 | 1,025,004,365 |
| UNITY | 0 | 0 | 3,299,130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131,969,870 | 0 | 131,969,870 |
| WAKEFIELD | 0 | 0 | 5,254,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 882,154,960 | 73,700 | 882,081,260 |
| WALPOLE | 0 | 0 | 18,623,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443,036,384 | 0 | 443,036,384 |
| WARNER | 0 | 0 | 5,564,930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280,744,785 | 0 | 280,744,785 |
| WARREN | 0 | 0 | 8,770,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86,482,015 | 177,100 | 86,304,915 |
| WASHINGTON | 0 | 0 | 3,610,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249,855,265 | 0 | 249,855,265 |
| WATERVILLE VALLEY | 0 | 0 | 1,264,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361,346,109 | 150,000 | 361,196,109 |
| WEARE | 47,100 | 0 | 32,773,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 946,417,056 | 477,400 | 945,939,656 |
| WEBSTER | 0 | 0 | 15,251,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231,758,531 | 0 | 231,758,531 |
| WENTWORTH | 0 | 0 | 9,152,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107,797,526 | 0 | 107,797,526 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 64,814 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,246,572 | 0 | 9,246,572 |
| WESTMORELAND | 0 | 0 | 3,446,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205,334,667 | 0 | 205,334,667 |
| WHITEFIELD | 0 | 0 | 17,838,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206,834,430 | 0 | 206,834,430 |
| WILMOT | 0 | 0 | 1,534,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176,386,721 | 0 | 176,386,721 |
| WILTON | 0 | 0 | 3,882,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 461,440,317 | 150,000 | 461,290,317 |
| WINCHESTER | 0 | 0 | 11,510,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 284,244,034 | 175,642 | 284,068,392 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 4 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET VALUATION } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & \text { PROPERTY } \\ & \text { TAXES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LESS } \\ & \text { VETERANS } \\ & \text { CREDITS } \end{aligned}$ | NET TAX COMMITMENT | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { ACTUAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2010 \\ \text { RESIDENTS } \\ \text { TAX } \end{array}$ |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { OPTIONAL } \\ & \text { EXEMPTIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RUMNEY | 15,000 | 390,000 | 29,600 | 190,613,246 | 3,471,448 | 57,870 | 3,413,578 | 18.33 | 0 |
| RYE | 45,000 | 7,147,500 | 25,900 | 1,782,279,290 | 17,787,851 | 195,000 | 17,592,851 | 9.99 | 0 |
| SALEM | 1,244,600 | 23,118,400 | 200,000 | 4,677,695,399 | 69,276,087 | 718,500 | 68,557,587 | 14.84 | 186,490 |
| SALISBURY | 0 | 1,280,000 | 240,000 | 152,991,100 | 2,849,734 | 42,100 | 2,807,634 | 18.77 | 0 |
| SANBORNTON | 0 | 810,000 | 192,309 | 430,320,280 | 8,166,255 | 111,100 | 8,055,155 | 18.99 | 0 |
| SANDOWN | 45,000 | 9,021,900 | 0 | 590,746,351 | 12,029,211 | 148,500 | 11,880,711 | 20.38 | 0 |
| SANDWICH | 0 | 110,000 | 290,000 | 439,665,726 | 4,736,634 | 72,790 | 4,663,844 | 10.81 | 0 |
| SARGENT'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,852,720 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| SEABROOK | 105,000 | 22,817,300 | 1,928,200 | 2,864,624,050 | 34,201,012 | 291,200 | 33,909,812 | 13.27 | 0 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,434,022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| SHARON | 0 | 80,000 | 0 | 54,173,756 | 1,077,238 | 1,800 | 1,075,438 | 19.92 | 0 |
| SHELBURNE | 0 | 70,000 | 53,000 | 82,314,455 | 1,108,929 | 3,500 | 1,105,429 | 14.23 | 0 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 275,000 | 2,110,200 | 0 | 836,772,075 | 22,833,645 | 285,500 | 22,548,145 | 27.32 | 70,000 |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 0 | 2,380,000 | 0 | 160,835,857 | 2,441,974 | 3,800 | 2,438,174 | 15.22 | 0 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 0 | 296,500 | 14,500 | 197,005,339 | 3,747,911 | 44,500 | 3,703,411 | 19.19 | 0 |
| STARK | 30,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 68,371,600 | 1,059,254 | 10,300 | 1,048,954 | 15.91 | 0 |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 0 | 127,500 | 0 | 114,498,140 | 1,979,096 | 7,900 | 1,971,196 | 17.62 | 0 |
| STODDARD | 0 | 230,000 | 60,000 | 280,193,050 | 3,573,968 | 18,350 | 3,555,618 | 12.80 | 0 |
| STRAFFORD | 0 | 508,700 | 90,000 | 499,979,200 | 9,873,008 | 42,600 | 9,830,408 | 19.76 | 0 |
| STRATFORD | 30,000 | 337,000 | 0 | 75,365,891 | 1,445,021 | 5,400 | 1,439,621 | 20.00 | 0 |
| STRATHAM | 45,000 | 3,598,800 | 0 | 1,200,826,163 | 22,443,474 | 197,000 | 22,246,474 | 18.73 | 0 |
| SUCCESS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,010,689 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| SUGAR HILL | 15,000 | 50,000 | 0 | 149,285,913 | 2,679,568 | 5,700 | 2,673,868 | 17.97 | 0 |
| SULLIVAN | 0 | 410,000 | 300,000 | 55,220,587 | 1,406,453 | 4,700 | 1,401,753 | 25.53 | 0 |
| SUNAPEE | 45,000 | 615,000 | 0 | 1,146,386,470 | 15,604,044 | 95,500 | 15,508,544 | 13.63 | 0 |
| SURRY | 0 | 5,000 | 21,674 | 81,654,434 | 1,291,011 | 9,800 | 1,281,211 | 15.85 | 0 |
| SUTTON | 0 | 690,000 | 0 | 265,587,744 | 5,526,327 | 72,500 | 5,453,827 | 20.83 | 0 |
| SWANZEY | 75,000 | 1,844,300 | 25,190 | 580,079,144 | 14,161,973 | 59,800 | 14,102,173 | 24.48 | 0 |
| TAMWORTH | 30,000 | 845,000 | 7,500 | 367,522,776 | 6,458,554 | 98,500 | 6,360,054 | 17.75 | 0 |
| TEMPLE | 0 | 75,000 | 0 | 151,721,734 | 3,218,861 | 7,800 | 3,211,061 | 21.26 | 0 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,450,736 | 7,304 | 0 | 7,304 | 1.34 | 0 |
| THORNTON | 15,000 | 800,000 | 427,200 | 366,788,801 | 6,280,831 | 72,400 | 6,208,431 | 17.16 | 0 |
| TILTON | 26,200 | 4,449,000 | 50,000 | 513,147,985 | 9,512,415 | 109,000 | 9,403,415 | 18.65 | 0 |
| TROY | 30,000 | 225,000 | 0 | 129,977,553 | 3,444,851 | 27,900 | 3,416,951 | 26.75 | 0 |
| TUFTONBORO | 150,000 | 438,300 | 0 | 1,024,416,065 | 8,671,299 | 108,790 | 8,562,509 | 8.49 | 0 |
| UNITY | 0 | 98,000 | 0 | 131,871,870 | 2,878,691 | 11,750 | 2,866,941 | 21.89 | 0 |
| WAKEFIELD | 45,000 | 3,137,100 | 20,000 | 878,879,160 | 10,260,962 | 221,750 | 10,039,212 | 11.69 | 0 |
| WALPOLE | 30,000 | 596,100 | 0 | 442,410,284 | 8,480,222 | 53,350 | 8,426,872 | 19.26 | 0 |
| WARNER | 45,000 | 1,384,510 | 11,810 | 279,303,465 | 6,960,796 | 86,800 | 6,873,996 | 24.97 | 0 |
| WARREN | 0 | 210,000 | 0 | 86,094,915 | 1,592,984 | 25,400 | 1,567,584 | 18.74 | 0 |
| WASHINGTON | 15,000 | 90,000 | 59,090 | 249,691,175 | 4,231,091 | 19,050 | 4,212,041 | 16.98 | 0 |
| WATERVILLE VALLEY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361,196,109 | 4,313,311 | 10,000 | 4,303,311 | 11.95 | 0 |
| WEARE | 45,000 | 6,006,786 | 277,400 | 939,610,470 | 16,217,808 | 161,200 | 16,056,608 | 17.33 | 0 |
| WEBSTER | 0 | 688,500 | 5,000 | 231,065,031 | 3,892,548 | 60,000 | 3,832,548 | 16.99 | 0 |
| WENTWORTH | 15,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 107,757,526 | 1,808,814 | 5,650 | 1,803,164 | 17.00 | 0 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,246,572 | 45,069 | 0 | 45,069 | 4.89 | 0 |
| WESTMORELAND | 15,000 | 95,000 | 0 | 205,224,667 | 3,491,602 | 7,600 | 3,484,002 | 17.05 | 0 |
| WHITEFIELD | 0 | 909,040 | 0 | 205,925,390 | 4,042,375 | 71,500 | 3,970,875 | 19.82 | 0 |
| WILMOT | 0 | 95,000 | 20,000 | 176,271,721 | 3,622,011 | 33,500 | 3,588,511 | 20.57 | 0 |
| WILTON | 30,000 | 205,000 | 0 | 461,055,317 | 8,646,166 | 39,650 | 8,606,516 | 18.77 | 0 |
| WINCHESTER | 60,000 | 1,637,400 | 0 | 282,370,992 | 7,296,326 | 67,800 | 7,228,526 | 25.93 | 0 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 1 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - LAND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | CURRENT USE | CONSERVATION RESTRICTION | DISCRETIONARY EASEMENT | DISCRETIONARY PRESERVATION EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM LAND | RESIDENTIAL LAND | COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL |
| WINDHAM | 200,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 903,937,750 | 74,238,250 |
| WINDSOR | 291,933 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,766,500 | 0 |
| WOLFEBORO | 1,431,996 | 13,949 | 205,707 | 400 | 0 | 1,041,922,400 | 47,498,900 |
| WOODSTOCK | 200,880 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47,208,920 | 9,386,800 |
| STATE TOTALS | 275,857,804 | 5,554,610 | 2,481,811 | 658,620 | 15,022 | 51,403,883,655 | 8,537,626,259 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010
(PAGE 2 OF 4)
TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - BUILDINGS

| MUNICIPALITY | RESIDENTIAL | MFG HOUSING | COMMERCIAL <br> INDUSTRIAL | DISCRETIONARY <br> PRESERVATION <br> EASEMENT | RSA 79-F FARM <br> STRUCTURES |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| WINDHAM | $941,545,175$ | 63,700 | $78,090,225$ | 0 | 0 |
| WINDSOR | $14,026,000$ | 574,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WOLFEBORO | $858,146,826$ | $11,429,800$ | $92,973,900$ | 24,794 | 0 |
| WOODSTOCK | $154,678,710$ | $4,491,890$ | $14,419,090$ | 0 | 0 |
| STATE TOTALS | $77,172,704,984$ | $1,693,108,781$ | $18,734,740,951$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 0 6 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 6 , 3 9 9}$ |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 3 OF 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION - PUBLIC UTILITIES |  |  |  |  | MATURE WOOD TIMBER | GROSS VALUATION | EDUCATIONAL SPECIAL EXEMTPIONS | MODIFIED ASSESSED VALUATION |
|  | PUBLIC WATER | GAS | ELECTRIC | OIL | OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| WINDHAM | 2,886,000 | 1,235,000 | 11,194,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,013,390,700 | 448,430 | 2,012,942,270 |
| WINDSOR | 0 | 0 | 323,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,982,333 | 0 | 26,982,333 |
| WOLFEBORO | 0 | 0 | 112,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,053,761,172 | 175,000 | 2,053,586,172 |
| WOODSTOCK | 0 | 0 | 2,571,420 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232,957,710 | 0 | 232,957,710 |
| STATE TOTALS | 204,319,798 | 620,888,587 | 6,222,751,110 | 25,182,400 | 2,596,425 | 2,172 | 164,906,155,988 | 377,326,423 | 164,528,829,565 |

TABLES BY COUNTY - 2010

| (PAGE 40 OF 4$)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EXEMPTIONS |  |  | NET VALUATION2010 | GROSS PROPERTY TAXES | LESS VETERANS CREDITS | NET TAX COMMITMENT | 2010 ACTUAL TAX RATE | $\stackrel{2010}{20}$ TAX |
|  | BLIND | ELDERLY | LOCAL OPTIONAL EXEMPTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WINDHAM | 75,000 | 14,304,800 | 1,310,200 | 1,997,252,270 | 43,861,471 | 243,000 | 43,618,471 | 21.98 | 0 |
| WINDSOR | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 26,977,333 | 535,711 | 4,400 | 531,311 | 19.89 | 0 |
| WOLFEBORO | 90,000 | 4,479,200 | 86,900 | 2,048,930,072 | 22,619,926 | 260,850 | 22,359,076 | 11.04 | 0 |
| WOODSTOCK | 30,000 | 1,591,330 | 0 | 231,336,380 | 3,998,107 | 55,000 | 3,943,107 | 17.31 | 0 |
| STATE TOTALS | 36,590,553 | 1,183,413,070 | 166,927,802 | 163,141,898,140 | 3,068,566,978 | 27,868,135 | 3,040,698,843 | 18.64 | 306,230 |

# 2010 <br> <br> EQUALIZATION 

 <br> <br> EQUALIZATION}
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PROPERTY APPRAISAL DIVISION

## NEW HAMPSHIRE PROPERTY TAX SYSTEM SUMMARY <br> May 1, 2011

The following explanation summarizes the budget, assessment, equalization and assessment review processes to assist taxpayers in understanding New Hampshire's property tax system.

## STEP I: THE BUDGET PROCESS

Every property owner is responsible for paying a portion of the money necessary to operate their town or city, their school district, their village district (if any) and their county. Each town or city, school district, village district and county must establish a budget, hold public hearings on the budget and submit the budget to their legislative body for approval.

Who are the legislative bodies that vote the appropriations necessary to fund the town or city, school and county budgets? For a town, the annual town meeting is the legislative body, which appropriates money to operate the town. The annual school district meeting does the same for the schools, and the annual village district meeting does the same for districts. Generally for a city, or a town with a town council form of government, the council or board of mayor and alderman vote the appropriations. The county delegation comprised of the State Representatives from the county, appropriate the money necessary to fund county government. This amount is apportioned to each town or city in that county based on its proportion of the total equalized property value in that county.

It is these appropriations, voted by either your elected representatives at county delegation meetings and council meetings, or voted by you at your annual town and annual school district meetings, which establish the basis for property taxes in New Hampshire.

## STEP II: THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Your property taxes are based upon the assessed value of your property as of April 1. This means that your tax bill, generally due in December, reflects the assessment of your property on the previous April 1. For example, if you built a garage on May 15 of this year, you would not be assessed taxes on that garage until next year, since the garage did not exist on April 1.

It is the responsibility of the selectmen or the assessor to annually determine the local assessed value of the property within your municipality as of April 1 each year. This assessment is best determined by a complete revaluation or update of all property within your town or city.

During a revaluation, property is physically reviewed and then valued based upon either the sale prices of other comparable properties or by other means. Theoretically, the intent of a revaluation is to assess property at its "full and true" value, often referred to as "market" value. But more importantly, the purpose of a revaluation is to insure that property within your municipality is assessed proportionally so that each property owner bears their share of the property tax burden based upon the "value" of their property.

A complete annual revaluation can be costly and time consuming. Consequently, a complete revaluation is not conducted every year. A revaluation establishes base year property values. In the years following a revaluation, the assessors value the pick-ups: the new construction, the subdivisions, and other changes to the property. Depending on the amount of change reflected in current sales, assessors may also conduct partial revaluations or statistical updates. In any event assessors must maintain proportionality among all property values on an annual basis.

Pick-ups (new construction and improvements) are assessed as of April l. Unless all properties are
updated to current market value; pick-ups are valued not at current year values, but valued at the revaluation year values. That way, if a revaluation had been done in 2010, a 3-bedroom cape style home built in 2009 will be assessed comparably to a 3-bedroom cape style home built in 2009. This assumes all other factors affecting the value of the home are the same, such as the neighborhood, the amount of land, the quality of construction, the number of bathrooms, etc.

## STEP III: THE TAX RATE

The appropriations voted by the town or city, the school district, village district (if any) and the county, less revenue from all other sources, equals the amount of money needed to be raised by taxes. The money needed to be raised by taxes is then divided by the local assessed property values to arrive at the property tax rates.

For example, if an annual town meeting appropriated $\$ 1,000,000$ to fund town appropriations and anticipates receiving $\$ 300,000$ from motor vehicle registrations, other fees, gifts and grants to the town, then the balance of money needed to be raised by taxes would be $\$ 700,000$. This amount divided by a local assessed property value of $\$ 25,000,000$, for example, would equal a tax rate of $\$ 28.00$. Property tax rates are normally expressed per $\$ 1,000$ of valuation.

The equation for determining the local property tax rate is as follows:

## VOTED APPROPRIATIONS minus ALL OTHER REVENUE $\times 1,000=$ PROPERTY TAX RATE LOCAL ASSESSED PROPERTY VALUE

By law, your property tax bill must show the assessed value of your property, along with the tax rate for each component of the tax: the town/city, village districts (if any), county, local education and state education tax rates.

## STEP IV: EXEMPTIONS AND TAX CREDITS

An exemption is a reduction in the local assessed value of property, while a credit is a reduction of the amount of tax due.

Every municipality offers some form of an exemption for elderly property owners meeting certain qualifications, and a tax credit for veterans. In addition, municipalities may adopt and grant the following exemptions: blind exemption, deaf exemption, solar, wind-powered, wood-heating energy systems exemptions, and exemptions for the totally and permanently disabled. In addition, property tax credits are available to qualifying veterans or their surviving spouses.

Applications for an exemption or a tax credit must be made to the municipality by April $15^{\text {th }}$ prior to that year's tax bill.

Education property tax relief is available to low and moderate income homeowners who:

- Own a homestead or an interest in a homestead subject to the education property tax;
- Have resided in the homestead on April 1 of the year for which the claim is made; and
- Had a total "household income" of

1. $\$ 20,000$ or less if a single person;
2. $\$ 40,000$ or less if married or head of a New Hampshire household.

Forms for the low and moderate income homeowners property tax relief program are available each spring once all the equalization ratios are completed.

Pursuant to RSA 198:57, VI, education property tax relief claims must be filed with the Department of Revenue between May 1st and June 30th following the due date of the final tax bill. Since a required element of the claim form is the equalization ratio for the municipality in which the property is located, claim forms cannot be completed for release to the public until the annual ratio setting process is complete. Ratios for each municipality are issued by the department generally in April as a result of the Equalization Process described below. For more information, contact the Department at (603) 271-2191 or visit our web site at www.nh.gov/revenue

## STEP V: THE EQUALIZATION PROCESS

All towns and cities do not conduct revaluations in the same year. Some may be assessing property close to full value (because they just conducted a revaluation) while other towns and cities are assessing property at more or less than full value (because their revaluation is not current). This inconsistency makes it difficult to compare towns and cities to one another since the local assessed valuations are based upon different revaluation years.

The annual equalization survey conducted by the Department of Revenue Administration addresses this inconsistency by adjusting each municipality's total local assessed property value to full value.

The sole purpose for equalizing local assessed property values is to ensure that public taxes and state revenues shared by towns and cities will be reasonably apportioned. This includes state education tax, county tax, some cooperative school district taxes and some village districts taxes. The equalization process involves a detailed study of property sales throughout the state and compares those sales with the local property assessments. A by-product of the equalization process is the determination of a ratio. Generally, the ratio shows the average level at which the town or city assessed property in the previous year in comparison to full value.

For example, a ratio of $90 \%$ would indicate that the town generally assessed property at approximately $90 \%$ of full value. The ratio does not necessarily apply to any specific property assessment, but rather indicates the average level of assessment throughout the town or city.

Over a period of several years, as the value of property increases or decreases due to market fluctuations, the ratio (the comparison of the local assessed value to full value) also fluctuates. A ratio of $100 \%$ indicates that, on the average, the municipality is assessing at full value. A ratio below $100 \%$ indicates average assessments are below full value, and a ratio above $100 \%$ indicates average assessments are above full value.

Neither a high nor a low ratio, in itself, should be cause for alarm. Whether a town or city is assessing at $110 \%$ or $90 \%$ of full value is really not significant. What's important is that the assessments are proportional, so that each property owner bears their share of the property tax burden based upon the value of their property.

## STEP VI: ASSESSMENT REVIEW

As a result of the Supreme Court's decision in Sirrell v. State of New Hampshire, RSA 75:8-a was adopted which requires all towns and cities in New Hampshire to reappraise all real estate within the municipality so that the assessments are at the full and true value at least as often as every fifth year. The Department of Revenue Administration is mandated by RSA 21:J II-a \& b to review and report the degree to which assessments of municipalities achieve compliance with applicable statutes and rules. The first five-year cycle was concluded in 2007 and the second five-year cycle started in 2008. Approximately one-fourth of the towns and cities have been scheduled for this Assessment Review in 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 respectively. The Assessing Standards Board (ASB) has adopted recommended guidelines to be met by towns and cities for their assessment review. The Department's staff conducts field reviews of the records and assessing practices of all towns and cities in accordance with the ASB recommended guidelines, and reports their findings to the municipalities and to the ASB. This report becomes a public document upon its issuance.

## STEP VII: PROPERTY ASSESSMENT APPEAL PROCESS

There is a two-level appeal process available to any property owner who believes the assessment of their property is in error.

The first level of appeal to request an abatement of property taxes must be made to the local assessing officials. The request for abatement must be made in writing by March 1st after the date the tax bills were mailed. If the local assessing officials neglect or refuse to satisfactorily abate the tax, the second level of appeal is either to the Board of Tax and Land Appeals or to the Superior Court in the county where the property is located.

An opinion that property taxes are "too high" is not adequate grounds to justify an abatement. The "amount of tax" is usually not appealable since the amount of money needed to fund local government operations is determined by the local legislative bodies through the budget process. Generally, there must be an error in the assessment of your property in order to qualify for an abatement.

## CONCLUSION

Property taxation in New Hampshire is a process involving all levels of government, from the voters at town and school district meeting, city councilors, and local assessing officials, to state legislators and court officials. It is a yearly process beginning with the preparation of the budget and voting of appropriations, continuing with the assessment of property and the setting of the tax rates, and concluding with the appeal process. Residents and non-residents, homeowners and landlords, commercial and industrial businesses, all bear the responsibility for paying their proportional share of property taxes.
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This report presents the results of the 2010 Equalization Survey "including utilities and railroads". It was conducted by the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration pursuant to RSA 21-J:3 XIII (Revised 4/1/99) which states:
"XIII. Equalize annually by May 1 the valuation of the property as assessed in the several towns, cities, and unincorporated places in the state including the value of property exempt pursuant to RSA 72:37, 72:37-b, 72:39-a, 72:62, 72:66 and 72:70 by adding to or deducting from the aggregate valuation of the property in towns, cities and unincorporated places such sums as will bring such valuations to the true and market value of the property, and by making such adjustments in the value of other property from which the towns, cities, and unincorporated places receive taxes or payments in lieu of taxes as may be equitable and just, so that any public taxes that may be apportioned among them shall be equal and just. In carrying out the duty to equalize the valuation of property, the commissioner shall follow the procedures set forth in RSA 21-J:9-a."

To accomplish the foregoing, assessment ratio studies were conducted to determine how the average level of assessment for all cities and towns compares with the statutory $100 \%$ ratio so that taxes can be apportioned equitably.

The Department of Revenue Administration has completed its process of calculating the total equalized valuation of all the municipalities and unincorporated places throughout the state. The ratios reported for each municipality in this survey were derived by comparing the sale prices of sales of land, land and buildings and manufactured housing, covering the period of October 1, 2009, to September 30, 2010, against the actual assessments levied by the municipalities. Sales information was verified by the grantee whenever possible by means of the Inventory of Property Transfer, Form PA-34. Our goal is to use only arm's-length sales that transferred for market value in this analysis. Every effort has been made to exclude nonarm's length transactions including but not limited to: sales involving courts and government agencies, sales between relatives or corporate affiliates, sales settling an estate, forced sales, etc.

Every municipality was notified of its 2010 sales assessment ratio. These ratios were used in conjunction with other Department of Revenue adjustments to determine each municipality's total equalized value for purposes of RSA 21-J:3 XIII.

The following is an explanation of the information contained in this report.
MODIFIED ASSESSED VALUATION: The modified assessed valuation for each municipality as reported to the Department of Revenue Administration on the municipality's

GROSS LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION: Sum of all assessed values in the municipality

```
                    Certain Disabled Veterans: RSA 72:36-a
                    Improvements to Assist the Deaf: RSA 72:38-b V
- Improvements to Assist Persons with Disabilities Exemption:
RSA72:37-a
School Dining/Dormitory/Kitchen Exemption: RSA 72:23 IV
($150,000 maximum per exemption)
Water & Air Pollution Control Exemption: RSA 72:12-a
= "MODIFIED ASSESSED VALUATION"
Blind Exemption: RSA 72:37
- Elderly Exemption: RSA 72:39-a & b
- Deaf Exemption: RSA 72:38-b
_ Disabled Exemption: RSA 72:37-b
- Wood-Heating Energy Systems Exemption: RSA 72:70
_ Solar Energy System Exemption: RSA 72:62
_ Wind Powered Energy Systems Exemption: RSA 72:66
- Additional School Dining/Dormitory/Kitchen Exemption: RSA 72:23
IV (exemption amount > $150,000).
\(=\quad\) NET LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION: The municipal, county, and local school tax rates are computed using the net local assessed valuation.
```

D.R.A. INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT: The sum of the adjustments of the net local assessed valuation is divided into three categories.

Category 1: The total modified assessed value of land (excluding land in current use, conservation restriction assessment, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement, taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures, and utilities), buildings and manufactured housing is equalized by the 2010 equalization ratio. The difference between the modified local assessed value of land, buildings and manufactured housing and the equalized value equals the D.R.A. adjustment for land, buildings, and manufactured housing.

Category 2: Pursuant to RSA 79-A:6-a, RSA 79-B:8, RSA 79-C RSA 79-D and RSA 79-F an adjustment for land in current use, conservation restriction assessment, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement and taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures is made by dividing the total local assessed value of land in current use by the 2009 equalization ratio to obtain the equalized value of current use, conservation restriction assessments, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement and taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures. If a municipality has had a full revaluation as defined by Rev 603.01 (d), a ratio of 100.0 was used. The difference between the local assessed value of land and the equalized value equals the D.R.A. adjustment for current use,
conservation restriction, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement and taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures.

Category 3: A D.R.A. adjustment for public utilities, as defined by RSA 83-F, is made by comparing the market value of the utility as determined by the D.R.A. to the net local assessed value of the utility. The difference between the assessed value and the market value equals the adjustment. The value of public utilities is not added into the "Total Equalized Value Not Including Utility Value or Equalized Railroad Taxes."

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION: The sum of the "modified local assessed valuation" plus the D.R.A. inventory adjustment.

PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES: The equalized value for payments received in lieu of taxes including State and Federal Forest Reimbursements, Recreational Land Reimbursements, Flood Land Reimbursements, and others.

RAILROAD TAX: The equalized value for monies received from the railroad tax. This figure is not added into the "Total Equalized Valuation Not Including Utility Values and Equalized Railroad Taxes."

## TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITY VALUE AND

 EQUALIZED RAILROAD TAXES: The sum of the equalized assessed, the equalized value of payments in lieu of taxes and the equalized value of railroad tax monies. The 2008 total equalized valuation including utilities will be used to apportion 2010 county taxes.LOCAL TAX RATE: The actual tax rate as calculated by the Department of Revenue Administration, Municipal Services Division. This tax includes the municipal, county, local school and state education property tax rates.

EQUALIZATION RATIO: The 2010 equalization ratio as determined by a ratio study conducted by the Department of Revenue Administration's equalization staff.

FULL VALUE TAX RATE: The 2010 gross local property taxes to be raised as reported by the Department of Revenue Administration, Municipal Services Division divided by the total equalized valuation including utility values and equalized railroad taxes. This figure represents the estimated tax rate for a municipality if all the taxable property was assessed at $100 \%$ and includes the equalized value of properties for which a payment in lieu of property taxes is made.
\% PROPORTION TO COUNTY TAX: The percentage of proportion to $\$ 1,000$ of tax that is to be allocated to each town within the county comparing each town's total equalized value to the total equalized value of the county.
\% PROPORTION TO STATE TAX: The percentage of proportion to $\$ 1,000$ of tax that is to be allocated to each town within the state by comparing each town's total equalized value to the total equalized value of the state.

COUNTY \& STATE TAX RATE: The sum of the 2010 gross property taxes to be raised by each municipality in each county and the state divided by the total net local assessed value for each municipality in each county and the state.

STATE \& COUNTY RATIOS: The state and county gross assessed values divided by the total equalized valuation. The state and county ratios are calculated for statistical purposes only. They are not applied to any figure as part of the equalization process.

## APPEAL OF TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION

Municipalities were sent their 2010 Notification of Total Equalized Valuations on April 30, 2011.

Per RSA 71-B:5, II, any municipality aggrieved by the total equalized valuation as determined by the D.R. A. must appeal to the Board of Tax and Land Appeals in writing within 30 days of the town's notification of the municipality's total equalized valuation.

The appeal period is not extended due to any communication, either verbal or written, between the D.R.A. and a municipality regarding the total equalized valuation.

| EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTH UTIUTIES AND RALROAD SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAGE1 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |
| COUNTY | MODIFED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA <br> INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALIZED } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUALZED PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALIED } \\ & \text { RAILROAD TAX } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTLTIES AND RAILROAD |
| BELKNAP | 10,254,174,703 | 36,350,381 | 10,290,525,084 | 23,473,038 | 0 | 10,313,998,122 |
| CARROL | 12,669,597,270 | 94,193,115 | 12,763,790,385 | 24,940,653 | 0 | 12,788,731,038 |
| CHESHRE | 7,442,077,569 | -225,714,531 | 7,216,363,038 | 16,015,531 | 28,927 | 7,232,407,496 |
| COOS | 3,362,722,255 | -393,526,489 | 2,969,195,766 | 27,001,911 | 643,233 | 2,996,840,910 |
| GRAFTON | 13,698,382,674 | -740,433,233 | 12,957,949,441 | 102,708,201 | 0 | 13,060,657,642 |
| HபSBOROUGH | 43,208,322,057 | -3,999,829,453 | 39,208,492,604 | 60,388,486 | 827,699 | 39,269,708,789 |
| MERRIMACK | 15,559,652,880 | -402,332,184 | 15,157,320,696 | 60,949,235 | 331,294 | 15,218,601,225 |
| ROCKINGHAM | 43,333,619,458 | -2,398,183,277 | 40,935,436,181 | 121,780,865 | 689,963 | 41,057,907,008 |
| STRAFFORD | 10,304,065,262 | -139,000,079 | 10,165,065,183 | 23,369,495 | 234,418 | 10,188,669,097 |
| SUUVAN | 4,824,435,414 | -62,595,851 | 4,761,839,563 | 6,334,650 | 1,516,568 | 4,769,690,781 |
| STATE TOTALS | 164,657,049,542 | -8,231,071,600 | 156,425,977,942 | 466,962,064 | 4,272,102 | 156,897,212,108 |


| EQUALZATION SURVEY WITH UTIUTIES AND RALTROAD SUMMARY |
| :--- |
|  |
| COUNTY |

EQUALZATION SURVEY WTHUTIUTIES ANDRAILROAD

|  | PAGE1 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWN NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFED LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALIFDD } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZED } \\ & \text { RAILROAD TAX } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EQUAUZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTLITES AND RAILROAD |
| ACWORTH | 103,806,326 | 0 | 103,806,326 | 11,788 | 0 | 103,818,114 |
| ALBANY | 103,246,149 | 3,210,241 | 106,456,390 | 2,587,947 | 0 | 109,044,338 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 242,000,970 | -39,404,912 | 202,596,058 | 230,741 | 0 | 202,826,799 |
| ALLENSTOMN | 268,210,884 | -4,518,859 | 263,692,025 | 348,660 | 0 | 264,040,685 |
| ALSTEAD | 176,965,268 | -19,326,373 | 157,638,895 | 119 | 0 | 157,639,014 |
| ALTON | 1,503,650,983 | 25,913,102 | 1,529,564,085 | 369,123 | 0 | 1,529,933,208 |
| AMHERST | 1,873,727,400 | -174,971,111 | 1,698,756,289 | 1,067,328 | 34,779 | 1,699,858,396 |
| ANDOVER | 265,483,161 | -34,120,297 | 231,362,864 | 15,311 | 0 | 231,378,175 |
| ANTRIM | 255,246,310 | -5,220,453 | 250,025,857 | 93,206 | 0 | 250,119,063 |
| ASHLAND | 263,953,161 | -18,815,174 | 245,137,987 | 2,793,253 | 0 | 247,931,240 |
| ATKINSON | 1,033,041,768 | -172,013,989 | 861,027,779 | 0 | 2,673 | 861,030,452 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 685,082 | 0 | 685,082 | 0 | 0 | 685,082 |
| AUBURN | 600,514,688 | -4,143,951 | 596,370,737 | 38,727,643 | 0 | 635,098,380 |
| BARNSTEAD | 465,108,118 | 15,621,134 | 480,729,252 | 0 | 0 | 480,729,252 |
| BARRINGTON | 909,813,024 | -71,645,646 | 838,167,378 | 750,312 | 0 | 838,917,690 |
| BARTLETT | 970,595,618 | 21,701,212 | 992,296,830 | 1,630,774 | 0 | 993,927,605 |
| BATH | 118,496,780 | -5,936,836 | 112,559,944 | 10,467,248 | 0 | 123,027,191 |
| BEANS GRANT | 443 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 0 | 443 |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 16,880 | 0 | 16,880 | 0 | 0 | 16,880 |
| BEDFORD | 3,370,672,920 | -120,008,470 | 3,250,664,450 | 6,566 | 21,624 | 3,250,692,641 |
| BELMONT | 735,333,340 | -76,692,274 | 658,641,066 | 674,523 | 0 | 659,315,589 |
| BENNINGTON | 113,056,850 | -7,887,100 | 105,169,750 | 19,330 | 0 | 105,189,079 |
| BENTON | 26,863,488 | 588,912 | 27,452,400 | 1,279,144 | 0 | 28,731,543 |
| BERLIN | 449,431,257 | -77,861,767 | 371,569,490 | 2,618,476 | 40,316 | 374,228,282 |
| BETHLEHEM | 264,697,566 | -217,036 | 264,480,530 | 2,228,405 | 0 | 266,708,935 |
| BOSCAWEN | 275,413,836 | -32,947,282 | 242,466,554 | 25,790 | 6,131 | 242,498,475 |
| BOW | 1,126,147,012 | 44,363,348 | 1,170,510,360 | 6,363 | 106,842 | 1,170,623,566 |
| BRADFORD | 219,046,262 | -2,352,835 | 216,693,427 | 52,189 | 0 | 216,745,617 |
| BRENTWOOD | 478,624,896 | -8,479,931 | 470,144,965 | 0 | 0 | 470,144,965 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 377,354,483 | -30,171,716 | 347,182,767 | 0 | 0 | 347,182,767 |
| BRISTOL | 563,880,016 | -75,573,606 | 488,306,410 | 595,664 | 0 | 488,902,074 |
| BROOKFIELD | 102,872,100 | -704,890 | 102,167,210 | 0 | 0 | 102,167,210 |
| BROOKLINE | 583,754,571 | -93,689,374 | 490,065,197 | 0 | 0 | 490,065,197 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 8,294,892 | 0 | 8,294,892 | 0 | 0 | 8,294,892 |
| CAMPTON | 410,024,072 | 0 | 410,024,072 | 148,583 | 0 | 410,172,655 |
| CANAAN | 360,332,394 | -3,308,567 | 357,023,827 | 132,278 | 0 | 357,156,106 |
| CANDIA | 403,885,487 | -33,150,620 | 370,734,867 | 14,919 | 0 | 370,749,786 |
| CANTERBURY | 311,378,093 | -42,527,503 | 268,850,590 | 275,170 | 0 | 269,125,760 |
| CARROL | 396,366,759 | -44,085,768 | 352,280,991 | 897,513 | 0 | 353,178,504 |
| CENIER HARBOR | 471,134,453 | -55,224,328 | 415,910,125 | 0 | 0 | 415,910,125 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 49,133 | 0 | 49,133 | 0 | 0 | 49,133 |
| CHARLESTOMN | 287,665,160 | -359,090 | 287,306,070 | 495,398 | 1,452,561 | 289,254,029 |
| CHATHAM | 51,277,392 | 2,061,950 | 53,339,342 | 1,546,025 | 0 | 54,885,367 |
| CHESTER | 588,750,500 | -105,193,809 | 483,556,691 | 0 | 0 | 483,556,691 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 560,747,288 | -311,932 | 560,435,356 | 864,454 | 0 | 561,299,810 |
| CHICHESTER | 291,684,507 | -42,630,957 | 249,053,550 | 0 | 0 | 249,053,550 |
| CLAREMONT | 821,006,340 | -46,435,658 | 774,570,682 | 2,629,586 | 36,407 | 777,236,675 |
| CLARKSVLE | 40,084,297 | 228 | 40,084,525 | 3,808,952 | 0 | 43,893,477 |
| COLEBROOK | 190,360,597 | -19,587,713 | 170,772,884 | 0 | 0 | 170,772,884 |
| COLUMBIA | 84,803,489 | -8,297,590 | 76,505,899 | 255,257 | 0 | 76,761,156 |
| CONCORD | 3,879,938,750 | -28,543,940 | 3,851,394,810 | 19,907,206 | 114,750 | 3,871,416,766 |
| CONWAY | 1,379,279,065 | 70,324,698 | 1,449,603,763 | 1,067,621 | 0 | 1,450,671,385 |
| CORNISH | 185,127,919 | -1,422,850 | 183,705,069 | 0 | 26,688 | 183,731,757 |


| EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTH UTITIES AND RALLROAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAGE2 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |
| TOWNNAME | 2010 LOCAL TAXRATE | 2010 EQUALZATION RATIO | FUL VALUE TAXRATE | \%PROPORTIONTO COUNTYTAX | \%PROPORTIONTO STATETAX |
| ACWORTH | \$19.49 | 100.0 | \$19.41 | 2.1766\% | 0.0662\% |
| ALBANY | \$10.89 | 96.8 | \$10.28 | 0.8527\% | 0.0695\% |
| ALEXANDRIA | \$17.15 | 115.9 | \$20.17 | 1.5530\% | 0.1293\% |
| AШENSTOMN | \$27.38 | 100.0 | \$27.50 | 1.7350\% | 0.1683\% |
| ALSTEAD | \$23.08 | 112.3 | \$25.84 | 2.1796\% | 0.1005\% |
| ALTON | \$12.21 | 98.3 | \$11.98 | 14.8336\% | 0.9751\% |
| AMHERST | \$20.48 | 109.6 | \$22.36 | 4.3287\% | 1.0834\% |
| ANDOVER | \$16.36 | 111.1 | \$18.62 | 1.5204\% | 0.1475\% |
| ANTRIM | \$24.14 | 100.0 | \$24.43 | 0.6369\% | 0.1594\% |
| ASHLAND | \$19.41 | 106.9 | \$20.55 | 1.8983\% | 0.1580\% |
| ATKINSON | \$15.21 | 120.0 | \$18.09 | 2.0971\% | 0.5488\% |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0229\% | 0.0004\% |
| AUBURN | \$19.39 | 100.0 | \$18.08 | 1.5468\% | 0.4048\% |
| BARNSTEAD | \$22.36 | 96.7 | \$21.56 | 4.6609\% | 0.3064\% |
| BARRINGTON | \$19.57 | 107.6 | \$20.95 | 8.2338\% | 0.5347\% |
| BARTLEIT | \$9.15 | 97.8 | \$8.92 | 7.7719\% | 0.6335\% |
| BATH | \$16.91 | 100.0 | \$16.09 | 0.9420\% | 0.0784\% |
| BEAN'S GRANT | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| BEAN'SPURCHASE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0006\% | 0.0000\% |
| BEDFORD | \$19.62 | 103.5 | \$20.23 | 8.2779\% | 2.0719\% |
| BELMONT | \$20.97 | 111.8 | \$23.12 | 6.3924\% | 0.4202\% |
| BENNINGTON | \$24.04 | 107.6 | \$25.61 | 0.2679\% | 0.0670\% |
| BENTON | \$12.83 | 97.3 | \$11.92 | 0.2200\% | 0.0183\% |
| BERLIN | \$31.70 | 100.0 | \$37.05 | 12.4874\% | 0.2385\% |
| BETHLEHEM | \$25.06 | 100.0 | \$24.64 | 2.0421\% | 0.1700\% |
| BOSCAWEN | \$20.98 | 113.8 | \$23.68 | 1.5934\% | 0.1546\% |
| BOW | \$24.66 | 100.0 | \$22.98 | 7.6921\% | 0.7461\% |
| BRADFORD | \$20.58 | 100.0 | \$20.73 | 1.4242\% | 0.1381\% |
| BRENTWOOD | \$23.86 | 100.0 | \$24.14 | 1.1451\% | 0.2997\% |
| BRIDGEWATER | \$8.40 | 107.4 | \$9.04 | 2.6582\% | 0.2213\% |
| BRISTOL | \$16.37 | 113.8 | \$18.78 | 3.7433\% | 0.3116\% |
| BROOKFEEL | \$14.20 | 100.0 | \$14.23 | 0.7989\% | 0.0651\% |
| BROOKLINE | \$24.82 | 118.6 | \$29.35 | 1.2479\% | 0.3123\% |
| CAMBRIDGE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.2768\% | 0.0053\% |
| CAMPTON | \$18.55 | 100.0 | \$18.33 | 3.1405\% | 0.2614\% |
| CANAAN | \$20.26 | 100.0 | \$20.34 | 2.7346\% | 0.2276\% |
| CANDIA | \$19.90 | 109.3 | \$21.48 | 0.9030\% | 0.2363\% |
| CANTERBURY | \$19.95 | 115.3 | \$22.89 | 1.7684\% | 0.1715\% |
| CARROLL | \$12.28 | 112.5 | \$13.74 | 11.7850\% | 0.2251\% |
| CENTER HARBOR | \$10.95 | 113.3 | \$12.40 | 4.0325\% | 0.2651\% |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0016\% | 0.0000\% |
| CHARLESTOMN | \$26.45 | 100.0 | \$26.15 | 6.0644\% | 0.1844\% |
| CHATHAM | \$11.14 | 96.2 | \$10.38 | 0.4292\% | 0.0350\% |
| CHESTER | \$18.79 | 119.4 | \$22.30 | 1.1777\% | 0.3082\% |
| CHESTERFELD | \$17.00 | 100.0 | \$16.92 | 7.7609\% | 0.3578\% |
| CHICHESTER | \$19.07 | 117.4 | \$22.20 | 1.6365\% | 0.1587\% |
| CLAREMONT | \$31.34 | 107.0 | \$31.37 | 16.2953\% | 0.4954\% |
| CLARKSVLLE | \$13.07 | 100.0 | \$11.87 | 1.4647\% | 0.0280\% |
| COLEBROOK | \$19.80 | 109.6 | \$21.84 | 5.6984\% | 0.1088\% |
| COLUMBIA | \$15.75 | 100.0 | \$16.71 | 2.5614\% | 0.0489\% |
| CONCORD | \$23.16 | 100.0 | \$23.09 | 25.4387\% | 2.4675\% |
| COMWAY | \$17.87 | 95.2 | \$16.91 | 11.3434\% | 0.9246\% |
| CORNISH | \$18.81 | 100.0 | \$18.77 | 3.8521\% | 0.1171\% |

## EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTHUTIITES AND RAILROAD

|  | PAGE1 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWNNAME | MODIREDLOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALZED ASSESSED VALUATION | EQUALIED PAYMENTIN UEUOFTAXES* | EQUALIZED RALLROADTAX | TOTAL EQUAUZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTLITIES AND RAILROAD |
| CRAMFORD'S PURCHASE | 162,453 | 0 | 162,453 | 0 | 0 | 162,453 |
| CROYDON | 93,481,077 | 3,599,389 | 97,080,466 | 0 | 0 | 97,080,466 |
| CUTTSGRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DALTON | 92,292,292 | -7,691,410 | 84,600,882 | 21,573 | 24,579 | 84,647,035 |
| DANBURY | 144,576,512 | -23,109,376 | 121,467,136 | 0 | 0 | 121,467,136 |
| DANMLE | 445,448,389 | -111,042,452 | 334,405,937 | 170 | 0 | 334,406,107 |
| DEERFEED | 515,085,164 | -25,812,903 | 489,272,261 | 126,763 | 0 | 489,399,024 |
| DEERING | 200,320,795 | -5,007,143 | 195,313,652 | 37,517 | 0 | 195,351,169 |
| DERRY | 2,514,696,320 | 1,045,214 | 2,515,741,534 | 2,442,409 | 0 | 2,518,183,944 |
| DIXGRANT | 926,086 | 0 | 926,086 | 0 | 0 | 926,086 |
| DIXVLE | 16,634,103 | 0 | 16,634,103 | 6,462 | 0 | 16,640,565 |
| DORCHESTER | 39,587,550 | 7 | 39,587,557 | 28,106 | 0 | 39,615,663 |
| DOVER | 2,657,575,300 | 116,602,502 | 2,774,177,802 | 2,424,910 | 120,466 | 2,776,723,178 |
| DUBLIN | 255,615,271 | -1,574,886 | 254,040,385 | 1,247,947 | 0 | 255,288,332 |
| DUMMER | 54,526,884 | -8,266,225 | 46,260,659 | 0 | 8,060 | 46,268,719 |
| DUNBARTON | 302,904,962 | -16,364,764 | 286,540,198 | 3,335,827 | 0 | 289,876,024 |
| DURHAM | 896,028,866 | -6,732,738 | 889,296,128 | 2,021,334 | 42,030 | 891,359,492 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 295,613,828 | -6,488,654 | 289,125,174 | 2,301 | 42,873 | 289,170,347 |
| EASTON | 64,828,275 | -18 | 64,828,257 | 703,097 | 0 | 65,531,354 |
| EATON | 110,750,859 | -6,164,187 | 104,586,672 | 0 | 0 | 104,586,672 |
| EFFINGHAM | 179,499,803 | -2,627,945 | 176,871,858 | 2,029,125 | 0 | 178,900,983 |
| ELSWORTH | 13,252,590 | 35,132 | 13,287,722 | 626,652 | 0 | 13,914,374 |
| ENFIELD | 550,899,286 | 232,974 | 551,132,260 | 0 | 0 | 551,132,260 |
| EPPING | 615,628,700 | 10,000,377 | 625,629,077 | 0 | 0 | 625,629,077 |
| EPSOM | 401,536,969 | 6,419,796 | 407,956,765 | 1,281,714 | 0 | 409,238,479 |
| ERROL | 85,321,709 | -5,075,881 | 80,245,828 | 2,100,398 | 0 | 82,346,226 |
| ERVNGS GRANT | 82,104 | 0 | 82,104 | 0 | 0 | 82,104 |
| EXEIER | 1,586,363,935 | 33,509,920 | 1,619,873,855 | 1,565,339 | 51,640 | 1,621,490,834 |
| FARMINGTON | 473,521,280 | -45,231,942 | 428,289,338 | 247,895 | 0 | 428,537,232 |
| FITZMLLAM | 306,415,271 | -40,269,645 | 266,145,626 | 30,663 | 0 | 266,176,289 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 210,624,339 | -14,920,506 | 195,703,833 | 0 | 0 | 195,703,833 |
| FRANCONIA | 307,104,163 | -22,724,676 | 284,379,487 | 1,535,499 | 0 | 285,914,986 |
| FRANKLIN | 570,060,190 | -8,030,401 | 562,029,789 | 6,567,443 | 0 | 568,597,232 |
| FREEDOM | 557,377,970 | -38,360,960 | 519,017,010 | 0 | 0 | 519,017,010 |
| FREMONT | 360,285,890 | -3,657,597 | 356,628,293 | 0 | 0 | 356,628,293 |
| GILFORD | 1,548,646,080 | 163,900,759 | 1,712,546,839 | 488,661 | 0 | 1,713,035,500 |
| GILMANTON | 475,637,233 | -4,630,382 | 471,006,851 | 121,329 | 0 | 471,128,180 |
| GILSUM | 64,603,940 | -789,916 | 63,814,024 | 0 | 0 | 63,814,024 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 1,424,999,700 | -61,269,242 | 1,363,730,458 | 0 | 0 | 1,363,730,458 |
| GORHAM | 320,565,600 | -61,179,197 | 259,386,403 | 922,899 | 44,580 | 260,353,882 |
| GOSHEN | 75,826,484 | -176,172 | 75,650,312 | 31,897 | 0 | 75,682,210 |
| GRAFTON | 126,501,554 | -1,442,446 | 125,059,108 | 0 | 0 | 125,059,108 |
| GRANTHAM | 506,637,339 | -2,062,165 | 504,575,174 | 0 | 0 | 504,575,174 |
| GREENFELD | 155,908,022 | -12,235,943 | 143,672,079 | 9,216,283 | 51,806 | 152,940,168 |
| GREENLAND | 664,095,900 | -2,578,321 | 661,517,579 | 0 | 26,026 | 661,543,605 |
| GREENS GRANT | 4,048,492 | 0 | 4,048,492 | 109,999 | 0 | 4,158,491 |
| GREEMMUE | 135,034,246 | -29,576,756 | 105,457,490 | 1,356,728 | 0 | 106,814,218 |
| GROTON | 78,595,311 | -16,424,488 | 62,170,823 | 50,347 | 0 | 62,221,170 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HALESLOCATION | 73,985,100 | -4,188,946 | 69,796,154 | 68,586 | 0 | 69,864,740 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 1,006,022,030 | -4,571,032 | 1,001,450,998 | 1,162,791 | 0 | 1,002,613,788 |
| HAMPTON | 3,085,510,497 | -236,648,768 | 2,848,861,729 | 0 | 25,262 | 2,848,886,991 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 432,529,700 | -1,770,596 | 430,759,104 | 0 | 0 | 430,759,104 |
| HANCOCK | 274,326,950 | -27,239,522 | 247,087,428 | 1,893,520 | 0 | 248,980,948 |

## EQUALZATION SURVEY WTH UTIITES AND RALLROAD

|  | PAGE2 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWNNAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010 LOCAL } \\ & \text { TAXRATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { EQUAUZATION } \\ & \text { RATIO } \end{aligned}$ | FUL VALUE TAXRATE | \%PROPORTIONTO COUNTYTAX | \%PROPORTIONTO STATETAX |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0054\% | 0.0001\% |
| CROYDON | \$15.77 | 95.0 | \$15.11 | 2.0354\% | 0.0619\% |
| CUTTSGRANT | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| DALTON | \$18.20 | 108.5 | \$19.71 | 2.8245\% | 0.0540\% |
| DANBURY | \$18.75 | 119.4 | \$22.21 | 0.7981\% | 0.0774\% |
| DAMMLE | \$20.30 | 133.4 | \$26.75 | 0.8145\% | 0.2131\% |
| DEERFIELD | \$22.96 | 100.0 | \$23.81 | 1.1920\% | 0.3119\% |
| DEERING | \$23.93 | 100.0 | \$23.98 | 0.4975\% | 0.1245\% |
| DERRY | \$28.48 | 100.0 | \$28.05 | 6.1332\% | 1.6050\% |
| DIX GRANT | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0309\% | 0.0006\% |
| DIXVLLE | \$8.30 | 100.0 | \$8.28 | 0.5553\% | 0.0106\% |
| DORCHESTER | \$20.76 | 100.0 | \$20.71 | 0.3033\% | 0.0252\% |
| DOVER | \$23.75 | 95.5 | \$22.26 | 27.2531\% | 1.7698\% |
| DUBLIN | \$21.40 | 100.0 | \$21.39 | 3.5298\% | 0.1627\% |
| DUMMER | \$17.60 | 100.1 | \$19.60 | 1.5439\% | 0.0295\% |
| DUNBARTON | \$20.50 | 100.0 | \$21.21 | 1.9047\% | 0.1848\% |
| DURHAM | \$27.28 | 100.0 | \$27.27 | 8.7485\% | 0.5681\% |
| EAST KINGSTON | \$23.33 | 100.0 | \$23.70 | 0.7043\% | 0.1843\% |
| EASTON | \$9.43 | 100.0 | \$9.31 | 0.5017\% | 0.0418\% |
| EATON | \$10.85 | 106.0 | \$11.47 | 0.8178\% | 0.0667\% |
| EFPINGHAM | \$16.05 | 100.0 | \$16.02 | 1.3989\% | 0.1140\% |
| EllSWORTH | \$15.50 | 98.3 | \$14.70 | 0.1065\% | 0.0089\% |
| ENPED | \$20.52 | 100.0 | \$20.12 | 4.2198\% | 0.3513\% |
| EPPING | \$23.27 | 97.4 | \$22.66 | 1.5238\% | 0.3988\% |
| EPSOM | \$19.77 | 97.5 | \$19.29 | 2.6891\% | 0.2608\% |
| ERROL | \$9.56 | 100.0 | \$9.65 | 2.7478\% | 0.0525\% |
| ERVNG'S GRANT | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0027\% | 0.0001\% |
| EXEIER | \$24.61 | 97.9 | \$23.48 | 3.9493\% | 1.0335\% |
| FARMINGTON | \$20.24 | 110.5 | \$22.06 | 4.2060\% | 0.2731\% |
| FTZMLLIAM | \$23.74 | 111.3 | \$26.87 | 3.6803\% | 0.1697\% |
| FRANCESTOWN | \$21.99 | 107.0 | \$23.60 | 0.4984\% | 0.1247\% |
| FRANCONIA | \$14.00 | 107.7 | \$15.01 | 2.1891\% | 0.1822\% |
| PRANKLIN | \$21.14 | 100.0 | \$20.84 | 3.7362\% | 0.3624\% |
| FREEDOM | \$10.90 | 107.4 | \$11.68 | 4.0584\% | 0.3308\% |
| FREMONT | \$26.55 | 100.0 | \$26.67 | 0.8686\% | 0.2273\% |
| GILFORD | \$17.62 | 90.4 | \$15.88 | 16.6088\% | 1.0918\% |
| GILMANTON | \$21.95 | 100.0 | \$22.01 | 4.5679\% | 0.3003\% |
| GILSUM | \$25.95 | 100.0 | \$26.05 | 0.8823\% | 0.0407\% |
| GOFFSTOMN | \$22.91 | 103.7 | \$23.66 | 3.4727\% | 0.8692\% |
| GORHAM | \$23.95 | 110.2 | \$28.74 | 8.6876\% | 0.1659\% |
| GOSHEN | \$23.65 | 100.0 | \$23.62 | 1.5867\% | 0.0482\% |
| GRAFTON | \$18.91 | 100.0 | \$19.00 | 0.9575\% | 0.0797\% |
| GRANTHAM | \$19.20 | 100.0 | \$19.24 | 10.5788\% | 0.3216\% |
| GREENFELD | \$20.55 | 107.7 | \$20.86 | 0.3895\% | 0.0975\% |
| GREENLAND | \$14.06 | 100.0 | \$13.99 | 1.6112\% | 0.4216\% |
| GREENS GRANT | \$6.74 | 100.0 | \$6.54 | 0.1388\% | 0.0027\% |
| GREEMVLLE | \$17.51 | 126.3 | \$21.99 | 0.2720\% | 0.0681\% |
| GROTON | \$12.98 | 113.6 | \$15.94 | 0.4764\% | 0.0397\% |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| HALESLOCATION | \$3.04 | 106.0 | \$3.21 | 0.5463\% | 0.0445\% |
| HAMPSTEAD | \$21.50 | 100.0 | \$21.26 | 2.4420\% | 0.6390\% |
| HAMPTON | \$16.11 | 107.9 | \$17.20 | 6.9387\% | 1.8158\% |
| HAMPTON FALLS | \$19.27 | 100.0 | \$19.15 | 1.0492\% | 0.2745\% |
| HANCOCK | \$17.30 | 110.5 | \$19.00 | 0.6340\% | 0.1587\% |

## EQUALZATION SURVEY MTHUTITIES AND RAILROAD

|  | PAGE1OF2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWNNAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFEDLOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRAINVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZD ASSESSED VALUATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZED } \\ & \text { PAYMENTIN } \\ & \text { LEUOF TAXES* } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALIZED } \\ & \text { RAILROADTAX } \end{aligned}$ | TOTALEQUAUZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTLITIES AND RAILROAD |
| HANOVER | 1,966,157,200 | -11,482,239 | 1,954,674,961 | 2,872,120 | 0 | 1,957,547,081 |
| HARRISVLE | 213,157,742 | -1,142,222 | 212,015,520 | 242,242 | 0 | 212,257,762 |
| HARTS LOCATION | 15,479,942 | 573,991 | 16,053,933 | 525,232 | 0 | 16,579,165 |
| HAVERHIL | 396,617,163 | -76,422,933 | 320,194,230 | 138,349 | 0 | 320,332,580 |
| HEBRON | 282,180,590 | -21,322,102 | 260,858,488 | 5,848,817 | 0 | 266,707,306 |
| HENNIKER | 385,935,729 | 15,190,496 | 401,126,225 | 3,706,935 | 0 | 404,833,160 |
| HIL | 106,587,650 | -14,020,991 | 92,566,659 | 1,742,507 | 0 | 94,309,165 |
| HıLSBOROUGH | 606,321,466 | -132,679,738 | 473,641,728 | 117,843 | 0 | 473,759,571 |
| HINSDALE | 351,149,437 | -25,158,549 | 325,990,888 | 79,621 | 0 | 326,070,510 |
| HOLDERNESS | 683,421,957 | -1,223,488 | 682,198,469 | 2,284,686 | 0 | 684,483,155 |
| HOUIS | 1,247,653,250 | -34,085,811 | 1,213,567,439 | 1,888 | 1,106 | 1,213,570,433 |
| HOOKSEIT | 1,665,070,747 | -93,930,446 | 1,571,140,301 | 52,293 | 103,571 | 1,571,296,165 |
| HOPKINTON | 647,417,587 | 15,171,335 | 662,588,922 | 10,116,692 | 0 | 672,705,613 |
| HUDSON | 2,945,398,768 | -395,438,933 | 2,549,959,835 | 0 | 0 | 2,549,959,835 |
| JACKSON | 383,973,468 | 15 | 383,973,483 | 1,662,730 | 0 | 385,636,213 |
| JAFFREY | 451,958,880 | 0 | 451,958,880 | 284,161 | 0 | 452,243,040 |
| JEFFERSON | 138,083,701 | -810,753 | 137,272,948 | 401,944 | 0 | 137,674,892 |
| KEENE | 1,916,940,100 | -29,622,310 | 1,887,317,790 | 2,610,035 | 0 | 1,889,927,826 |
| KENSINGTON | 346,805,329 | -26,155,308 | 320,650,021 | 0 | 0 | 320,650,021 |
| KILKENNY | 11,629 | 0 | 11,629 | 0 | 0 | 11,629 |
| KINGSTON | 711,296,948 | -63,633,513 | 647,663,435 | 2,343 | 32,826 | 647,698,604 |
| LACONA | 1,933,380,482 | 54,711,539 | 1,988,092,021 | 17,973,136 | 0 | 2,006,065,157 |
| LANCASTER | 286,091,690 | -29,957,258 | 256,134,432 | 2,868,115 | 0 | 259,002,546 |
| LANDAFF | 41,691,368 | 9,047,026 | 50,738,394 | 264,511 | 0 | 51,002,904 |
| LANGDON | 68,828,866 | -7,906,187 | 60,922,679 | 26,194 | 0 | 60,948,872 |
| LEBANON | 1,842,048,920 | 2,934,449 | 1,844,983,369 | 53,580,873 | 0 | 1,898,564,242 |
| LEE | 506,108,991 | -91,968,961 | 414,140,030 | 104,947 | 0 | 414,244,978 |
| LEMPSTER | 167,283,781 | 3,678,712 | 170,962,493 | 19,383 | 0 | 170,981,876 |
| LINCOLN | 863,575,614 | -84,148,567 | 779,427,047 | 4,500,413 | 0 | 783,927,460 |
| LISBON | 111,972,132 | -41,368 | 111,930,764 | 0 | 0 | 111,930,764 |
| LTCHFEED | 805,797,206 | -17,428,733 | 788,368,473 | 1,480,884 | 0 | 789,849,357 |
| UTTLETON | 750,393,400 | -126,792,044 | 623,601,356 | 1,524,617 | 0 | 625,125,972 |
| LIVERMORE | 145,080 | 0 | 145,080 | 0 | 0 | 145,080 |
| LONDONDERRY | 3,341,712,944 | -221,707,887 | 3,120,005,057 | 27,459,408 | 0 | 3,147,464,465 |
| LOUDON | 568,074,212 | -54,228,027 | 513,846,185 | 3,864,251 | 0 | 517,710,435 |
| LOW\& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| LYMAN | 59,421,383 | 370,293 | 59,791,676 | 0 | 0 | 59,791,676 |
| LYME | 324,408,900 | -1,747,405 | 322,661,495 | 0 | 0 | 322,661,495 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 165,561,338 | 7,878,068 | 173,439,406 | 749 | 0 | 173,440,155 |
| MADBURY | 232,009,799 | -16,776,228 | 215,233,571 | 0 | 33,897 | 215,267,468 |
| MADISON | 456,346,887 | -5,292,151 | 451,054,736 | 979,256 | 0 | 452,033,992 |
| MANCHESTER | 10,092,291,800 | -1,659,297,693 | 8,432,994,107 | 35,743,279 | 100,786 | 8,468,838,171 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 209,478,880 | -20,178,457 | 189,300,423 | 279,713 | 0 | 189,580,136 |
| MARLOW | 63,517,127 | 1,197,634 | 64,714,761 | 37,521 | 0 | 64,752,282 |
| MARTINS LOCATION | 36,089 | 0 | 36,089 | 0 | 0 | 36,089 |
| MASON | 172,600,245 | -13,275,151 | 159,325,094 | 27,317 | 0 | 159,352,411 |
| MEREDITH | 1,837,545,273 | -58,485,464 | 1,779,059,809 | 23,555 | 0 | 1,779,083,365 |
| MERRIMACK | 3,267,098,954 | -411,857,867 | 2,855,241,087 | 272,487 | 168,153 | 2,855,681,727 |
| MIDDLETON | 183,080,247 | -16,138,178 | 166,942,069 | 0 | 0 | 166,942,069 |
| MLAN | 122,876,065 | -9,637,436 | 113,238,629 | 231,227 | 88,484 | 113,558,340 |
| MILFORD | 1,594,756,971 | -270,711,095 | 1,324,045,876 | 1,027,620 | 92,687 | 1,325,166,183 |
| MLSFIED | 6,441,336 | 0 | 6,441,336 | 0 | 0 | 6,441,336 |
| MLTON | 391,275,937 | -1,017,724 | 390,258,213 | 0 | 0 | 390,258,213 |
| MONROE | 357,757,220 | -159,164,584 | 198,592,636 | 0 | 0 | 198,592,636 |


| EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTH UTIபTIES AND RAILROAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAGE2OF 2 |  |  |  |  |
| TOWNNAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010LOCAL } \\ & \text { TAXRATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { EQUAUZATION } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | FUL VALUE TAXRATE | \%PROPORTIONTO COUNTYTAX | \%PROPORTIONTO STATETAX |
| HANOVER | \$16.32 | 100.0 | \$16.35 | 14.9881\% | 1.2477\% |
| HARRISVLE | \$14.25 | 100.0 | \$14.28 | 2.9348\% | 0.1353\% |
| HARTSLOCATION | \$7.26 | 96.4 | \$6.75 | 0.1296\% | 0.0106\% |
| HAVERHILL | \$19.88 | 118.9 | \$24.39 | 2.4527\% | 0.2042\% |
| HEBRON | \$7.59 | 107.0 | \$7.99 | 2.0421\% | 0.1700\% |
| HENNIKER | \$29.82 | 94.8 | \$27.96 | 2.6601\% | 0.2580\% |
| HILL | \$18.24 | 108.7 | \$20.33 | 0.6197\% | 0.0601\% |
| HILLSBOROUGH | \$20.74 | 126.2 | \$26.32 | 1.2064\% | 0.3020\% |
| HINSDALE | \$23.84 | 107.1 | \$24.80 | 4.5085\% | 0.2078\% |
| HOLDERNESS | \$13.11 | 100.0 | \$13.07 | 5.2408\% | 0.4363\% |
| HOWS | \$21.47 | 102.8 | \$21.95 | 3.0903\% | 0.7735\% |
| HOOKSETT | \$21.68 | 104.3 | \$22.34 | 10.3248\% | 1.0015\% |
| HOPKINTON | \$26.72 | 95.3 | \$25.47 | 4.4203\% | 0.4288\% |
| HUDSON | \$16.11 | 112.6 | \$18.29 | 6.4935\% | 1.6252\% |
| JACKSON | \$10.00 | 100.0 | \$9.95 | 3.0154\% | 0.2458\% |
| JAFPREY | \$26.95 | 100.0 | \$26.79 | 6.2530\% | 0.2882\% |
| JEFFERSON | \$15.76 | 100.0 | \$15.73 | 4.5940\% | 0.0877\% |
| KEENE | \$30.17 | 100.0 | \$30.31 | 26.1314\% | 1.2046\% |
| KENSINGTON | \$18.87 | 107.3 | \$20.12 | 0.7810\% | 0.2044\% |
| KILKENNY | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0004\% | 0.0000\% |
| KINGSTON | \$20.38 | 109.4 | \$22.20 | 1.5775\% | 0.4128\% |
| LACONIA | \$19.81 | 97.1 | \$18.93 | 19.4499\% | 1.2786\% |
| LANCASTER | \$18.52 | 109.6 | \$20.30 | 8.6425\% | 0.1651\% |
| LANDAFF | \$20.12 | 81.9 | \$16.41 | 0.3905\% | 0.0325\% |
| LANGDON | \$21.90 | 113.1 | \$24.60 | 1.2778\% | 0.0388\% |
| LEBANON | \$23.35 | 97.9 | \$22.37 | 14.5365\% | 1.2101\% |
| LEE | \$25.42 | 122.2 | \$30.33 | 4.0657\% | 0.2640\% |
| LEMPSTER | \$18.45 | 100.0 | \$17.32 | 3.5848\% | 0.1090\% |
| LINCOL | \$9.20 | 109.6 | \$10.05 | 6.0022\% | 0.4996\% |
| LSBON | \$28.61 | 100.0 | \$28.39 | 0.8570\% | 0.0713\% |
| LTCHFIED | \$19.20 | 100.0 | \$19.42 | 2.0113\% | 0.5034\% |
| LITLETON | \$22.83 | 100.0 | \$26.39 | 4.7863\% | 0.3984\% |
| LIVERMORE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0011\% | 0.0001\% |
| LONDONDERRY | \$20.33 | 104.9 | \$21.07 | 7.6659\% | 2.0061\% |
| LOUDON | \$19.16 | 109.5 | \$20.89 | 3.4018\% | 0.3300\% |
| LOW\& BURBANK GRANT | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| LYMAN | \$19.00 | 99.2 | \$18.81 | 0.4578\% | 0.0381\% |
| LYME | \$19.17 | 100.0 | \$19.06 | 2.4705\% | 0.2057\% |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | \$21.30 | 95.1 | \$20.30 | 0.4417\% | 0.1105\% |
| MADBURY | \$23.99 | 105.0 | \$25.56 | 2.1128\% | 0.1372\% |
| MADISON | \$13.98 | 100.0 | \$14.05 | 3.5346\% | 0.2881\% |
| MANCHESTER | \$17.81 | 119.6 | \$20.58 | 21.5658\% | 5.3977\% |
| MARLBOROUGH | \$23.17 | 110.2 | \$25.53 | 2.6213\% | 0.1208\% |
| MARLOW | \$22.46 | 98.3 | \$21.83 | 0.8953\% | 0.0413\% |
| MARTINS LOCATION | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0012\% | 0.0000\% |
| MASON | \$21.64 | 108.2 | \$23.30 | 0.4058\% | 0.1016\% |
| MEREDITH | \$12.80 | 103.3 | \$13.19 | 17.2492\% | 1.1339\% |
| MERRIMACK | \$19.53 | 114.4 | \$22.05 | 7.2720\% | 1.8201\% |
| MIDDLETON | \$16.92 | 108.9 | \$18.49 | 1.6385\% | 0.1064\% |
| MLAN | \$15.59 | 100.0 | \$16.31 | 3.7893\% | 0.0724\% |
| MLFORD | \$19.34 | 120.5 | \$23.15 | 3.3745\% | 0.8446\% |
| MLLSFIED | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.2149\% | 0.0041\% |
| MLTON | \$22.57 | 100.0 | \$22.40 | 3.8303\% | 0.2487\% |
| MONROE | \$10.05 | 111.5 | \$15.17 | 1.5205\% | 0.1266\% |

## EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTHUTIITES AND RAILROAD

|  | PAGE1OF2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWNNAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFEDLOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALIZED } \\ & \text { RALLROADTAX } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EQUALZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTLITIES AND RAILROAD |
| MONT VERNON | 253,267,936 | 0 | 253,267,936 | 0 | 0 | 253,267,936 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 2,807,578,914 | 80,698,221 | 2,888,277,135 | 6,338,944 | 0 | 2,894,616,078 |
| NASHUA | 8,593,518,189 | -74,513,447 | 8,519,004,742 | 0 | 351,584 | 8,519,356,326 |
| NELSON | 121,094,027 | -314,250 | 120,779,777 | 0 | 0 | 120,779,777 |
| NEWBOSTON | 667,122,889 | -111,514,557 | 555,598,332 | 11,853 | 0 | 555,610,185 |
| NEWCASTLE | 707,754,631 | -106,847,327 | 600,907,304 | 0 | 0 | 600,907,304 |
| NEWDURHAM | 417,559,400 | -57,899 | 417,501,501 | 0 | 0 | 417,501,501 |
| NEWHAMPTON | 334,742,967 | -17,169,885 | 317,573,082 | 1,615,564 | 0 | 319,188,646 |
| NEWIPSMCH | 415,866,941 | -44,252,945 | 371,613,996 | 6,324 | 0 | 371,620,320 |
| NEWLONDON | 1,080,930,782 | 82,252,782 | 1,163,183,564 | 0 | 0 | 1,163,183,564 |
| NEWBURY | 705,586,059 | -2,532,897 | 703,053,162 | 66,896 | 0 | 703,120,058 |
| NEWFIELDS | 258,826,519 | -20,615,809 | 238,210,710 | 0 | 31,353 | 238,242,064 |
| NEMMGGTON | 932,163,631 | 43,427,375 | 975,591,006 | 1,096 | 48,149 | 975,640,252 |
| NEMMARKET | 759,870,361 | -1,564,375 | 758,305,986 | 931,182 | 60,989 | 759,298,157 |
| NEMPORT | 501,228,336 | -57,862,123 | 443,366,213 | 2,358,736 | 0 | 445,724,949 |
| NEWTON | 459,589,556 | -1,570,221 | 458,019,335 | 0 | 39,909 | 458,059,244 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 1,019,401,500 | -1,213,957 | 1,018,187,543 | 0 | 65,142 | 1,018,252,684 |
| NORTHFIED | 356,784,927 | -58,073,868 | 298,711,059 | 1,595,361 | 0 | 300,306,419 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 132,975,800 | -20,340,703 | 112,635,097 | 322,241 | 42,861 | 113,000,199 |
| NORTHMOOD | 474,708,844 | -37,962 | 474,670,882 | 323,985 | 0 | 474,994,868 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 532,896,849 | 19,638,510 | 552,535,359 | 442,632 | 0 | 552,977,992 |
| ODELL | 2,064,360 | 0 | 2,064,360 | 0 | 0 | 2,064,360 |
| ORANGE | 29,150,175 | -398,764 | 28,751,411 | 259,368 | 0 | 29,010,779 |
| ORFORD | 146,802,932 | -8,013,113 | 138,789,819 | 0 | 0 | 138,789,819 |
| OSSIPEE | 708,191,204 | -47,360,771 | 660,830,433 | 92,817 | 0 | 660,923,250 |
| PELHAM | 1,438,833,969 | -18,217,380 | 1,420,616,589 | 1,088,450 | 0 | 1,421,705,039 |
| PEMBROKE | 612,695,535 | -41,976,663 | 570,718,872 | 824,414 | 0 | 571,543,286 |
| PEIERBOROUGH | 704,307,236 | -1,418,510 | 702,888,726 | 2,668,950 | 0 | 705,557,676 |
| PIERMONT | 93,382,082 | 1 | 93,382,083 | 122,239 | 0 | 93,504,321 |
| PINKHAMS GRANT | 2,875,532 | 0 | 2,875,532 | 123,533 | 0 | 2,999,065 |
| PITTSBURG | 282,054,264 | -9,621,108 | 272,433,156 | 4,310,999 | 0 | 276,744,155 |
| PITISFEED | 264,509,633 | -17,335,309 | 247,174,324 | 270,784 | 0 | 247,445,108 |
| PLAINFELD | 287,355,842 | -3,551,136 | 283,804,706 | 48,175 | 0 | 283,852,881 |
| PLAISTOW | 841,975,408 | 78,436,157 | 920,411,565 | 0 | 55,737 | 920,467,303 |
| PLYMOUTH | 444,198,879 | -25,052,331 | 419,146,548 | 3,402,618 | 0 | 422,549,165 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 3,927,256,000 | 117,173,991 | 4,044,429,991 | 43,648,922 | 189,901 | 4,088,268,814 |
| RANDOLPH | 67,472,482 | -8,658,827 | 58,813,655 | 1,811,639 | 0 | 60,625,294 |
| RAYMOND | 1,031,591,266 | -152,175,489 | 879,415,777 | 475,736 | 0 | 879,891,513 |
| RICHMOND | 103,664,560 | -2,526,906 | 101,137,654 | 70,679 | 0 | 101,208,332 |
| RINDGE | 552,547,407 | -841,822 | 551,705,585 | 453,736 | 0 | 552,159,321 |
| ROCHESTER | 2,034,390,740 | 51,284,762 | 2,085,675,502 | 15,221,268 | 0 | 2,100,896,770 |
| ROUINSFORD | 262,966,503 | -1,533,537 | 261,432,966 | 0 | 38,025 | 261,470,991 |
| ROXBURY | 24,961,810 | -484,248 | 24,477,562 | 3,529,643 | 0 | 28,007,205 |
| RUMNEY | 191,047,846 | -5,142,914 | 185,904,932 | 1,120,943 | 0 | 187,025,875 |
| RYE | 1,789,497,690 | -2,984,118 | 1,786,513,572 | 632,132 | 7,326 | 1,787,153,031 |
| SALEM | 4,702,258,399 | -871,478,019 | 3,830,780,380 | 3,314,038 | 0 | 3,834,094,419 |
| SALISBURY | 154,511,100 | -19,720,048 | 134,791,052 | 4,278,772 | 0 | 139,069,825 |
| SANBORNTON | 431,322,589 | 7,051 | 431,329,640 | 2,207,146 | 0 | 433,536,786 |
| SANDOWN | 599,813,251 | -73,869,815 | 525,943,436 | 0 | 0 | 525,943,436 |
| SANDMCH | 440,065,726 | 40,499,733 | 480,565,459 | 1,179,312 | 0 | 481,744,771 |
| SARGENTSPURCHASE | 1,852,720 | 0 | 1,852,720 | 0 | 0 | 1,852,720 |
| SEABROOK | 2,889,474,550 | -473,317,226 | 2,416,157,324 | 0 | 0 | 2,416,157,324 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRANT | 1,434,022 | 0 | 1,434,022 | 0 | 0 | 1,434,022 |
| SHARON | 54,253,756 | -4,160,195 | 50,093,561 | 9,384 | 0 | 50,102,944 |


| EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTH UTIபTIES AND RAILROAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAGE2 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |
| TOWNNAME | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { TAXRATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { EQUALZATION } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | PUL VALUE TAXRATE | \%PROPORTIONTO COUNTYTAX | \%PROPORTIONTO STATE TAX |
| MONT VERNON | \$25.49 | 100.0 | \$25.37 | 0.6449\% | 0.1614\% |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | \$8.12 | 97.2 | \$7.86 | 22.6341\% | 1.8449\% |
| NASHUA | \$20.40 | 100.0 | \$20.15 | 21.6945\% | 5.4299\% |
| NELSON | \$19.11 | 100.0 | \$19.09 | 1.6700\% | 0.0770\% |
| NEWBOSTON | \$17.25 | 119.1 | \$20.58 | 1.4149\% | 0.3541\% |
| NEWCASTLE | \$5.80 | 117.7 | \$6.82 | 1.4636\% | 0.3830\% |
| NEWDURHAM | \$21.19 | 100.0 | \$21.10 | 4.0977\% | 0.2661\% |
| NEWHAMPTON | \$15.72 | 100.0 | \$16.28 | 3.0947\% | 0.2034\% |
| NEWIPSMCH | \$17.90 | 110.8 | \$19.87 | 0.9463\% | 0.2369\% |
| NEWLONDON | \$14.88 | 92.9 | \$13.80 | 7.6432\% | 0.7414\% |
| NEWBURY | \$13.85 | 100.0 | \$13.88 | 4.6201\% | 0.4481\% |
| NEMFIELS | \$22.19 | 108.7 | \$23.79 | 0.5803\% | 0.1518\% |
| NEMMNGTON | \$9.12 | 100.0 | \$7.57 | 2.3763\% | 0.6218\% |
| NEMMARKET | \$22.00 | 100.0 | \$21.52 | 1.8493\% | 0.4839\% |
| NEMPORT | \$24.02 | 111.9 | \$26.79 | 9.3449\% | 0.2841\% |
| NEWTON | \$23.84 | 100.0 | \$23.78 | 1.1156\% | 0.2919\% |
| NORTH HAMPTON | \$14.98 | 100.0 | \$14.77 | 2.4800\% | 0.6490\% |
| NORTHFELD | \$19.80 | 119.4 | \$23.11 | 1.9733\% | 0.1914\% |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | \$25.34 | 110.2 | \$29.22 | 3.7706\% | 0.0720\% |
| NORTHMOOD | \$24.56 | 100.0 | \$24.27 | 1.1569\% | 0.3027\% |
| NOTTINGHAM | \$19.92 | 96.4 | \$18.97 | 1.3468\% | 0.3524\% |
| ODELL | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0689\% | 0.0013\% |
| ORANGE | \$19.52 | 100.0 | \$19.47 | 0.2221\% | 0.0185\% |
| ORFORD | \$25.17 | 104.9 | \$26.56 | 1.0627\% | 0.0885\% |
| OSSIPEE | \$14.99 | 106.0 | \$15.92 | 5.1680\% | 0.4212\% |
| PELHAM | \$19.53 | 100.0 | \$19.55 | 3.6204\% | 0.9061\% |
| PEMBROKE | \$25.84 | 105.0 | \$27.43 | 3.7556\% | 0.3643\% |
| PEIERBOROUGH | \$24.28 | 100.0 | \$23.55 | 1.7967\% | 0.4497\% |
| PIERMONT | \$21.68 | 100.0 | \$21.53 | 0.7159\% | 0.0596\% |
| PINKHAMS GRANT | \$7.89 | 100.0 | \$7.48 | 0.1001\% | 0.0019\% |
| PITTSBURG | \$13.35 | 104.7 | \$13.52 | 9.2345\% | 0.1764\% |
| PITTSFIEL | \$30.66 | 107.2 | \$32.43 | 1.6259\% | 0.1577\% |
| PLAINFIED | \$23.75 | 100.0 | \$23.25 | 5.9512\% | 0.1809\% |
| PLAISTOW | \$24.72 | 90.3 | \$22.18 | 2.2419\% | 0.5867\% |
| PLYMOUTH | \$20.09 | 104.3 | \$21.00 | 3.2353\% | 0.2693\% |
| PORTSMOUTH | \$17.41 | 97.4 | \$16.51 | 9.9573\% | 2.6057\% |
| RANDOLPH | \$14.59 | 113.1 | \$16.09 | 2.0230\% | 0.0386\% |
| RAYMOND | \$18.14 | 115.9 | \$20.91 | 2.1431\% | 0.5608\% |
| RICHMOND | \$24.76 | 100.0 | \$25.24 | 1.3994\% | 0.0645\% |
| RINDGE | \$23.52 | 100.0 | \$23.06 | 7.6345\% | 0.3519\% |
| ROCHESTER | \$23.89 | 97.0 | \$22.75 | 20.6199\% | 1.3390\% |
| ROШINSFORD | \$20.53 | 100.0 | \$20.35 | 2.5663\% | 0.1667\% |
| ROXBURY | \$23.11 | 101.0 | \$20.50 | 0.3872\% | 0.0179\% |
| RUMNEY | \$18.33 | 100.0 | \$18.56 | 1.4320\% | 0.1192\% |
| RYE | \$9.99 | 100.0 | \$9.95 | 4.3528\% | 1.1391\% |
| SALEM | \$14.84 | 122.0 | \$18.07 | 9.3383\% | 2.4437\% |
| SALISBURY | \$18.77 | 110.1 | \$20.49 | 0.9138\% | 0.0886\% |
| SANBORNTON | \$18.99 | 100.0 | \$18.84 | 4.2034\% | 0.2763\% |
| SANDOWN | \$20.38 | 114.1 | \$22.87 | 1.2810\% | 0.3352\% |
| SANDMCH | \$10.81 | 90.6 | \$9.83 | 3.7669\% | 0.3070\% |
| SARGENTS PURCHASE | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0618\% | 0.0012\% |
| SEABROOK | \$13.27 | 100.0 | \$14.16 | 5.8848\% | 1.5400\% |
| SECOND COLEGE GRANT | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.0479\% | 0.0009\% |
| SHARON | \$19.92 | 108.0 | \$21.50 | 0.1276\% | 0.0319\% |

## EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTHUTIITES AND RAILROAD

|  |  | PAGE1OF2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWN NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIREDLOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZFD } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUAUZED PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZFD } \\ & \text { RAILROAD TAX } \end{aligned}$ | TOTALEQUALIED VALUATION INCLUDING UTIITIES AND RAILROAD |
| SHELBURNE | 82,437,455 | -13,955,691 | 68,481,764 | 790,399 | 105,504 | 69,377,668 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 839,157,275 | -2,371,023 | 836,786,252 | 2,598,829 | 0 | 839,385,081 |
| SOUTHHAMPTON | 163,215,857 | -18,373,415 | 144,842,442 | 3,990 | 0 | 144,846,432 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 197,316,339 | -1,274,974 | 196,041,365 | 468,499 | 911 | 196,510,776 |
| STARK | 68,461,600 | -2,063,164 | 66,398,436 | 1,267,294 | 123,681 | 67,789,410 |
| STEWARTSTOMN | 114,625,640 | -21,385,942 | 93,239,698 | 91,346 | 0 | 93,331,044 |
| STODDARD | 280,483,050 | -3,358,636 | 277,124,414 | 64,110 | 0 | 277,188,524 |
| STRAFFORD | 500,577,900 | -53,413,466 | 447,164,434 | 0 | 0 | 447,164,434 |
| STRATFORD | 75,732,891 | -16,887,940 | 58,844,951 | 701,399 | 158,266 | 59,704,616 |
| STRATHAM | 1,204,469,963 | -32,489,484 | 1,171,980,479 | 0 | 10,156 | 1,171,990,634 |
| SUCCESS | 11,010,689 | 0 | 11,010,689 | 0 | 0 | 11,010,689 |
| SUGAR HILL | 149,350,913 | 12,637,700 | 161,988,613 | 0 | 0 | 161,988,613 |
| SULLVAN | 55,930,587 | -692,218 | 55,238,369 | 0 | 0 | 55,238,369 |
| SUNAPEE | 1,147,046,470 | 67,640,313 | 1,214,686,783 | 0 | 0 | 1,214,686,783 |
| SURRY | 81,681,108 | 469,825 | 82,150,933 | 4,704,079 | 0 | 86,855,012 |
| SUTTON | 266,277,744 | 10,922,370 | 277,200,114 | 1,895 | 0 | 277,202,009 |
| SWANZEY | 588,493,820 | -8,464,438 | 580,029,382 | 612,745 | 0 | 580,642,127 |
| TAMMORTH | 368,405,276 | -12,982,347 | 355,422,929 | 2,187,346 | 0 | 357,610,274 |
| TEMPLE | 151,796,734 | -1,231,242 | 150,565,492 | 35,151 | 0 | 150,600,643 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 5,450,736 | 0 | 5,450,736 | 592,735 | 0 | 6,043,471 |
| THORNTON | 368,031,001 | -20,509,900 | 347,521,101 | 896,785 | 0 | 348,417,885 |
| TILTON | 517,673,185 | -11,600,871 | 506,072,314 | 0 | 0 | 506,072,314 |
| TROY | 130,232,553 | -8,461,424 | 121,771,129 | 8,815 | 0 | 121,779,944 |
| TUFTONBORO | 1,025,004,365 | -7,127,976 | 1,017,876,389 | 706,714 | 0 | 1,018,583,103 |
| UNITY | 131,969,870 | -1,836,637 | 130,133,233 | 0 | 0 | 130,133,233 |
| WAKEFIELD | 882,081,260 | -54,809 | 882,026,451 | 693,499 | 0 | 882,719,950 |
| WALPOLE | 443,036,384 | -34,155,580 | 408,880,804 | 0 | 28,927 | 408,909,731 |
| WARNER | 280,744,785 | -3,251,050 | 277,493,735 | 833,283 | 0 | 278,327,018 |
| WARREN | 86,304,915 | -5,499,956 | 80,804,959 | 919,372 | 0 | 81,724,332 |
| WASHINGTON | 249,855,265 | -14,627,273 | 235,227,992 | 244,993 | 0 | 235,472,985 |
| WATERVLLE VALLEY | 361,196,109 | -87,001 | 361,109,108 | 2,336,190 | 0 | 363,445,298 |
| WEARE | 945,939,656 | -167,351,563 | 778,588,093 | 2,843,469 | 0 | 781,431,562 |
| WEBSTER | 231,758,531 | -36,018,327 | 195,740,204 | 1,696,662 | 0 | 197,436,865 |
| WENTWORTH | 107,797,526 | -21,213,743 | 86,583,783 | 190,313 | 0 | 86,774,096 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 9,246,572 | 0 | 9,246,572 | 17,807 | 0 | 9,264,379 |
| WESTMORELAND | 205,334,667 | -22,585,067 | 182,749,600 | 934 | 0 | 182,750,534 |
| WHITEFIELD | 206,834,430 | -28,162,345 | 178,672,085 | 2,729,707 | 6,901 | 181,408,692 |
| WLMOT | 176,386,721 | -418,470 | 175,968,251 | 82,818 | 0 | 176,051,069 |
| WLTON | 461,290,317 | -94,382,698 | 366,907,619 | 291,736 | 5,174 | 367,204,529 |
| WNCHESTER | 284,068,392 | -7,123,112 | 276,945,280 | 894,315 | 0 | 277,839,595 |
| WNDHAM | 2,012,942,270 | 82,171,727 | 2,095,113,997 | 503,064 | 0 | 2,095,617,061 |
| WNDSOR | 26,982,333 | -3,864,345 | 23,117,988 | 1,070,624 | 0 | 24,188,612 |
| WOLFEBORO | 2,053,586,172 | -11,966 | 2,053,574,206 | 1,644,726 | 0 | 2,055,218,932 |
| WOODSTOCK | 232,957,710 | 16,002,201 | 248,959,911 | 1,626,972 | 0 | 250,586,883 |
| TOTALS | 164,657,049,542 | -8,231,071,600 | 156,425,977,942 | 466,962,064 | 4,272,102 | 156,897,212,108 |


| EQUAUZATION SURVEY WTH UTIITIES AND RALLROAD |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PAGE2 OF 2 |  |  |  |  |
| TOWNNAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2010LOCAL } \\ & \text { TAXRATE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { EQUALZATION } \\ & \text { RATIO } \end{aligned}$ | FUL VALUE TAXRATE | \%PROPORTIONTO COUNTYTAX | \%PROPORTIONTO STATETAX |
| SHELBURNE | \$14.23 | 110.8 | \$15.98 | 2.3150\% | 0.0442\% |
| SOMERSWORTH | \$27.32 | 100.0 | \$27.20 | 8.2384\% | 0.5350\% |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | \$15.22 | 112.0 | \$16.86 | 0.3528\% | 0.0923\% |
| SPRINGFELD | \$19.19 | 100.0 | \$19.07 | 4.1200\% | 0.1252\% |
| STARK | \$15.91 | 100.0 | \$15.63 | 2.2620\% | 0.0432\% |
| STEWARTSTOW | \$17.62 | 116.8 | \$21.21 | 3.1143\% | 0.0595\% |
| STODDARD | \$12.80 | 100.0 | \$12.89 | 3.8326\% | 0.1767\% |
| STRAFFORD | \$19.76 | 112.0 | \$22.08 | 4.3888\% | 0.2850\% |
| STRATFORD | \$20.00 | 117.9 | \$24.20 | 1.9923\% | 0.0381\% |
| STRATHAM | \$18.73 | 102.6 | \$19.15 | 2.8545\% | 0.7470\% |
| SUCCESS | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | 0.3674\% | 0.0070\% |
| SUGAR HIL | \$17.97 | 92.1 | \$16.54 | 1.2403\% | 0.1032\% |
| SULLIVAN | \$25.53 | 100.0 | \$25.46 | 0.7638\% | 0.0352\% |
| SUNAPEE | \$13.63 | 94.0 | \$12.85 | 25.4668\% | 0.7742\% |
| SURRY | \$15.85 | 100.0 | \$14.86 | 1.2009\% | 0.0554\% |
| SUTTON | \$20.83 | 96.0 | \$19.94 | 1.8215\% | 0.1767\% |
| SWANZEY | \$24.48 | 100.0 | \$24.39 | 8.0283\% | 0.3701\% |
| TAMMORTH | \$17.75 | 100.0 | \$18.06 | 2.7963\% | 0.2279\% |
| TEMPLE | \$21.26 | 100.0 | \$21.37 | 0.3835\% | 0.0960\% |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | \$1.34 | 100.0 | \$1.21 | 0.2017\% | 0.0039\% |
| THORNTON | \$17.16 | 105.3 | \$18.03 | 2.6677\% | 0.2221\% |
| TILTON | \$18.65 | 100.0 | \$18.80 | 4.9067\% | 0.3226\% |
| TROY | \$26.75 | 100.0 | \$28.29 | 1.6838\% | 0.0776\% |
| TUFTONBORO | \$8.49 | 100.0 | \$8.51 | 7.9647\% | 0.6492\% |
| UNITY | \$21.89 | 100.0 | \$22.12 | 2.7283\% | 0.0829\% |
| WAKEFIELD | \$11.69 | 100.0 | \$11.62 | 6.9023\% | 0.5626\% |
| WALPOLE | \$19.26 | 108.0 | \$20.74 | 5.6539\% | 0.2606\% |
| WARNER | \$24.97 | 100.0 | \$25.01 | 1.8289\% | 0.1774\% |
| WARREN | \$18.74 | 100.0 | \$19.49 | 0.6257\% | 0.0521\% |
| WASHINGTON | \$16.98 | 105.4 | \$17.97 | 4.9369\% | 0.1501\% |
| WATERVLEVAШEY | \$11.95 | 100.0 | \$11.87 | 2.7827\% | 0.2316\% |
| WEARE | \$17.33 | 119.9 | \$20.75 | 1.9899\% | 0.4981\% |
| WEBSTER | \$16.99 | 113.3 | \$19.72 | 1.2973\% | 0.1258\% |
| WENTWORTH | \$17.00 | 118.8 | \$20.85 | 0.6644\% | 0.0553\% |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | \$4.89 | 100.0 | \$4.86 | 0.3091\% | 0.0059\% |
| WESTMORELAND | \$17.05 | 111.4 | \$19.11 | 2.5268\% | 0.1165\% |
| Whiterield | \$19.82 | 110.9 | \$22.28 | 6.0533\% | 0.1156\% |
| WLMOT | \$20.57 | 100.0 | \$20.57 | 1.1568\% | 0.1122\% |
| WLTON | \$18.77 | 125.6 | \$23.55 | 0.9351\% | 0.2340\% |
| WNCHESTER | \$25.93 | 100.0 | \$26.26 | 3.8416\% | 0.1771\% |
| WNDHAM | \$21.98 | 95.9 | \$20.93 | 5.1041\% | 1.3357\% |
| MNDSOR | \$19.89 | 117.4 | \$22.15 | 0.0616\% | 0.0154\% |
| WOLFEBORO | \$11.04 | 100.0 | \$11.01 | 16.0705\% | 1.3099\% |
| WOODSTOCK | \$17.31 | 93.5 | \$15.95 | 1.9186\% | 0.1597\% |
| TOTALS | \$18.81 | 105.4 | \$19.56 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |


| 2010 SCHOOL SET-OFF DISTRICTS TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION FOR SCHOOL PURPOSES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITIES USED TO APPORTION LOCAL SCHOOL TAX | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION NOT INCLUDING UTILITIES USED TO APPORTION STATE SCHOOL TAX | BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMIT FOR SCHOOL PURPOSES ONLY |
| CONCORD - CONCORD UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT | 3,493,792,834 | 3,439,148,487 | 3,475,731,967 |
| PENACOOK - MERRIMACK VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT | 381,367,832 | 320,236,169 | 379,406,743 |
| LOUDON SCHOOL DISTRICT | 514,323,926 | 508,962,029 | 510,459,675 |
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This report presents the results of the 2010 Equalization Survey "not including utilities and railroads". It was conducted by the New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration pursuant to RSA 21-J:3 XIII (Revised 4/1/99) which states:
"XIII. Equalize annually by May 1 the valuation of the property as assessed in the several towns, cities, and unincorporated places in the state including the value of property exempt pursuant to RSA 72:37, 72:37-b, 72:39-a, 72:62, 72:66 and 72:70 by adding to or deducting from the aggregate valuation of the property in towns, cities and unincorporated places such sums as will bring such valuations to the true and market value of the property, and by making such adjustments in the value of other property from which the towns, cities, and unincorporated places receive taxes or payments in lieu of taxes as may be equitable and just, so that any public taxes that may be apportioned among them shall be equal and just. In carrying out the duty to equalize the valuation of property, the commissioner shall follow the procedures set forth in RSA 21-J:9-a."

To accomplish the foregoing, assessment ratio studies were conducted to determine how the average level of assessment for all cities and towns compares with the statutory $100 \%$ ratio so that taxes can be apportioned equitably.

The Department of Revenue Administration has completed its process of calculating the total equalized valuation of all the municipalities and unincorporated places throughout the state. The ratios reported for each municipality in this survey were derived by comparing the sale prices of sales of land, land and buildings and manufactured housing, covering the period of October 1, 2009, to September 30, 2010, against the actual assessments levied by the municipalities. Sales information was verified by the grantee whenever possible by means of the Inventory of Property Transfer, Form PA-34. Our goal is to use only arm's-length sales that transferred for market value in this analysis. Every effort has been made to exclude nonarm's length transactions including but not limited to: sales involving courts and government agencies, sales between relatives or corporate affiliates, sales settling an estate, forced sales, etc.

Every municipality was notified of its 2010 sales assessment ratio. These ratios were used in conjunction with other Department of Revenue adjustments to determine each municipality's total equalized value for purposes of RSA 21-J:3 XIII.

The following is an explanation of the information contained in this report.
MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION: The modified assessed valuation for each municipality as reported to the Department of Revenue Administration on the municipality's 2010 Summary Inventory of Valuation (MS-1 Form) "not including utility values taxed
pursuant to RSA 83-F ".
GROSS LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION: Sum of all assessed values not including utility values in the municipality

D.R.A. INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT: The sum of the adjustments of the net local assessed valuation divided into two categories.

Category 1: The total modified local assessed value of land (excluding land in current use, conservation restriction assessment, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement, taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures and utilities), buildings and manufactured housing is equalized by the 2010 equalization ratio. The difference between the net local assessed value of land, buildings and manufactured housing and the equalized value equals the D.R.A. adjustment for land, buildings, and manufactured housing.

Category 2: Pursuant to RSA 79-A:6-a, 79-B:8, RSA 79-C RSA 79-D and RSA 79-F an adjustment for land in current use, conservation restriction assessment, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement, and taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures is made by dividing the total local assessed value of land in current use by the 2009 equalization ratio to obtain the equalized value of current use, conservation restriction
assessments, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement and taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures. If a municipality has had a full revaluation as defined by Rev 603.01 (d), a ratio of 100.0 is used. The difference between the assessed value of land in current use and the equalized current use value equals the D.R.A. adjustment for current use, conservation easements, discretionary easement, discretionary preservation easement and taxation of farm structures and land under farm structures.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION: The sum of the modified local assessed valuation plus the D.R.A. inventory adjustment.

PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES: The equalized value for payments received in lieu of taxes including State and Federal Forest Reimbursements, Recreational Land Reimbursements, Flood Land Reimbursements, and others.

TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION NOT INCLUDING UTILITY VALUE AND EQUALIZED RAILROAD: The sum of the equalized assessed valuation, and the equalized value of payments in lieu of taxes.

The 2008 "total equalized valuation not including utility and equalized value of railroad monies reimbursed to municipalities" was used to apportion the education property tax for the tax year 2010. The 2008 total equalized valuation not including utilities and the value of railroad monies reimbursed to municipalities will be used to apportion the education property tax for the tax year 2010.

EQUALIZATION RATIO: The 2010 sales assessment ratio as determined by a ratio study conducted by the Department of Revenue Administration's equalization staff.
\% PROPORTION TO STATE TAX: The percentage of proportion to $\$ 1,000$ of tax that is to be allocated to each town within the state by comparing each town's total equalized value to the total equalized value of the state.

STATE \& COUNTY RATIOS: The state and county gross assessed values divided by the total equalized valuation. The state and county ratios are calculated for statistical purposes only. They are not applied to any figure as part of the equalization process.

## APPEAL OF TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION

Municipalities were sent their 2010 Notification of Total Equalized Valuation on April 30, 2011.

Per RSA 71-B:5 II, any municipality aggrieved by the total equalized valuation as determined by the D.R.A. must appeal to the Board of Tax and Land Appeals in writing within 30 days of the town's notification of the municipality's total equalized valuation.

The appeal period is not extended due to any communication, either verbal or written, between the D.R.A. and a municipality regarding the total equalized valuation.

| EQUALZATION SURVEY NOT INCLUDING UTIปTIES AND RALROAD SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIRED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZFD } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUALIZED PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES * | TOTAL EQUALZFD VALUATION NOT INCLUDING UTIUTIES ANDRALLROAD | $2010 \text { EQ }$ RATIO | \%PROPORTION TOSTATE TAX |
| BELKNAP | 10,143,742,371 | 72,247,796 | 10,215,990,167 | 23,473,038 | 10,239,463,204 | 99.6 | 6.7276\% |
| CARROL | 12,553,561,536 | 134,437,808 | 12,687,999,344 | 24,940,653 | 12,712,939,997 | 99.3 | 8.3527\% |
| CHESHRE | 7,151,091,248 | -132,995,229 | 7,018,096,019 | 16,015,531 | 7,034,111,551 | 103.0 | 4.6216\% |
| COOS | 2,933,241,054 | -187,993,422 | 2,745,247,632 | 27,001,911 | 2,772,249,543 | 113.4 | 18214\% |
| GRAFTON | 12,879,030,548 | -310,272,814 | 12,568,757,734 | 102,708,201 | 12,671,465,936 | 105.7 | 8.3254\% |
| HLШSBOROUGH | 42,293,379,634 | -3,668,840,287 | 38,624,539,346 | 60,388,486 | 38,684,927,832 | 110.2 | 25.4169\% |
| MERRIMACK | 14,920,851,282 | -272,148,757 | 14,648,702,525 | 60,949,235 | 14,709,651,760 | 102.7 | 9.6646\% |
| ROCKINGHAM | 40,216,846,129 | -1,722,484,920 | 38,494,361,209 | 121,780,865 | 38,616,142,074 | 106.6 | 25.3717\% |
| STRAFFORD | 10,145,404,020 | -91,887,285 | 10,053,516,735 | 23,369,495 | 10,076,886,230 | 101.4 | 6.6207\% |
| SUШVAN | 4,688,684,617 | -48,015,110 | 4,640,669,507 | 6,334,650 | 4,647,004,157 | 100.6 | 3.0532\% |
| STATE TOTALS | 157,925,832,439 | -6,227,952,219 | 151,697,880,219 | 466,962,064 | 152,164,842,283 | 105.4 | 100.00\% |


| **TOWN NAME | BUTILTY COMPANY NAME | VALUATION | RATIO | VALUE |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EQUALIFD |  |  |  |  |

[^3]
## EQUALZATION SURVEY NOT INCLUDING UTLTIES AND RALLROAD

| MUNCIPAUTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIRED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EQUALZED } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUAUZFD PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES * | TOTAL EQUALZFD VALUATIONNOT INCLUDING UTIUTIES ANDRAILROAD | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \mathrm{EQ} \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% } \\ \text { PROPORTION } \\ \text { TO STATE TAX } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACWORTH | 102,557,720 | 0 | 102,557,720 | 11,788 | 102,569,508 | 100.0 | 0.0674\% |
| ALBANY | 102,425,649 | 3,375,507 | 105,801,156 | 2,587,947 | 108,389,104 | 96.8 | 0.0712\% |
| ALEXANDRIA | 223,209,570 | -30,513,078 | 192,696,492 | 230,741 | 192,927,232 | 115.9 | 0.1268\% |
| AUENSTOWN | 259,758,684 | 0 | 259,758,684 | 348,660 | 260,107,344 | 100.0 | 0.1709\% |
| ALSTEAD | 174,248,168 | -18,933,161 | 155,315,007 | 119 | 155,315,126 | 112.3 | 0.1020\% |
| ALTON | 1,498,982,150 | 25,912,620 | 1,524,894,770 | 369,123 | 1,525,263,893 | 98.3 | 1.0021\% |
| AMHERST | 1,835,170,500 | -160,734,655 | 1,674,435,845 | 1,067,328 | 1,675,503,173 | 109.6 | 1.1008\% |
| ANDOVER | 252,727,661 | -25,114,255 | 227,613,406 | 15,311 | 227,628,717 | 111.1 | 0.1496\% |
| ANIRIM | 246,888,510 | 66,383 | 246,954,893 | 93,206 | 247,048,099 | 100.0 | 0.1623\% |
| ASHLAND | 258,427,861 | -16,680,564 | 241,747,297 | 2,793,253 | 244,540,550 | 106.9 | 0.1607\% |
| ATKINSON | 1,025,570,868 | -170,923,030 | 854,647,838 | 0 | 854,647,838 | 120.0 | 0.5615\% |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 685,082 | 0 | 685,082 | 0 | 685,082 | 100.0 | 0.0005\% |
| AUBURN | 592,989,988 | -62,760 | 592,927,228 | 38,727,643 | 631,654,871 | 100.0 | 0.4150\% |
| BARNSTEAD | 459,713,199 | 15,621,591 | 475,334,790 | 0 | 475,334,790 | 96.7 | 0.3123\% |
| BARRINGTON | 895,489,924 | -63,187,719 | 832,302,205 | 750,312 | 833,052,518 | 107.6 | 0.5473\% |
| BARTLETT | 965,393,418 | 21,701,213 | 987,094,631 | 1,630,774 | 988,725,406 | 97.8 | 0.6496\% |
| BATH | 108,386,180 | 0 | 108,386,180 | 10,467,248 | 118,853,428 | 100.0 | 0.0781\% |
| BEANS GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 16,880 | 0 | 16,880 | 0 | 16,880 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| BEDFORD | 3,333,266,220 | -112,704,278 | 3,220,561,942 | 6,566 | 3,220,568,509 | 103.5 | 2.1160\% |
| BELMONT | 727,835,840 | -76,692,234 | 651,143,606 | 674,523 | 651,818,129 | 111.8 | 0.4283\% |
| BENNINGTON | 111,958,693 | -7,754,790 | 104,203,903 | 19,330 | 104,223,232 | 107.6 | 0.0685\% |
| BENTON | 25,930,089 | 711,366 | 26,641,455 | 1,279,144 | 27,920,599 | 97.3 | 0.0183\% |
| BERUN | 335,039,457 | 0 | 335,039,457 | 2,618,476 | 337,657,933 | 100.0 | 0.2218\% |
| BETHEHEM | 259,433,171 | 0 | 259,433,171 | 2,228,405 | 261,661,576 | 100.0 | 0.1719\% |
| BOSCAWEN | 269,301,536 | -32,597,374 | 236,704,162 | 25,790 | 236,729,952 | 113.8 | 0.1555\% |
| BOW | 918,215,931 | 26,357 | 918,242,288 | 6,363 | 918,248,651 | 100.0 | 0.6033\% |
| BRADFORD | 214,918,762 | 0 | 214,918,762 | 52,189 | 214,970,951 | 100.0 | 0.1412\% |
| BRENTWOOD | 463,096,596 | 0 | 463,096,596 | 0 | 463,096,596 | 100.0 | 0.3043\% |
| BRIDGEWATER | 364,484,700 | -25,095,117 | 339,389,583 | 0 | 339,389,583 | 107.4 | 0.2230\% |
| BRISTOL | 549,253,416 | -66,630,007 | 482,623,409 | 595,664 | 483,219,073 | 113.8 | 0.3175\% |
| BROOKRED | 101,526,300 | 0 | 101,526,300 | 0 | 101,526,300 | 100.0 | 0.0667\% |
| BROOKLNE | 576,999,871 | -90,442,032 | 486,557,839 | 0 | 486,557,839 | 118.6 | 0.3197\% |
| CAMBRIDGE | 8,147,014 | 0 | 8,147,014 | 0 | 8,147,014 | 100.0 | 0.0054\% |
| CAMPTON | 395,863,617 | 0 | 395,863,617 | 148,583 | 396,012,200 | 100.0 | 0.2602\% |
| CANAAN | 354,032,694 | 0 | 354,032,694 | 132,278 | 354,164,972 | 100.0 | 0.2327\% |
| CANDIA | 401,515,583 | -34,027,475 | 367,488,108 | 14,919 | 367,503,027 | 109.3 | 0.2415\% |
| CANIERBURY | 305,838,993 | -40,544,401 | 265,294,592 | 275,170 | 265,569,763 | 115.3 | 0.1745\% |
| CARROL | 393,865,499 | -43,763,303 | 350,102,196 | 897,513 | 350,999,709 | 112.5 | 0.2306\% |
| CENIER HARBOR | 469,820,053 | -55,096,170 | 414,723,883 | 0 | 414,723,883 | 113.3 | 0.2725\% |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 47,210 | 0 | 47,210 | 0 | 47,210 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| CHARLESTOWN | 278,547,770 | 0 | 278,547,770 | 495,398 | 279,043,168 | 100.0 | 0.1833\% |
| CHATHAM | 50,722,092 | 2,019,512 | 52,741,604 | 1,546,025 | 54,287,629 | 96.2 | 0.0357\% |
| CHESTER | 568,318,500 | -92,266,845 | 476,051,655 | 0 | 476,051,655 | 119.4 | 0.3128\% |

## EQUALZATION SURVEY NOT INCLUDING UTLITIES AND RALLROAD

| MUNICIPAUTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIRED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZFD } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUALZFD PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES * | TOTAL EQUAUZFD VALUATION NOT INCLUDINGUTIITIES ANDRAILROAD | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \mathrm{EQ} \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | \% PROPORTION TOSTATETAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHESTERFELD | 557,289,300 | -73,673 | 557,215,627 | 864,454 | 558,080,081 | 100.0 | 0.3667\% |
| CHCHESTER | 288,837,507 | -42,742,537 | 246,094,970 | 0 | 246,094,970 | 117.4 | 0.1617\% |
| CLAREMONT | 806,063,640 | -52,568,439 | 753,495,201 | 2,629,586 | 756,124,787 | 107.0 | 0.4968\% |
| CLARKSVШE | 39,168,197 | 0 | 39,168,197 | 3,808,952 | 42,977,149 | 100.0 | 0.0282\% |
| COLFBROOK | 174,423,498 | -15,297,088 | 159,126,410 | 0 | 159,126,410 | 109.6 | 0.1045\% |
| COLUMBIA | 63,861,689 | 0 | 63,861,689 | 255,257 | 64,116,946 | 100.0 | 0.0421\% |
| CONCORD | 3,735,768,200 | -34,649 | 3,735,733,551 | 19,907,206 | 3,755,640,757 | 100.0 | 2.4675\% |
| CONWAY | 1,364,283,100 | 68,927,996 | 1,433,211,096 | 1,067,621 | 1,434,278,717 | 95.2 | 0.9424\% |
| CORNSH | 180,611,119 | -152,756 | 180,458,363 | 0 | 180,458,363 | 100.0 | 0.1186\% |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 162,110 | 0 | 162,110 | 0 | 162,110 | 100.0 | 0.0001\% |
| CROYDON | 91,514,377 | 4,795,764 | 96,310,141 | 0 | 96,310,141 | 95.0 | 0.0633\% |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| DALTON | 88,899,992 | -6,817,252 | 82,082,740 | 21,573 | 82,104,312 | 108.5 | 0.0539\% |
| DANBURY | 143,100,710 | -23,109,376 | 119,991,334 | 0 | 119,991,334 | 119.4 | 0.0788\% |
| DANVしF | 440,004,060 | -110,119,672 | 329,884,388 | 170 | 329,884,558 | 133.4 | 0.2167\% |
| DEERFELD | 471,670,364 | 0 | 471,670,364 | 126,763 | 471,797,127 | 100.0 | 0.3100\% |
| DEERING | 190,084,595 | 0 | 190,084,595 | 37,517 | 190,122,112 | 100.0 | 0.1249\% |
| DERRY | 2,495,263,720 | 53,813 | 2,495,317,533 | 2,442,409 | 2,497,759,942 | 100.0 | 1.6411\% |
| DIX GRANT | 926,086 | 0 | 926,086 | 0 | 926,086 | 100.0 | 0.0006\% |
| DIXVШE | 16,561,149 | 0 | 16,561,149 | 6,462 | 16,567,611 | 100.0 | 0.0109\% |
| DORCHESTER | 39,128,050 | 0 | 39,128,050 | 28,106 | 39,156,156 | 100.0 | 0.0257\% |
| DOVER | 2,622,160,300 | 123,565,163 | 2,745,725,463 | 2,424,910 | 2,748,150,374 | 95.5 | 1.8056\% |
| DUBLN | 252,419,571 | 0 | 252,419,571 | 1,247,947 | 253,667,518 | 100.0 | 0.1667\% |
| DUMMER | 31,380,884 | -30,007 | 31,350,877 | 0 | 31,350,877 | 100.1 | 0.0206\% |
| DUNBARTON | 281,379,962 | -77,731 | 281,302,231 | 3,335,827 | 284,638,058 | 100.0 | 0.1870\% |
| DURHAM | 883,712,359 | 0 | 883,712,359 | 2,021,334 | 885,733,693 | 100.0 | 0.5819\% |
| EAST KINGSTON | 277,010,428 | 0 | 277,010,428 | 2,301 | 277,012,729 | 100.0 | 0.1820\% |
| EASTON | 64,262,775 | 0 | 64,262,775 | 703,097 | 64,965,872 | 100.0 | 0.0427\% |
| EATON | 109,992,390 | -6,164,187 | 103,828,203 | 0 | 103,828,203 | 106.0 | 0.0682\% |
| EPINGHAM | 174,787,903 | 0 | 174,787,903 | 2,029,125 | 176,817,028 | 100.0 | 0.1162\% |
| ELSWORTH | 12,903,790 | 221,441 | 13,125,231 | 626,652 | 13,751,883 | 98.3 | 0.0090\% |
| ENFIED | 548,110,886 | 76,940 | 548,187,826 | 0 | 548,187,826 | 100.0 | 0.3602\% |
| EPPING | 604,464,900 | 16,046,947 | 620,511,847 | 0 | 620,511,847 | 97.4 | 0.4077\% |
| EPSOM | 394,714,769 | 10,071,590 | 404,786,359 | 1,281,714 | 406,068,073 | 97.5 | 0.2668\% |
| ERROL | 75,988,709 | 22,914 | 76,011,623 | 2,100,398 | 78,112,021 | 100.0 | 0.0513\% |
| ERVNG'S GRANT | 82,104 | 0 | 82,104 | 0 | 82,104 | 100.0 | 0.0001\% |
| EXEIER | 1,562,878,422 | 33,516,395 | 1,596,394,817 | 1,565,339 | 1,597,960,156 | 97.9 | 1.0499\% |
| FARMNGTON | 468,607,220 | -44,370,389 | 424,236,831 | 247,895 | 424,484,726 | 110.5 | 0.2789\% |
| RTZWШАМ | 271,522,571 | -27,597,132 | 243,925,439 | 30,663 | 243,956,101 | 111.3 | 0.1603\% |
| PRANCESTOWN | 207,752,339 | -13,389,162 | 194,363,177 | 0 | 194,363,177 | 107.0 | 0.1277\% |
| PRANCONA | 304,297,863 | -21,700,136 | 282,597,727 | 1,535,499 | 284,133,227 | 107.7 | 0.1867\% |
| PRANKLN | 541,979,490 | 32,172 | 542,011,662 | 6,567,443 | 548,579,105 | 100.0 | 0.3604\% |
| PREEDOM | 554,070,970 | -38,177,269 | 515,893,701 | 0 | 515,893,701 | 107.4 | 0.3390\% |
| PREMONT | 354,449,390 | -105,291 | 354,344,099 | 0 | 354,344,099 | 100.0 | 0.2328\% |


| MUNICIPALTY | MODIRED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALZED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION | EQUALZED PAYMENTIN UEUOFTAXES * | TOTAL EQUALZED VALUATIONNOT INCLUDINGUTLITES ANDRAILROAD | 2010 EQ RATIO | \% PROPORTION TOSTATETAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GILFORD | 1,542,465,850 | 163,843,990 | 1,706,309,840 | 488,661 | 1,706,798,501 | 90.4 | 1.1214\% |
| GILMANTON | 467,924,833 | 117,679 | 468,042,512 | 121,329 | 468,163,840 | 100.0 | 0.3076\% |
| GISUM | 62,859,040 | 48,109 | 62,907,149 | 0 | 62,907,149 | 100.0 | 0.0413\% |
| GOFPSTOWN | 1,395,845,600 | -49,767,687 | 1,346,077,913 | 0 | 1,346,077,913 | 103.7 | 0.8844\% |
| GORHAM | 259,793,700 | -24,037,584 | 235,756,116 | 922,899 | 236,679,015 | 110.2 | 0.1555\% |
| GOSHEN | 74,502,913 | -80,403 | 74,422,510 | 31,897 | 74,454,407 | 100.0 | 0.0489\% |
| GRAFTON | 123,789,654 | 0 | 123,789,654 | 0 | 123,789,654 | 100.0 | 0.0813\% |
| GRANTHAM | 501,593,739 | -29,289 | 501,564,450 | 0 | 501,564,450 | 100.0 | 0.3295\% |
| GREENPED | 153,179,322 | -10,955,211 | 142,224,111 | 9,216,283 | 151,440,394 | 107.7 | 0.0995\% |
| GREFNLAND | 648,367,300 | -10,975 | 648,356,325 | 0 | 648,356,325 | 100.0 | 0.4260\% |
| GREENS GRANT | 4,005,123 | 0 | 4,005,123 | 109,999 | 4,115,122 | 100.0 | 0.0027\% |
| GREEMUE | 131,174,146 | -27,250,488 | 103,923,658 | 1,356,728 | 105,280,386 | 126.3 | 0.0692\% |
| GROTON | 66,636,211 | -7,850,935 | 58,785,276 | 50,347 | 58,835,623 | 113.6 | 0.0387\% |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| HALESLOCATION | 73,848,100 | -4,180,081 | 69,668,019 | 68,586 | 69,736,605 | 106.0 | 0.0458\% |
| HAMPSTEAD | 994,618,830 | 0 | 994,618,830 | 1,162,791 | 995,781,621 | 100.0 | 0.6543\% |
| HAMPTON | 2,993,335,500 | -219,150,780 | 2,774,184,720 | 0 | 2,774,184,720 | 107.9 | 1.8227\% |
| HAMPTONFALS | 423,372,700 | -22,190 | 423,350,510 | 0 | 423,350,510 | 100.0 | 0.2782\% |
| HANCOCK | 269,286,150 | -25,574,437 | 243,711,713 | 1,893,520 | 245,605,233 | 110.5 | 0.1614\% |
| HANOVER | 1,937,495,300 | 85,434 | 1,937,580,734 | 2,872,120 | 1,940,452,854 | 100.0 | 1.2749\% |
| HARRISVLE | 211,054,442 | 0 | 211,054,442 | 242,242 | 211,296,684 | 100.0 | 0.1388\% |
| HARTS LOCATION | 15,261,942 | 569,793 | 15,831,735 | 525,232 | 16,356,968 | 96.4 | 0.0107\% |
| HAVERHL | 372,880,363 | -58,782,550 | 314,097,813 | 138,349 | 314,236,162 | 118.9 | 0.2065\% |
| HEBRON | 277,372,790 | -18,142,553 | 259,230,237 | 5,848,817 | 265,079,055 | 107.0 | 0.1742\% |
| HENNIKER | 376,641,229 | 20,558,406 | 397,199,635 | 3,706,935 | 400,906,570 | 94.8 | 0.2634\% |
| HL | 97,469,050 | -7,683,823 | 89,785,227 | 1,742,507 | 91,527,734 | 108.7 | 0.0601\% |
| HLSSBOROUGH | 577,786,266 | -119,781,016 | 458,005,250 | 117,843 | 458,123,093 | 126.2 | 0.3010\% |
| HINSDALE | 251,460,937 | -16,604,683 | 234,856,254 | 79,621 | 234,935,876 | 107.1 | 0.1544\% |
| HOLDERNESS | 680,060,857 | 0 | 680,060,857 | 2,284,686 | 682,345,543 | 100.0 | 0.4483\% |
| HOШS | 1,241,423,250 | -33,764,369 | 1,207,658,881 | 1,888 | 1,207,660,769 | 102.8 | 0.7935\% |
| HOOKSEIT | 1,607,132,247 | -66,228,922 | 1,540,903,325 | 52,293 | 1,540,955,619 | 104.3 | 1.0124\% |
| HOPKINTON | 624,765,887 | 30,786,275 | 655,552,162 | 10,116,692 | 665,668,853 | 95.3 | 0.4374\% |
| HUDSON | 2,826,474,768 | -316,279,737 | 2,510,195,031 | 0 | 2,510,195,031 | 112.6 | 1.6493\% |
| JACKSON | 382,659,768 | 0 | 382,659,768 | 1,662,730 | 384,322,498 | 100.0 | 0.2525\% |
| JAFPREY | 447,253,690 | 0 | 447,253,690 | 284,161 | 447,537,851 | 100.0 | 0.2940\% |
| JEF+ERSON | 133,343,701 | 0 | 133,343,701 | 401,944 | 133,745,645 | 100.0 | 0.0879\% |
| KEENE | 1,866,116,600 | -28,690 | 1,866,087,910 | 2,610,035 | 1,868,697,945 | 100.0 | 1.2278\% |
| KENSINGTON | 335,536,180 | -22,814,589 | 312,721,591 | 0 | 312,721,591 | 107.3 | 0.2055\% |
| KILKENNY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| KINGSTON | 700,039,168 | -60,147,186 | 639,891,982 | 2,343 | 639,894,326 | 109.4 | 0.4204\% |
| LACONA | 1,910,956,282 | 57,037,762 | 1,967,994,044 | 17,973,136 | 1,985,967,181 | 97.1 | 1.3048\% |
| LANCASTER | 272,123,690 | -23,758,482 | 248,365,208 | 2,868,115 | 251,233,323 | 109.6 | 0.1651\% |
| LANDAFF | 41,128,581 | 9,047,025 | 50,175,606 | 264,511 | 50,440,117 | 81.9 | 0.0331\% |
| LANGDON | 68,049,566 | -7,847,072 | 60,202,494 | 26,194 | 60,228,688 | 113.1 | 0.0396\% |

## EQUAปZATION SURVEY NOT INCLUDING UTLITES AND RALLROAD

| MUNCIPAUTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIRED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DRA } \\ \text { INVENTORY } \\ \text { ADJUSTMENT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZFD } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUALZFD PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES * | TOTAL EQUAUZFD VALUATION NOT INCLUDINGUTIUTIES ANDRAILROAD | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { EQ } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | \% PROPORTION TOSTATETAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LFBANON | 1,751,566,520 | 37,548,469 | 1,789,114,989 | 53,580,873 | 1,842,695,862 | 97.9 | 1.2107\% |
| LFE | 501,803,591 | -91,094,995 | 410,708,596 | 104,947 | 410,813,543 | 122.2 | 0.2699\% |
| LFMPSTER | 116,445,481 | 0 | 116,445,481 | 19,383 | 116,464,864 | 100.0 | 0.0765\% |
| UNCOLN | 851,694,834 | -74,590,501 | 777,104,333 | 4,500,413 | 781,604,746 | 109.6 | 0.5135\% |
| USBON | 109,491,932 | 0 | 109,491,932 | 0 | 109,491,932 | 100.0 | 0.0719\% |
| UTCHFELD | 771,488,906 | 0 | 771,488,906 | 1,480,884 | 772,969,790 | 100.0 | 0.5079\% |
| UTTLETON | 533,350,500 | 0 | 533,350,500 | 1,524,617 | 534,875,117 | 100.0 | 0.3514\% |
| UVERMORE | 145,080 | 0 | 145,080 | 0 | 145,080 | 100.0 | 0.0001\% |
| LONDONDERRY | 2,859,755,944 | -133,601,689 | 2,726,154,255 | 27,459,408 | 2,753,613,663 | 104.9 | 1.8092\% |
| LOUDON | 556,610,412 | -48,092,214 | 508,518,198 | 3,864,251 | 512,382,449 | 109.5 | 0.3366\% |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| LYMAN | 58,448,983 | 458,035 | 58,907,018 | 0 | 58,907,018 | 99.2 | 0.0387\% |
| LYME | 320,826,500 | 193,165 | 321,019,665 | 0 | 321,019,665 | 100.0 | 0.2109\% |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 163,788,838 | 8,174,350 | 171,963,188 | 749 | 171,963,937 | 95.1 | 0.1130\% |
| MADBURY | 220,481,599 | -10,467,024 | 210,014,575 | 0 | 210,014,575 | 105.0 | 0.1380\% |
| MADISON | 446,733,987 | 0 | 446,733,987 | 979,256 | 447,713,243 | 100.0 | 0.2942\% |
| MANCHESTER | 9,906,510,500 | -1,623,472,816 | 8,283,037,684 | 35,743,279 | 8,318,780,963 | 119.6 | 5.4656\% |
| MARLBOROUGH | 205,984,470 | -19,034,073 | 186,950,397 | 279,713 | 187,230,110 | 110.2 | 0.1230\% |
| MARLOW | 62,720,340 | 1,066,981 | 63,787,321 | 37,521 | 63,824,842 | 98.3 | 0.0419\% |
| MARIIN'S LOCATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 0.0000\% |
| MASON | 170,840,145 | -12,875,046 | 157,965,099 | 27,317 | 157,992,416 | 108.2 | 0.1038\% |
| MEREDITH | 1,832,152,173 | -58,495,465 | 1,773,656,708 | 23,555 | 1,773,680,264 | 103.3 | 1.1653\% |
| MERRIMACK | 3,233,937,554 | -407,049,964 | 2,826,887,590 | 272,487 | 2,827,160,077 | 114.4 | 1.8575\% |
| MIDLETON | 180,156,247 | -14,682,206 | 165,474,041 | 0 | 165,474,041 | 108.9 | 0.1087\% |
| MLAN | 103,994,165 | 0 | 103,994,165 | 231,227 | 104,225,392 | 100.0 | 0.0685\% |
| MLFORD | 1,576,529,271 | -268,167,006 | 1,308,362,265 | 1,027,620 | 1,309,389,885 | 120.5 | 0.8603\% |
| MUSRED | 6,404,016 | 0 | 6,404,016 | 0 | 6,404,016 | 100.0 | 0.0042\% |
| MLTON | 385,612,637 | 112,792 | 385,725,429 | 0 | 385,725,429 | 100.0 | 0.2534\% |
| MONROE | 90,595,220 | -9,252,240 | 81,342,980 | 0 | 81,342,980 | 111.5 | 0.0534\% |
| MONT VERNON | 251,758,020 | 0 | 251,758,020 | 0 | 251,758,020 | 100.0 | 0.1654\% |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 2,800,500,514 | 80,698,273 | 2,881,198,787 | 6,338,944 | 2,887,537,731 | 97.2 | 1.8972\% |
| NASHUA | 8,359,561,709 | 1,742 | 8,359,563,451 | 0 | 8,359,563,451 | 100.0 | 5.4924\% |
| NELSON | 119,844,727 | 0 | 119,844,727 | 0 | 119,844,727 | 100.0 | 0.0787\% |
| NEWBOSTON | 657,015,989 | -105,353,702 | 551,662,287 | 11,853 | 551,674,140 | 119.1 | 0.3625\% |
| NEWCASTLE | 706,471,531 | -106,240,170 | 600,231,361 | 0 | 600,231,361 | 117.7 | 0.3944\% |
| NEWDURHAM | 415,182,600 | -57,938 | 415,124,662 | 0 | 415,124,662 | 100.0 | 0.2727\% |
| NEWHAMPTON | 311,604,217 | -35,486 | 311,568,731 | 1,615,564 | 313,184,295 | 100.0 | 0.2058\% |
| NEWIPSWMCH | 407,781,571 | -39,583,784 | 368,197,787 | 6,324 | 368,204,111 | 110.8 | 0.2419\% |
| NEWLONDON | 1,076,198,931 | 82,252,783 | 1,158,451,714 | 0 | 1,158,451,714 | 92.9 | 0.7611\% |
| NEWBURY | 700,586,059 | 36,798 | 700,622,857 | 66,896 | 700,689,753 | 100.0 | 0.4604\% |
| NEWFELDS | 257,445,819 | -20,583,568 | 236,862,251 | 0 | 236,862,251 | 108.7 | 0.1556\% |
| NEWNGTON | 505,109,526 | 0 | 505,109,526 | 1,096 | 505,110,622 | 100.0 | 0.3319\% |
| NEMMARKET | 754,782,061 | 0 | 754,782,061 | 931,182 | 755,713,243 | 100.0 | 0.4965\% |
| NEWPORT | 489,834,836 | -52,073,548 | 437,761,288 | 2,358,736 | 440,120,024 | 111.9 | 0.2892\% |


| MUNICIPAUTY | MODIRED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIFD ASSESSED VALUATION | EQUALZED PAYMENTIN UEUOFTAXES * | TOTAL EQUALZED VALUATIONNOT INCLUDING UTIUTES ANDRAILROAD | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2010 \mathrm{EQ} \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | \% PROPORTION TOSTATETAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEWTON | 445,956,056 | -54,603 | 445,901,453 | 0 | 445,901,453 | 100.0 | 0.2930\% |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 1,006,048,600 | 0 | 1,006,048,600 | 0 | 1,006,048,600 | 100.0 | 0.6610\% |
| NORTHPED | 350,613,127 | -56,855,672 | 293,757,455 | 1,595,361 | 295,352,815 | 119.4 | 0.1941\% |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 108,721,520 | -10,033,891 | 98,687,629 | 322,241 | 99,009,870 | 110.2 | 0.0651\% |
| NORTHMOOD | 470,952,544 | 0 | 470,952,544 | 323,985 | 471,276,529 | 100.0 | 0.3096\% |
| NOTINGHAM | 528,704,449 | 19,683,523 | 548,387,972 | 442,632 | 548,830,604 | 96.4 | 0.3606\% |
| ODEL | 2,064,360 | 0 | 2,064,360 | 0 | 2,064,360 | 100.0 | 0.0014\% |
| ORANGE | 28,398,175 | 0 | 28,398,175 | 259,368 | 28,657,543 | 100.0 | 0.0188\% |
| ORFORD | 143,743,132 | -6,416,439 | 137,326,693 | 0 | 137,326,693 | 104.9 | 0.0902\% |
| OSSIPEE | 693,298,804 | -39,132,317 | 654,166,487 | 92,817 | 654,259,304 | 106.0 | 0.4299\% |
| PELHAM | 1,400,231,569 | 18,127 | 1,400,249,696 | 1,088,450 | 1,401,338,146 | 100.0 | 0.9207\% |
| PEMBROKE | 589,597,411 | -27,942,850 | 561,654,562 | 824,414 | 562,478,976 | 105.0 | 0.3696\% |
| PEIERBOROUGH | 696,728,636 | 138,626 | 696,867,262 | 2,668,950 | 699,536,212 | 100.0 | 0.4596\% |
| PIERMONT | 92,364,810 | 0 | 92,364,810 | 122,239 | 92,487,049 | 100.0 | 0.0608\% |
| PINKHAMS GRANT | 2,761,020 | 0 | 2,761,020 | 123,533 | 2,884,553 | 100.0 | 0.0019\% |
| PITISBURG | 271,991,864 | -11,974,060 | 260,017,804 | 4,310,999 | 264,328,803 | 104.7 | 0.1737\% |
| PTTTSFED | 259,411,733 | -17,335,281 | 242,076,452 | 270,784 | 242,347,236 | 107.2 | 0.1592\% |
| PLAINFED | 280,215,742 | 0 | 280,215,742 | 48,175 | 280,263,917 | 100.0 | 0.1841\% |
| PLAISTOW | 818,708,337 | 87,947,108 | 906,655,445 | 0 | 906,655,445 | 90.3 | 0.5957\% |
| PLYMOUTH | 432,779,179 | -17,884,047 | 414,895,132 | 3,402,618 | 418,297,750 | 104.3 | 0.2748\% |
| PORTSMOUTH | 3,772,466,500 | 100,699,572 | 3,873,166,072 | 43,648,922 | 3,916,814,994 | 97.4 | 2.5734\% |
| RANDOLPH | 63,694,782 | -7,351,646 | 56,343,136 | 1,811,639 | 58,154,775 | 113.1 | 0.0382\% |
| RAYMOND | 1,010,744,566 | -138,675,951 | 872,068,615 | 475,736 | 872,544,351 | 115.9 | 0.5733\% |
| RICHMOND | 99,922,060 | 0 | 99,922,060 | 70,679 | 99,992,739 | 100.0 | 0.0657\% |
| RINDGE | 546,145,531 | 0 | 546,145,531 | 453,736 | 546,599,267 | 100.0 | 0.3591\% |
| ROCHESTER | 1,986,263,140 | 61,464,421 | 2,047,727,561 | 15,221,268 | 2,062,948,829 | 97.0 | 1.3554\% |
| ROUNSFORD | 259,991,703 | 0 | 259,991,703 | 0 | 259,991,703 | 100.0 | 0.1708\% |
| ROXBURY | 24,388,910 | -230,074 | 24,158,836 | 3,529,643 | 27,688,479 | 101.0 | 0.0182\% |
| RUMNEY | 181,517,146 | 0 | 181,517,146 | 1,120,943 | 182,638,089 | 100.0 | 0.1200\% |
| RYE | 1,782,150,790 | 0 | 1,782,150,790 | 632,132 | 1,782,782,922 | 100.0 | 1.1713\% |
| SALEM | 4,637,915,199 | -836,342,247 | 3,801,572,952 | 3,314,038 | 3,804,886,991 | 122.0 | 2.4999\% |
| SALSBURY | 144,860,000 | -13,133,027 | 131,726,973 | 4,278,772 | 136,005,745 | 110.1 | 0.0894\% |
| SANBORNTON | 429,000,389 | 0 | 429,000,389 | 2,207,146 | 431,207,535 | 100.0 | 0.2833\% |
| SANDOWN | 595,300,270 | -73,535,522 | 521,764,748 | 0 | 521,764,748 | 114.1 | 0.3428\% |
| SANDMCH | 433,103,626 | 44,847,404 | 477,951,030 | 1,179,312 | 479,130,342 | 90.6 | 0.3148\% |
| SARGENTS PURCHASE | 1,852,720 | 0 | 1,852,720 | 0 | 1,852,720 | 100.0 | 0.0012\% |
| SEABROOK | 1,370,530,750 | 1,389 | 1,370,532,139 | 0 | 1,370,532,139 | 100.0 | 0.9005\% |
| SECONDCOLEGE GRANT | 1,434,022 | 0 | 1,434,022 | 0 | 1,434,022 | 100.0 | 0.0009\% |
| SHARON | 53,538,456 | -3,819,231 | 49,719,225 | 9,384 | 49,728,609 | 108.0 | 0.0327\% |
| SHELBURNE | 54,701,555 | -5,374,719 | 49,326,836 | 790,399 | 50,117,235 | 110.8 | 0.0329\% |
| SOMERSWORTH | 828,413,175 | 5,015 | 828,418,190 | 2,598,829 | 831,017,019 | 100.0 | 0.5460\% |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 160,536,757 | -17,176,194 | 143,360,563 | 3,990 | 143,364,553 | 112.0 | 0.0942\% |
| SPRINGFED | 186,337,039 | -79,119 | 186,257,920 | 468,499 | 186,726,419 | 100.0 | 0.1227\% |
| STARK | 54,806,900 | 0 | 54,806,900 | 1,267,294 | 56,074,194 | 100.0 | 0.0368\% |


| MUNICIPAUTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIRED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EQUALZFD } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | EQUALZFD PAYMENTIN LEU OF TAXES * | TOTAL EQUAUZFD VALUATIONNOT INCLUDINGUTIUTIES ANDRAILROAD | $2010 \mathrm{EQ}$ RATIO | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { PROPORTION } \\ \text { TO STATE TAX } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 97,182,741 | -13,769,066 | 83,413,675 | 91,346 | 83,505,021 | 116.8 | 0.0549\% |
| STODDARD | 274,974,880 | 0 | 274,974,880 | 64,110 | 275,038,990 | 100.0 | 0.1807\% |
| STRAFFORD | 497,529,525 | -53,174,406 | 444,355,119 | 0 | 444,355,119 | 112.0 | 0.2920\% |
| STRATFORD | 50,483,491 | -7,378,049 | 43,105,442 | 701,399 | 43,806,841 | 117.9 | 0.0288\% |
| STRATHAM | 1,183,136,663 | -29,968,649 | 1,153,168,014 | 0 | 1,153,168,014 | 102.6 | 0.7577\% |
| SUCCESS | 10,998,356 | 0 | 10,998,356 | 0 | 10,998,356 | 100.0 | 0.0072\% |
| SUGAR HL | 148,064,378 | 12,615,451 | 160,679,829 | 0 | 160,679,829 | 92.1 | 0.1056\% |
| SULUVAN | 54,543,687 | 70,926 | 54,614,613 | 0 | 54,614,613 | 100.0 | 0.0359\% |
| SUNAPEE | 1,137,495,070 | 72,558,904 | 1,210,053,974 | 0 | 1,210,053,974 | 94.0 | 0.7950\% |
| SURRY | 80,278,008 | 0 | 80,278,008 | 4,704,079 | 84,982,087 | 100.0 | 0.0558\% |
| SUTTON | 263,883,684 | 10,922,368 | 274,806,052 | 1,895 | 274,807,947 | 96.0 | 0.1806\% |
| SWANZEY | 571,740,820 | 0 | 571,740,820 | 612,745 | 572,353,565 | 100.0 | 0.3760\% |
| TAMMORTH | 341,200,476 | 0 | 341,200,476 | 2,187,346 | 343,387,822 | 100.0 | 0.2256\% |
| TEMPLE | 149,192,734 | 0 | 149,192,734 | 35,151 | 149,227,885 | 100.0 | 0.0980\% |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 5,450,080 | 0 | 5,450,080 | 592,735 | 6,042,815 | 100.0 | 0.0040\% |
| THORNTON | 362,457,401 | -18,166,443 | 344,290,958 | 896,785 | 345,187,743 | 105.3 | 0.2268\% |
| TLTON | 493,287,385 | 33,508 | 493,320,893 | 0 | 493,320,893 | 100.0 | 0.3241\% |
| TROY | 117,464,353 | 43,211 | 117,507,564 | 8,815 | 117,516,379 | 100.0 | 0.0772\% |
| TUFTONBORO | 1,013,451,665 | 0 | 1,013,451,665 | 706,714 | 1,014,158,379 | 100.0 | 0.6663\% |
| UNTTY | 128,670,740 | 0 | 128,670,740 | 0 | 128,670,740 | 100.0 | 0.0845\% |
| WAKERED | 876,827,160 | -48,036 | 876,779,124 | 693,499 | 877,472,622 | 100.0 | 0.5765\% |
| WALPOLE | 424,412,984 | -31,258,676 | 393,154,308 | 0 | 393,154,308 | 108.0 | 0.2583\% |
| WARNER | 275,179,855 | 0 | 275,179,855 | 833,283 | 276,013,138 | 100.0 | 0.1813\% |
| WARREN | 77,534,915 | 0 | 77,534,915 | 919,372 | 78,454,287 | 100.0 | 0.0515\% |
| WASHINGTON | 246,244,865 | -12,539,152 | 233,705,713 | 244,993 | 233,950,706 | 105.4 | 0.1537\% |
| WATERVUEVA山EY | 359,932,009 | 0 | 359,932,009 | 2,336,190 | 362,268,199 | 100.0 | 0.2380\% |
| WEARE | 913,118,656 | -151,511,801 | 761,606,855 | 2,843,469 | 764,450,324 | 119.9 | 0.5023\% |
| WEBSTER | 216,507,431 | -25,343,395 | 191,164,036 | 1,696,662 | 192,860,698 | 113.3 | 0.1267\% |
| WENTWORTH | 98,645,126 | -15,527,727 | 83,117,399 | 190,313 | 83,307,712 | 118.8 | 0.0547\% |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 9,181,758 | 0 | 9,181,758 | 17,807 | 9,199,565 | 100.0 | 0.0060\% |
| WESTMORE_AND | 201,888,367 | -20,464,293 | 181,424,074 | 934 | 181,425,008 | 111.4 | 0.1192\% |
| WHITEAELD | 188,995,930 | -18,431,190 | 170,564,740 | 2,729,707 | 173,294,447 | 110.9 | 0.1139\% |
| WLMOT | 174,852,021 | 0 | 174,852,021 | 82,818 | 174,934,839 | 100.0 | 0.1149\% |
| WLTON | 457,408,117 | -93,148,368 | 364,259,749 | 291,736 | 364,551,485 | 125.6 | 0.2395\% |
| WINCHESTER | 272,557,792 | 0 | 272,557,792 | 894,315 | 273,452,107 | 100.0 | 0.1797\% |
| WNDHAM | 1,997,627,270 | 85,395,718 | 2,083,022,988 | 503,064 | 2,083,526,052 | 95.9 | 1.3689\% |
| WINDSOR | 26,658,733 | -3,859,937 | 22,798,796 | 1,070,624 | 23,869,420 | 117.4 | 0.0157\% |
| WOLFEBORO | 2,053,473,672 | 0 | 2,053,473,672 | 1,644,726 | 2,055,118,398 | 100.0 | 1.3503\% |
| WOODSTOCK | 230,386,290 | 16,002,194 | 246,388,484 | 1,626,972 | 248,015,456 | 93.5 | 0.1630\% |

# BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS 

## Borrowing Power

RSA 33:4-A \& RSA 195:6


RSA 33:4-b Debt Limit; Computation. The debt limitations hereinbefore prescribed, except for counties, shall be based upon the applicable last locally assessed valuation of the municipality as last equalized by the commissioner of revenue administration under RSA 21-J:3, XIII and shall include the equalized value of property formerly taxed pursuant to the provisions of RSA 72:7; 72:15, I, V, VII, VIII, IX, X and XI; 72:16; 72:17; 73:26; 73:27 and 73:11 through 16 inclusive, all as amended, which was relieved from taxation by $1970,5: 3,5: 8$, and $57: 12$, as determined under the provisions of RSA 71:11 as amended. Whenever several municipalities possessing the power to incur indebtedness cover or extend over identical territory, each such municipality shall so exercise the power to incur indebtedness of such municipalities shall not exceed 9.75 percent of the valuation of the taxable property as hereinbefore determined, except as provided for cooperative school districts under RSA 195:6. A written certificate signed by the commissioner of the department of revenue administration shall be conclusive evidence of the base valuation of municipalities for computing debt limits hereunder.

## 2010 BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS

| MUNICIPALITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFIED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA <br> INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION | SHARED REVENUES ADJUSTMENT RSA 31-A | BASE <br> VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACWORTH | 103,806,326 | 0 | 103,806,326 | 0 | 103,806,326 |
| ALBANY | 103,246,149 | 3,210,241 | 106,456,390 | 0 | 106,456,390 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 242,000,970 | -39,404,912 | 202,596,058 | 0 | 202,596,058 |
| ALLENSTOWN | 268,210,884 | -4,518,859 | 263,692,025 | 0 | 263,692,025 |
| ALSTEAD | 176,965,268 | -19,326,373 | 157,638,895 | 0 | 157,638,895 |
| ALTON | 1,503,650,983 | 25,913,102 | 1,529,564,085 | 0 | 1,529,564,085 |
| AMHERST | 1,873,727,400 | -174,971,111 | 1,698,756,289 | 0 | 1,698,756,289 |
| ANDOVER | 265,483,161 | -34,120,297 | 231,362,864 | 0 | 231,362,864 |
| ANTRIM | 255,246,310 | -5,220,453 | 250,025,857 | 0 | 250,025,857 |
| ASHLAND | 263,953,161 | -18,815,174 | 245,137,987 | 0 | 245,137,987 |
| ATKINSON | 1,033,041,768 | -172,013,989 | 861,027,779 | 0 | 861,027,779 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 685,082 | 0 | 685,082 | 0 | 685,082 |
| AUBURN | 600,514,688 | -4,143,951 | 596,370,737 | 0 | 596,370,737 |
| BARNSTEAD | 465,108,118 | 15,621,134 | 480,729,252 | 0 | 480,729,252 |
| BARRINGTON | 909,813,024 | -71,645,646 | 838,167,378 | 0 | 838,167,378 |
| BARTLETT | 970,595,618 | 21,701,212 | 992,296,830 | 0 | 992,296,830 |
| BATH | 118,496,780 | -5,936,836 | 112,559,944 | 0 | 112,559,944 |
| BEAN'S GRANT | 443 | 0 | 443 | 0 | 443 |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 16,880 | 0 | 16,880 | 0 | 16,880 |
| BEDFORD | 3,370,672,920 | -120,008,470 | 3,250,664,450 | 0 | 3,250,664,450 |
| BELMONT | 735,333,340 | -76,692,274 | 658,641,066 | 0 | 658,641,066 |
| BENNINGTON | 113,056,850 | -7,887,100 | 105,169,750 | 0 | 105,169,750 |
| BENTON | 26,863,488 | 588,912 | 27,452,400 | 0 | 27,452,400 |
| BERLIN | 449,431,257 | -77,861,767 | 371,569,490 | 0 | 371,569,490 |
| BETHLEHEM | 264,697,566 | -217,036 | 264,480,530 | 0 | 264,480,530 |
| BOSCAWEN | 275,413,836 | -32,947,282 | 242,466,554 | 0 | 242,466,554 |
| BOW | 1,126,147,012 | 44,363,348 | 1,170,510,360 | 0 | 1,170,510,360 |
| BRADFORD | 219,046,262 | -2,352,835 | 216,693,427 | 0 | 216,693,427 |
| BRENTWOOD | 478,624,896 | -8,479,931 | 470,144,965 | 0 | 470,144,965 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 377,354,483 | -30,171,716 | 347,182,767 | 0 | 347,182,767 |
| BRISTOL | 563,880,016 | -75,573,606 | 488,306,410 | 0 | 488,306,410 |
| BROOKFIELD | 102,872,100 | -704,890 | 102,167,210 | 0 | 102,167,210 |
| BROOKLINE | 583,754,571 | -93,689,374 | 490,065,197 | 0 | 490,065,197 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 8,294,892 | 0 | 8,294,892 | 0 | 8,294,892 |
| CAMPTON | 410,024,072 | 0 | 410,024,072 | 0 | 410,024,072 |
| CANAAN | 360,332,394 | -3,308,567 | 357,023,827 | 0 | 357,023,827 |
| CANDIA | 403,885,487 | -33,150,620 | 370,734,867 | 0 | 370,734,867 |
| CANTERBURY | 311,378,093 | -42,527,503 | 268,850,590 | 0 | 268,850,590 |
| CARROLL | 396,366,759 | -44,085,768 | 352,280,991 | 0 | 352,280,991 |
| CENTER HARBOR | 471,134,453 | -55,224,328 | 415,910,125 | 0 | 415,910,125 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 49,133 | 0 | 49,133 | 0 | 49,133 |
| CHARLESTOWN | 287,665,160 | -359,090 | 287,306,070 | 0 | 287,306,070 |
| CHATHAM | 51,277,392 | 2,061,950 | 53,339,342 | 0 | 53,339,342 |
| CHESTER | 588,750,500 | -105,193,809 | 483,556,691 | 0 | 483,556,691 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 560,747,288 | -311,932 | 560,435,356 | 0 | 560,435,356 |
| CHICHESTER | 291,684,507 | -42,630,957 | 249,053,550 | 0 | 249,053,550 |
| CLAREMONT | 821,006,340 | -46,435,658 | 774,570,682 | 0 | 774,570,682 |

2010 BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS

| MUNICIPALITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFIED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION | SHARED REVENUES ADJUSTMENT RSA 31-A | BASE <br> VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLARKSVILLE | 40,084,297 | 228 | 40,084,525 | 0 | 40,084,525 |
| COLEBROOK | 190,360,597 | -19,587,713 | 170,772,884 | 0 | 170,772,884 |
| COLUMBIA | 84,803,489 | -8,297,590 | 76,505,899 | 0 | 76,505,899 |
| CONCORD | 3,879,938,750 | -28,543,940 | 3,851,394,810 | 0 | 3,851,394,810 |
| CONWAY | 1,379,279,065 | 70,324,698 | 1,449,603,763 | 0 | 1,449,603,763 |
| CORNISH | 185,127,919 | -1,422,850 | 183,705,069 | 0 | 183,705,069 |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 162,453 | 0 | 162,453 | 0 | 162,453 |
| CROYDON | 93,481,077 | 3,599,389 | 97,080,466 | 0 | 97,080,466 |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DALTON | 92,292,292 | -7,691,410 | 84,600,882 | 0 | 84,600,882 |
| DANBURY | 144,576,512 | -23,109,376 | 121,467,136 | 0 | 121,467,136 |
| DANVILLE | 445,448,389 | -111,042,452 | 334,405,937 | 0 | 334,405,937 |
| DEERFIELD | 515,085,164 | -25,812,903 | 489,272,261 | 0 | 489,272,261 |
| DEERING | 200,320,795 | -5,007,143 | 195,313,652 | 0 | 195,313,652 |
| DERRY | 2,514,696,320 | 1,045,214 | 2,515,741,534 | 0 | 2,515,741,534 |
| DIX GRANT | 926,086 | 0 | 926,086 | 0 | 926,086 |
| DIXVILLE | 16,634,103 | 0 | 16,634,103 | 0 | 16,634,103 |
| DORCHESTER | 39,587,550 | 7 | 39,587,557 | 0 | 39,587,557 |
| DOVER | 2,657,575,300 | 116,602,502 | 2,774,177,802 | 0 | 2,774,177,802 |
| DUBLIN | 255,615,271 | -1,574,886 | 254,040,385 | 0 | 254,040,385 |
| DUMMER | 54,526,884 | -8,266,225 | 46,260,659 | 0 | 46,260,659 |
| DUNBARTON | 302,904,962 | -16,364,764 | 286,540,198 | 0 | 286,540,198 |
| DURHAM | 896,028,866 | -6,732,738 | 889,296,128 | 0 | 889,296,128 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 295,613,828 | -6,488,654 | 289,125,174 | 0 | 289,125,174 |
| EASTON | 64,828,275 | -18 | 64,828,257 | 0 | 64,828,257 |
| EATON | 110,750,859 | -6,164,187 | 104,586,672 | 0 | 104,586,672 |
| EFFINGHAM | 179,499,803 | -2,627,945 | 176,871,858 | 0 | 176,871,858 |
| ELLSWORTH | 13,252,590 | 35,132 | 13,287,722 | 0 | 13,287,722 |
| ENFIELD | 550,899,286 | 232,974 | 551,132,260 | 0 | 551,132,260 |
| EPPING | 615,628,700 | 10,000,377 | 625,629,077 | 0 | 625,629,077 |
| EPSOM | 401,536,969 | 6,419,796 | 407,956,765 | 0 | 407,956,765 |
| ERROL | 85,321,709 | -5,075,881 | 80,245,828 | 0 | 80,245,828 |
| ERVING'S GRANT | 82,104 | 0 | 82,104 | 0 | 82,104 |
| EXETER | 1,586,363,935 | 33,509,920 | 1,619,873,855 | 0 | 1,619,873,855 |
| FARMINGTON | 473,521,280 | -45,231,942 | 428,289,338 | 0 | 428,289,338 |
| FITZWILLIAM | 306,415,271 | -40,269,645 | 266,145,626 | 0 | 266,145,626 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 210,624,339 | -14,920,506 | 195,703,833 | 0 | 195,703,833 |
| FRANCONIA | 307,104,163 | -22,724,676 | 284,379,487 | 0 | 284,379,487 |
| FRANKLIN | 570,060,190 | -8,030,401 | 562,029,789 | 0 | 562,029,789 |
| FREEDOM | 557,377,970 | -38,360,960 | 519,017,010 | 0 | 519,017,010 |
| FREMONT | 360,285,890 | -3,657,597 | 356,628,293 | 0 | 356,628,293 |
| GILFORD | 1,548,646,080 | 163,900,759 | 1,712,546,839 | 0 | 1,712,546,839 |
| GILMANTON | 475,637,233 | -4,630,382 | 471,006,851 | 0 | 471,006,851 |
| GILSUM | 64,603,940 | -789,916 | 63,814,024 | 0 | 63,814,024 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 1,424,999,700 | -61,269,242 | 1,363,730,458 | 0 | 1,363,730,458 |
| GORHAM | 320,565,600 | -61,179,197 | 259,386,403 | 0 | 259,386,403 |
| GOSHEN | 75,826,484 | -176,172 | 75,650,312 | 0 | 75,650,312 |

## 2010 BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS

| MUNICIPALITY | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION | SHARED REVENUES ADJUSTMENT RSA 31-A | BASE <br> VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRAFTON | 126,501,554 | -1,442,446 | 125,059,108 | 0 | 125,059,108 |
| GRANTHAM | 506,637,339 | -2,062,165 | 504,575,174 | 0 | 504,575,174 |
| GREENFIELD | 155,908,022 | -12,235,943 | 143,672,079 | 0 | 143,672,079 |
| GREENLAND | 664,095,900 | -2,578,321 | 661,517,579 | 0 | 661,517,579 |
| GREEN'S GRANT | 4,048,492 | 0 | 4,048,492 | 0 | 4,048,492 |
| GREENVILLE | 135,034,246 | -29,576,756 | 105,457,490 | 0 | 105,457,490 |
| GROTON | 78,595,311 | -16,424,488 | 62,170,823 | 0 | 62,170,823 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HALE'S LOCATION | 73,985,100 | -4,188,946 | 69,796,154 | 0 | 69,796,154 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 1,006,022,030 | -4,571,032 | 1,001,450,998 | 0 | 1,001,450,998 |
| HAMPTON | 3,085,510,497 | -236,648,768 | 2,848,861,729 | 0 | 2,848,861,729 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 432,529,700 | -1,770,596 | 430,759,104 | 0 | 430,759,104 |
| HANCOCK | 274,326,950 | -27,239,522 | 247,087,428 | 0 | 247,087,428 |
| HANOVER | 1,966,157,200 | -11,482,239 | 1,954,674,961 | 0 | 1,954,674,961 |
| HARRISVILLE | 213,157,742 | -1,142,222 | 212,015,520 | 0 | 212,015,520 |
| HART'S LOCATION | 15,479,942 | 573,991 | 16,053,933 | 0 | 16,053,933 |
| HAVERHILL | 396,617,163 | -76,422,933 | 320,194,230 | 0 | 320,194,230 |
| HEBRON | 282,180,590 | -21,322,102 | 260,858,488 | 0 | 260,858,488 |
| HENNIKER | 385,935,729 | 15,190,496 | 401,126,225 | 0 | 401,126,225 |
| HILL | 106,587,650 | -14,020,991 | 92,566,659 | 0 | 92,566,659 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 606,321,466 | -132,679,738 | 473,641,728 | 0 | 473,641,728 |
| HINSDALE | 351,149,437 | -25,158,549 | 325,990,888 | 0 | 325,990,888 |
| HOLDERNESS | 683,421,957 | -1,223,488 | 682,198,469 | 0 | 682,198,469 |
| HOLLIS | 1,247,653,250 | -34,085,811 | 1,213,567,439 | 0 | 1,213,567,439 |
| HOOKSETT | 1,665,070,747 | -93,930,446 | 1,571,140,301 | 0 | 1,571,140,301 |
| HOPKINTON | 647,417,587 | 15,171,335 | 662,588,922 | 0 | 662,588,922 |
| HUDSON | 2,945,398,768 | -395,438,933 | 2,549,959,835 | 0 | 2,549,959,835 |
| JACKSON | 383,973,468 | 15 | 383,973,483 | 0 | 383,973,483 |
| JAFFREY | 451,958,880 | 0 | 451,958,880 | 0 | 451,958,880 |
| JJEFFERSON | 138,083,701 | -810,753 | 137,272,948 | 0 | 137,272,948 |
| KEENE | 1,916,940,100 | -29,622,310 | 1,887,317,790 | 0 | 1,887,317,790 |
| KENSINGTON | 346,805,329 | -26,155,308 | 320,650,021 | 0 | 320,650,021 |
| KILKENNY | 11,629 | 0 | 11,629 | 0 | 11,629 |
| KINGSTON | 711,296,948 | -63,633,513 | 647,663,435 | 0 | 647,663,435 |
| LACONIA | 1,933,380,482 | 54,711,539 | 1,988,092,021 | 0 | 1,988,092,021 |
| LANCASTER | 286,091,690 | -29,957,258 | 256,134,432 | 0 | 256,134,432 |
| LANDAFF | 41,691,368 | 9,047,026 | 50,738,394 | 0 | 50,738,394 |
| LANGDON | 68,828,866 | -7,906,187 | 60,922,679 | 0 | 60,922,679 |
| LEBANON | 1,842,048,920 | 2,934,449 | 1,844,983,369 | 0 | 1,844,983,369 |
| LEE | 506,108,991 | -91,968,961 | 414,140,030 | 0 | 414,140,030 |
| LEMPSTER | 167,283,781 | 3,678,712 | 170,962,493 | 0 | 170,962,493 |
| LINCOLN | 863,575,614 | -84,148,567 | 779,427,047 | 0 | 779,427,047 |
| LISBON | 111,972,132 | -41,368 | 111,930,764 | 0 | 111,930,764 |
| LITCHFIELD | 805,797,206 | -17,428,733 | 788,368,473 | 0 | 788,368,473 |
| LITTLETON | 750,393,400 | -126,792,044 | 623,601,356 | 0 | 623,601,356 |
| LIVERMORE | 145,080 | 0 | 145,080 | 0 | 145,080 |
| LONDONDERRY | 3,341,712,944 | -221,707,887 | 3,120,005,057 | 0 | 3,120,005,057 |

## 2010 BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS

| MUNICIPALITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFIED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION | SHARED REVENUES ADJUSTMENT RSA 31-A | BASE <br> VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOUDON | 568,074,212 | -54,228,027 | 513,846,185 | 0 | 513,846,185 |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LYMAN | 59,421,383 | 370,293 | 59,791,676 | 0 | 59,791,676 |
| LYME | 324,408,900 | -1,747,405 | 322,661,495 | 0 | 322,661,495 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 165,561,338 | 7,878,068 | 173,439,406 | 0 | 173,439,406 |
| MADBURY | 232,009,799 | -16,776,228 | 215,233,571 | 0 | 215,233,571 |
| MADISON | 456,346,887 | -5,292,151 | 451,054,736 | 0 | 451,054,736 |
| MANCHESTER | 10,092,291,800 | -1,659,297,693 | 8,432,994,107 | 0 | 8,432,994,107 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 209,478,880 | -20,178,457 | 189,300,423 | 0 | 189,300,423 |
| MARLOW | 63,517,127 | 1,197,634 | 64,714,761 | 0 | 64,714,761 |
| MARTIN'S LOCATION | 36,089 | 0 | 36,089 | 0 | 36,089 |
| MASON | 172,600,245 | -13,275,151 | 159,325,094 | 0 | 159,325,094 |
| MEREDITH | 1,837,545,273 | -58,485,464 | 1,779,059,809 | 0 | 1,779,059,809 |
| MERRIMACK | 3,267,098,954 | -411,857,867 | 2,855,241,087 | 0 | 2,855,241,087 |
| MIDDLETON | 183,080,247 | -16,138,178 | 166,942,069 | 0 | 166,942,069 |
| MILAN | 122,876,065 | -9,637,436 | 113,238,629 | 0 | 113,238,629 |
| MILFORD | 1,594,756,971 | -270,711,095 | 1,324,045,876 | 0 | 1,324,045,876 |
| MILLSFIELD | 6,441,336 | 0 | 6,441,336 | 0 | 6,441,336 |
| MILTON | 391,275,937 | -1,017,724 | 390,258,213 | 0 | 390,258,213 |
| MONROE | 357,757,220 | -159,164,584 | 198,592,636 | 0 | 198,592,636 |
| MONT VERNON | 253,267,936 | 0 | 253,267,936 | 0 | 253,267,936 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 2,807,578,914 | 80,698,221 | 2,888,277,135 | 0 | 2,888,277,135 |
| NASHUA | 8,593,518,189 | -74,513,447 | 8,519,004,742 | 0 | 8,519,004,742 |
| NELSON | 121,094,027 | -314,250 | 120,779,777 | 0 | 120,779,777 |
| NEW BOSTON | 667,112,889 | -111,514,557 | 555,598,332 | 0 | 555,598,332 |
| NEW CASTLE | 707,754,631 | -106,847,327 | 600,907,304 | 0 | 600,907,304 |
| NEW DURHAM | 417,559,400 | -57,899 | 417,501,501 | 0 | 417,501,501 |
| NEW HAMPTON | 334,742,967 | -17,169,885 | 317,573,082 | 0 | 317,573,082 |
| NEW IPSWICH | 415,866,941 | -44,252,945 | 371,613,996 | 0 | 371,613,996 |
| NEW LONDON | 1,080,930,782 | 82,252,782 | 1,163,183,564 | 0 | 1,163,183,564 |
| NEWBURY | 705,586,059 | -2,532,897 | 703,053,162 | 0 | 703,053,162 |
| NEWFIELDS | 258,826,519 | -20,615,809 | 238,210,710 | 0 | 238,210,710 |
| NEWINGTON | 932,163,631 | 43,427,375 | 975,591,006 | 0 | 975,591,006 |
| NEWMARKET | 759,870,361 | -1,564,375 | 758,305,986 | 0 | 758,305,986 |
| NEWPORT | 501,228,336 | -57,862,123 | 443,366,213 | 0 | 443,366,213 |
| NEWTON | 459,589,556 | -1,570,221 | 458,019,335 | 0 | 458,019,335 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 1,019,401,500 | -1,213,957 | 1,018,187,543 | 0 | 1,018,187,543 |
| NORTHFIELD | 356,784,927 | -58,073,868 | 298,711,059 | 0 | 298,711,059 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 132,975,800 | -20,340,703 | 112,635,097 | 0 | 112,635,097 |
| NORTHWOOD | 474,708,844 | -37,962 | 474,670,882 | 0 | 474,670,882 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 532,896,849 | 19,638,510 | 552,535,359 | 0 | 552,535,359 |
| ODELL | 2,064,360 | 0 | 2,064,360 | 0 | 2,064,360 |
| ORANGE | 29,150,175 | -398,764 | 28,751,411 | 0 | 28,751,411 |
| ORFORD | 146,802,932 | -8,013,113 | 138,789,819 | 0 | 138,789,819 |
| OSSIPEE | 708,191,204 | -47,360,771 | 660,830,433 | 0 | 660,830,433 |
| PELHAM | 1,438,833,969 | -18,217,380 | 1,420,616,589 | 0 | 1,420,616,589 |
| PEMBROKE | 612,695,535 | -41,976,663 | 570,718,872 | 0 | 570,718,872 |

2010 BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS

| MUNICIPALITY | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZED <br> ASSESSED <br> VALUATION | SHARED REVENUES ADJUSTMENT RSA 31-A | BASE <br> VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PETERBOROUGH | 704,307,236 | -1,418,510 | 702,888,726 | 0 | 702,888,726 |
| PIERMONT | 93,382,082 | 1 | 93,382,083 | 0 | 93,382,083 |
| PINKHAM'S GRANT | 2,875,532 | 0 | 2,875,532 | 0 | 2,875,532 |
| PITTSBURG | 282,054,264 | -9,621,108 | 272,433,156 | 0 | 272,433,156 |
| PITTSFIELD | 264,509,633 | -17,335,309 | 247,174,324 | 0 | 247,174,324 |
| PLAINFIELD | 287,355,842 | -3,551,136 | 283,804,706 | 0 | 283,804,706 |
| PLAISTOW | 841,975,408 | 78,436,157 | 920,411,565 | 0 | 920,411,565 |
| PLYMOUTH | 444,198,879 | -25,052,331 | 419,146,548 | 0 | 419,146,548 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 3,927,256,000 | 117,173,991 | 4,044,429,991 | 0 | 4,044,429,991 |
| RANDOLPH | 67,472,482 | -8,658,827 | 58,813,655 | 0 | 58,813,655 |
| RAYMOND | 1,031,591,266 | -152,175,489 | 879,415,777 | 0 | 879,415,777 |
| RICHMOND | 103,664,560 | -2,526,906 | 101,137,654 | 0 | 101,137,654 |
| RINDGE | 552,547,407 | -841,822 | 551,705,585 | 0 | 551,705,585 |
| ROCHESTER | 2,034,390,740 | 51,284,762 | 2,085,675,502 | 0 | 2,085,675,502 |
| ROLLINSFORD | 262,966,503 | -1,533,537 | 261,432,966 | 0 | 261,432,966 |
| ROXBURY | 24,961,810 | -484,248 | 24,477,562 | 0 | 24,477,562 |
| RUMNEY | 191,047,846 | -5,142,914 | 185,904,932 | 0 | 185,904,932 |
| RYE | 1,789,497,690 | -2,984,118 | 1,786,513,572 | 0 | 1,786,513,572 |
| SALEM | 4,702,258,399 | -871,478,019 | 3,830,780,380 | 0 | 3,830,780,380 |
| SALISBURY | 154,511,100 | -19,720,048 | 134,791,052 | 0 | 134,791,052 |
| SANBORNTON | 431,322,589 | 7,051 | 431,329,640 | 0 | 431,329,640 |
| SANDOWN | 599,813,251 | -73,869,815 | 525,943,436 | 0 | 525,943,436 |
| SANDWICH | 440,065,726 | 40,499,733 | 480,565,459 | 0 | 480,565,459 |
| SARGENT'S PURCHASE | 1,852,720 | 0 | 1,852,720 | 0 | 1,852,720 |
| SEABROOK | 2,889,474,550 | -473,317,226 | 2,416,157,324 | 0 | 2,416,157,324 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRAN | 1,434,022 | 0 | 1,434,022 | 0 | 1,434,022 |
| SHARON | 54,253,756 | -4,160,195 | 50,093,561 | 0 | 50,093,561 |
| SHELBURNE | 82,437,455 | -13,955,691 | 68,481,764 | 0 | 68,481,764 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 839,157,275 | -2,371,023 | 836,786,252 | 0 | 836,786,252 |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 163,215,857 | -18,373,415 | 144,842,442 | 0 | 144,842,442 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 197,316,339 | -1,274,974 | 196,041,365 | 0 | 196,041,365 |
| STARK | 68,461,600 | -2,063,164 | 66,398,436 | 0 | 66,398,436 |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 114,625,640 | -21,385,942 | 93,239,698 | 0 | 93,239,698 |
| STODDARD | 280,483,050 | -3,358,636 | 277,124,414 | 0 | 277,124,414 |
| STRAFFORD | 500,577,900 | -53,413,466 | 447,164,434 | 0 | 447,164,434 |
| STRATFORD | 75,732,891 | -16,887,940 | 58,844,951 | 0 | 58,844,951 |
| STRATHAM | 1,204,469,963 | -32,489,484 | 1,171,980,479 | 0 | 1,171,980,479 |
| SUCCESS | 11,010,689 | 0 | 11,010,689 | 0 | 11,010,689 |
| SUGAR HILL | 149,350,913 | 12,637,700 | 161,988,613 | 0 | 161,988,613 |
| SULLIVAN | 55,930,587 | -692,218 | 55,238,369 | 0 | 55,238,369 |
| SUNAPEE | 1,147,046,470 | 67,640,313 | 1,214,686,783 | 0 | 1,214,686,783 |
| SURRY | 81,681,108 | 469,825 | 82,150,933 | 0 | 82,150,933 |
| SUTTON | 266,277,744 | 10,922,370 | 277,200,114 | 0 | 277,200,114 |
| SWANZEY | 588,493,820 | -8,464,438 | 580,029,382 | 0 | 580,029,382 |
| TAMWORTH | 368,405,276 | -12,982,347 | 355,422,929 | 0 | 355,422,929 |
| TEMPLE | 151,796,734 | -1,231,242 | 150,565,492 | 0 | 150,565,492 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 5,450,736 | 0 | 5,450,736 | 0 | 5,450,736 |

2010 BASE VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS

| MUNICIPALITY | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION | SHARED REVENUES ADJUSTMENT RSA 31-A | BASE <br> VALUATION FOR DEBT LIMITS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THORNTON | 368,031,001 | -20,509,900 | 347,521,101 | 0 | 347,521,101 |
| TILTON | 517,673,185 | -11,600,871 | 506,072,314 | 0 | 506,072,314 |
| TROY | 130,232,553 | -8,461,424 | 121,771,129 | 0 | 121,771,129 |
| TUFTONBORO | 1,025,004,365 | -7,127,976 | 1,017,876,389 | 0 | 1,017,876,389 |
| UNITY | 131,969,870 | -1,836,637 | 130,133,233 | 0 | 130,133,233 |
| WAKEFIELD | 882,081,260 | -54,809 | 882,026,451 | 0 | 882,026,451 |
| WALPOLE | 443,036,384 | -34,155,580 | 408,880,804 | 0 | 408,880,804 |
| WARNER | 280,744,785 | -3,251,050 | 277,493,735 | 0 | 277,493,735 |
| WARREN | 86,304,915 | -5,499,956 | 80,804,959 | 0 | 80,804,959 |
| WASHINGTON | 249,855,265 | -14,627,273 | 235,227,992 | 0 | 235,227,992 |
| WATERVILLE VALLEY | 361,196,109 | -87,001 | 361,109,108 | 0 | 361,109,108 |
| WEARE | 945,939,656 | -167,351,563 | 778,588,093 | 0 | 778,588,093 |
| WEBSTER | 231,758,531 | -36,018,327 | 195,740,204 | 0 | 195,740,204 |
| WENTWORTH | 107,797,526 | -21,213,743 | 86,583,783 | 0 | 86,583,783 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 9,246,572 | 0 | 9,246,572 | 0 | 9,246,572 |
| WESTMORELAND | 205,334,667 | -22,585,067 | 182,749,600 | 0 | 182,749,600 |
| WHITEFIELD | 206,834,430 | -28,162,345 | 178,672,085 | 0 | 178,672,085 |
| WILMOT | 176,386,721 | -418,470 | 175,968,251 | 0 | 175,968,251 |
| WILTON | 461,290,317 | -94,382,698 | 366,907,619 | 0 | 366,907,619 |
| WINCHESTER | 284,068,392 | -7,123,112 | 276,945,280 | 0 | 276,945,280 |
| WINDHAM | 2,012,942,270 | 82,171,727 | 2,095,113,997 | 0 | 2,095,113,997 |
| WINDSOR | 26,982,333 | -3,864,345 | 23,117,988 | 0 | 23,117,988 |
| WOLFEBORO | 2,053,586,172 | -11,966 | 2,053,574,206 | 0 | 2,053,574,206 |
| WOODSTOCK | 232,957,710 | 16,002,201 | 248,959,911 | 0 | 248,959,911 |
| STATE TOTAL | 164,657,049,542 | -8,231,071,600 | 156,425,977,942 | 0 | 156,425,977,942 |

## 2010 <br> COMPARISON OF FULL VALUE TAX RATES

The full value tax rate represents the estimated tax rate for a municipality if all the taxable property was assessed at $100 \%$ and includes the equalized value of properties for which a payment in lieu of property taxes is made.

The full value tax rate is calculated as follows: The 2010 gross local property taxes to be raised as reported by the Department of Revenue Administration, Municipal Services Division divided by the total equalized valuation including utility values and equalized railroad taxes.

The full value rates are ranked in ascending order from lowest to highest (1-227 for 2010). If two municipalities have the same full value tax rate they are ranked with the same number. The unincorporated towns (signified by ${ }^{* * *}$ ) have no 2010 tax rate, and thus are not ranked.

The full value tax rate can only be used to make a limited comparison of what a property in one municipality would pay for taxes to a property of equal value in another municipality.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { For example: } & \text { Town A } & \frac{100,000 \times 21.95}{1000=} & \\ & & \$ 2,195.00 \\ & \text { Town B } & \frac{100,000 \times 26.56}{1000=} & \$ 2,656.00\end{array}$
Due to diverse real estate values in New Hampshire, properties of equal value do not equate to properties of equal size or quality.

Prior to making any assumptions or decisions regarding a property owner's tax burden in a municipality, other factors should be considered such as real estate values, municipal services, schools, community make-up, and locational factors including proximity to urban areas, medical facilities, highways, recreation areas, etc.

2010 COMPARISON OF FULL VALUE TAX RATES RANKING
(AVERAGE LEVEL OF ASSESSMENTS (RATIO) SHOWN IN COLM. 4) PREPARED BY NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION

| MUNICIPALITY | 2010 VALUATION |  | COMPARATIVE TAX RATES AND RANKINGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITIES | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { LOCAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { EQ } \\ & \text { RATIO } \end{aligned}$ | FULL VALUE TAX RATE | RANKING* |
| ACWORTH | 103,806,326 | 103,818,114 | \$19.49 | 100.0 | \$19.41 | 96 |
| ALBANY | 103,246,149 | 109,044,338 | \$10.89 | 96.8 | \$10.28 | 20 |
| ALEXANDRIA | 242,000,970 | 202,826,799 | \$17.15 | 115.9 | \$20.17 | 108 |
| ALLENSTOWN | 268,210,884 | 264,040,685 | \$27.38 | 100.0 | \$27.50 | 215 |
| ALSTEAD | 176,965,268 | 157,639,014 | \$23.08 | 112.3 | \$25.84 | 201 |
| ALTON | 1,503,650,983 | 1,529,933,208 | \$12.21 | 98.3 | \$11.98 | 28 |
| AMHERST | 1,873,727,400 | 1,699,858,396 | \$20.48 | 109.6 | \$22.36 | 159 |
| ANDOVER | 265,483,161 | 231,378,175 | \$16.36 | 111.1 | \$18.62 | 80 |
| ANTRIM | 255,246,310 | 250,119,063 | \$24.14 | 100.0 | \$24.43 | 189 |
| ASHLAND | 263,953,161 | 247,931,240 | \$19.41 | 106.9 | \$20.55 | 116 |
| ATKINSON | 1,033,041,768 | 861,030,452 | \$15.21 | 120.0 | \$18.09 | 75 |
| ATKINSON \& GILMANTON | 685,082 | 685,082 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| AUBURN | 600,514,688 | 635,098,380 | \$19.39 | 100.0 | \$18.08 | 74 |
| BARNSTEAD | 465,108,118 | 480,729,252 | \$22.36 | 96.7 | \$21.56 | 141 |
| BARRINGTON | 909,813,024 | 838,917,690 | \$19.57 | 107.6 | \$20.95 | 129 |
| BARTLETT | 970,595,618 | 993,927,605 | \$9.15 | 97.8 | \$8.92 | 13 |
| BATH | 118,496,780 | 123,027,191 | \$16.91 | 100.0 | \$16.09 | 57 |
| BEAN'S GRANT | 443 | 443 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| BEAN'S PURCHASE | 16,880 | 16,880 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| BEDFORD | 3,370,672,920 | 3,250,692,641 | \$19.62 | 103.5 | \$20.23 | 109 |
| BELMONT | 735,333,340 | 659,315,589 | \$20.97 | 111.8 | \$23.12 | 170 |
| BENNINGTON | 113,056,850 | 105,189,079 | \$24.04 | 107.6 | \$25.61 | 200 |
| BENTON | 26,863,488 | 28,731,543 | \$12.83 | 97.3 | \$11.92 | 27 |
| BERLIN | 449,431,257 | 374,228,282 | \$31.70 | 100.0 | \$37.05 | 227 |
| BETHLEHEM | 264,697,566 | 266,708,935 | \$25.06 | 100.0 | \$24.64 | 191 |
| BOSCAWEN | 275,413,836 | 242,498,475 | \$20.98 | 113.8 | \$23.68 | 179 |
| BOW | 1,126,147,012 | 1,170,623,566 | \$24.66 | 100.0 | \$22.98 | 166 |
| BRADFORD | 219,046,262 | 216,745,617 | \$20.58 | 100.0 | \$20.73 | 120 |
| BRENTWOOD | 478,624,896 | 470,144,965 | \$23.86 | 100.0 | \$24.14 | 185 |
| BRIDGEWATER | 377,354,483 | 347,182,767 | \$8.40 | 107.4 | \$9.04 | 14 |
| BRISTOL | 563,880,016 | 488,902,074 | \$16.37 | 113.8 | \$18.78 | 82 |
| BROOKFIELD | 102,872,100 | 102,167,210 | \$14.20 | 100.0 | \$14.23 | 41 |
| BROOKLINE | 583,754,571 | 490,065,197 | \$24.82 | 118.6 | \$29.35 | 222 |
| CAMBRIDGE | 8,294,892 | 8,294,892 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | ** |
| CAMPTON | 410,024,072 | 410,172,655 | \$18.55 | 100.0 | \$18.33 | 77 |
| CANAAN | 360,332,394 | 357,156,106 | \$20.26 | 100.0 | \$20.34 | 112 |
| CANDIA | 403,885,487 | 370,749,786 | \$19.90 | 109.3 | \$21.48 | 137 |
| CANTERBURY | 311,378,093 | 269,125,760 | \$19.95 | 115.3 | \$22.89 | 165 |
| CARROLL | 396,366,759 | 353,178,504 | \$12.28 | 112.5 | \$13.74 | 35 |
| CENTER HARBOR | 471,134,453 | 415,910,125 | \$10.95 | 113.3 | \$12.40 | 29 |
| CHANDLER'S PURCHASE | 49,133 | 49,133 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| CHARLESTOWN | 287,665,160 | 289,254,029 | \$26.45 | 100.0 | \$26.15 | 203 |
| CHATHAM | 51,277,392 | 54,885,367 | \$11.14 | 96.2 | \$10.38 | 21 |
| CHESTER | 588,750,500 | 483,556,691 | \$18.79 | 119.4 | \$22.30 | 157 |
| CHESTERFIELD | 560,747,288 | 561,299,810 | \$17.00 | 100.0 | \$16.92 | 67 |
| CHICHESTER | 291,684,507 | 249,053,550 | \$19.07 | 117.4 | \$22.20 | 153 |
| CLAREMONT | 821,006,340 | 777,236,675 | \$31.34 | 107.0 | \$31.37 | 225 |
| CLARKSVILLE | 40,084,297 | 43,893,477 | \$13.07 | 100.0 | \$11.87 | 26 |
| COLEBROOK | 190,360,597 | 170,772,884 | \$19.80 | 109.6 | \$21.84 | 143 |
| COLUMBIA | 84,803,489 | 76,761,156 | \$15.75 | 100.0 | \$16.71 | 64 |
| CONCORD | 3,879,938,750 | 3,871,416,766 | \$23.16 | 100.0 | \$23.09 | 168 |
| CONWAY | 1,379,279,065 | 1,450,671,385 | \$17.87 | 95.2 | \$16.91 | 66 |
| CORNISH | 185,127,919 | 183,731,757 | \$18.81 | 100.0 | \$18.77 | 81 |

2010 COMPARISON OF FULL VALUE TAX RATES RANKING
(AVERAGE LEVEL OF ASSESSMENTS (RATIO) SHOWN IN COLM. 4) PREPARED BY NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION

| MUNICIPALITY | 2010 VALUATION |  | COMPARATIVE TAX RATES AND RANKINGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODIFIED } \\ & \text { LOCAL } \\ & \text { ASSESSED } \\ & \text { VALUATION } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITIES | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2010 \\ \text { LOCAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { EQ } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | FULL VALUE TAX RATE | RANKING* |
| CRAWFORD'S PURCHASE | 162,453 | 162,453 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| CROYDON | 93,481,077 | 97,080,466 | \$15.77 | 95.0 | \$15.11 | 47 |
| CUTT'S GRANT | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| DALTON | 92,292,292 | 84,647,035 | \$18.20 | 108.5 | \$19.71 | 102 |
| DANBURY | 144,576,512 | 121,467,136 | \$18.75 | 119.4 | \$22.21 | 154 |
| DANVILLE | 445,448,389 | 334,406,107 | \$20.30 | 133.4 | \$26.75 | 209 |
| DEERFIELD | 515,085,164 | 489,399,024 | \$22.96 | 100.0 | \$23.81 | 183 |
| DEERING | 200,320,795 | 195,351,169 | \$23.93 | 100.0 | \$23.98 | 184 |
| DERRY | 2,514,696,320 | 2,518,183,944 | \$28.48 | 100.0 | \$28.05 | 217 |
| DIX GRANT | 926,086 | 926,086 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| DIXVILLE | 16,634,103 | 16,640,565 | \$8.30 | 100.0 | \$8.28 | 11 |
| DORCHESTER | 39,587,550 | 39,615,663 | \$20.76 | 100.0 | \$20.71 | 119 |
| DOVER | 2,657,575,300 | 2,776,723,178 | \$23.75 | 95.5 | \$22.26 | 155 |
| DUBLIN | 255,615,271 | 255,288,332 | \$21.40 | 100.0 | \$21.39 | 136 |
| DUMMER | 54,526,884 | 46,268,719 | \$17.60 | 100.1 | \$19.60 | 101 |
| DUNBARTON | 302,904,962 | 289,876,024 | \$20.50 | 100.0 | \$21.21 | 133 |
| DURHAM | 896,028,866 | 891,359,492 | \$27.28 | 100.0 | \$27.27 | 213 |
| EAST KINGSTON | 295,613,828 | 289,170,347 | \$23.33 | 100.0 | \$23.70 | 180 |
| EASTON | 64,828,275 | 65,531,354 | \$9.43 | 100.0 | \$9.31 | 15 |
| EATON | 110,750,859 | 104,586,672 | \$10.85 | 106.0 | \$11.47 | 23 |
| EFFINGHAM | 179,499,803 | 178,900,983 | \$16.05 | 100.0 | \$16.02 | 56 |
| ELLSWORTH | 13,252,590 | 13,914,374 | \$15.50 | 98.3 | \$14.70 | 43 |
| ENFIELD | 550,899,286 | 551,132,260 | \$20.52 | 100.0 | \$20.12 | 106 |
| EPPING | 615,628,700 | 625,629,077 | \$23.27 | 97.4 | \$22.66 | 162 |
| EPSOM | 401,536,969 | 409,238,479 | \$19.77 | 97.5 | \$19.29 | 95 |
| ERROL | 85,321,709 | 82,346,226 | \$9.56 | 100.0 | \$9.65 | 16 |
| ERVING'S GRANT | 82,104 | 82,104 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| EXETER | 1,586,363,935 | 1,621,490,834 | \$24.61 | 97.9 | \$23.48 | 174 |
| FARMINGTON | 473,521,280 | 428,537,232 | \$20.24 | 110.5 | \$22.06 | 148 |
| FITZWILLIAM | 306,415,271 | 266,176,289 | \$23.74 | 111.3 | \$26.87 | 211 |
| FRANCESTOWN | 210,624,339 | 195,703,833 | \$21.99 | 107.0 | \$23.60 | 176 |
| FRANCONIA | 307,104,163 | 285,914,986 | \$14.00 | 107.7 | \$15.01 | 46 |
| FRANKLIN | 570,060,190 | 568,597,232 | \$21.14 | 100.0 | \$20.84 | 123 |
| FREEDOM | 557,377,970 | 519,017,010 | \$10.90 | 107.4 | \$11.68 | 25 |
| FREMONT | 360,285,890 | 356,628,293 | \$26.55 | 100.0 | \$26.67 | 208 |
| GILFORD | 1,548,646,080 | 1,713,035,500 | \$17.62 | 90.4 | \$15.88 | 51 |
| GILMANTON | 475,637,233 | 471,128,180 | \$21.95 | 100.0 | \$22.01 | 146 |
| GILSUM | 64,603,940 | 63,814,024 | \$25.95 | 100.0 | \$26.05 | 202 |
| GOFFSTOWN | 1,424,999,700 | 1,363,730,458 | \$22.91 | 103.7 | \$23.66 | 178 |
| GORHAM | 320,565,600 | 260,353,882 | \$23.95 | 110.2 | \$28.74 | 220 |
| GOSHEN | 75,826,484 | 75,682,210 | \$23.65 | 100.0 | \$23.62 | 177 |
| GRAFTON | 126,501,554 | 125,059,108 | \$18.91 | 100.0 | \$19.00 | 88 |
| GRANTHAM | 506,637,339 | 504,575,174 | \$19.20 | 100.0 | \$19.24 | 94 |
| GREENFIELD | 155,908,022 | 152,940,168 | \$20.55 | 107.7 | \$20.86 | 125 |
| GREENLAND | 664,095,900 | 661,543,605 | \$14.06 | 100.0 | \$13.99 | 38 |
| GREEN'S GRANT | 4,048,492 | 4,158,491 | \$6.74 | 100.0 | \$6.54 | 4 |
| GREENVILLE | 135,034,246 | 106,814,218 | \$17.51 | 126.3 | \$21.99 | 145 |
| GROTON | 78,595,311 | 62,221,170 | \$12.98 | 113.6 | \$15.94 | 53 |
| HADLEY'S PURCHASE | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| HALE'S LOCATION | 73,985,100 | 69,864,740 | \$3.04 | 106.0 | \$3.21 | 2 |
| HAMPSTEAD | 1,006,022,030 | 1,002,613,788 | \$21.50 | 100.0 | \$21.26 | 134 |
| HAMPTON | 3,085,510,497 | 2,848,886,991 | \$16.11 | 107.9 | \$17.20 | 68 |
| HAMPTON FALLS | 432,529,700 | 430,759,104 | \$19.27 | 100.0 | \$19.15 | 93 |

2010 COMPARISON OF FULL VALUE TAX RATES RANKING
(AVERAGE LEVEL OF ASSESSMENTS (RATIO) SHOWN IN COLM. 4) PREPARED BY NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION

| MUNICIPALITY | 2010 VALUATION |  | COMPARATIVE TAX RATES AND RANKINGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITIES | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { LOCAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { EQ } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | FULL VALUE TAX RATE | RANKING* |
| HANCOCK | 274,326,950 | 248,980,948 | \$17.30 | 110.5 | \$19.00 | 88 |
| HANOVER | 1,966,157,200 | 1,957,547,081 | \$16.32 | 100.0 | \$16.35 | 60 |
| HARRISVILLE | 213,157,742 | 212,257,762 | \$14.25 | 100.0 | \$14.28 | 42 |
| HART'S LOCATION | 15,479,942 | 16,579,165 | \$7.26 | 96.4 | \$6.75 | 5 |
| HAVERHILL | 396,617,163 | 320,332,580 | \$19.88 | 118.9 | \$24.39 | 188 |
| HEBRON | 282,180,590 | 266,707,306 | \$7.59 | 107.0 | \$7.99 | 10 |
| HENNIKER | 385,935,729 | 404,833,160 | \$29.82 | 94.8 | \$27.96 | 216 |
| HILL | 106,587,650 | 94,309,165 | \$18.24 | 108.7 | \$20.33 | 111 |
| HILLSBOROUGH | 606,321,466 | 473,759,571 | \$20.74 | 126.2 | \$26.32 | 205 |
| HINSDALE | 351,149,437 | 326,070,510 | \$23.84 | 107.1 | \$24.80 | 192 |
| HOLDERNESS | 683,421,957 | 684,483,155 | \$13.11 | 100.0 | \$13.07 | 32 |
| HOLLIS | 1,247,653,250 | 1,213,570,433 | \$21.47 | 102.8 | \$21.95 | 144 |
| HOOKSETT | 1,665,070,747 | 1,571,296,165 | \$21.68 | 104.3 | \$22.34 | 158 |
| HOPKINTON | 647,417,587 | 672,705,613 | \$26.72 | 95.3 | \$25.47 | 197 |
| HUDSON | 2,945,398,768 | 2,549,959,835 | \$16.11 | 112.6 | \$18.29 | 76 |
| JACKSON | 383,973,468 | 385,636,213 | \$10.00 | 100.0 | \$9.95 | 18 |
| JAFFREY | 451,958,880 | 452,243,040 | \$26.95 | 100.0 | \$26.79 | 210 |
| JEFFERSON | 138,083,701 | 137,674,892 | \$15.76 | 100.0 | \$15.73 | 50 |
| KEENE | 1,916,940,100 | 1,889,927,826 | \$30.17 | 100.0 | \$30.31 | 223 |
| KENSINGTON | 346,805,329 | 320,650,021 | \$18.87 | 107.3 | \$20.12 | 106 |
| KILKENNY | 11,629 | 11,629 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| KINGSTON | 711,296,948 | 647,698,604 | \$20.38 | 109.4 | \$22.20 | 153 |
| LACONIA | 1,933,380,482 | 2,006,065,157 | \$19.81 | 97.1 | \$18.93 | 86 |
| LANCASTER | 286,091,690 | 259,002,546 | \$18.52 | 109.6 | \$20.30 | 110 |
| LANDAFF | 41,691,368 | 51,002,904 | \$20.12 | 81.9 | \$16.41 | 61 |
| LANGDON | 68,828,866 | 60,948,872 | \$21.90 | 113.1 | \$24.60 | 190 |
| LEBANON | 1,842,048,920 | 1,898,564,242 | \$23.35 | 97.9 | \$22.37 | 160 |
| LEE | 506,108,991 | 414,244,978 | \$25.42 | 122.2 | \$30.33 | 224 |
| LEMPSTER | 167,283,781 | 170,981,876 | \$18.45 | 100.0 | \$17.32 | 69 |
| LINCOLN | 863,575,614 | 783,927,460 | \$9.20 | 109.6 | \$10.05 | 19 |
| LISBON | 111,972,132 | 111,930,764 | \$28.61 | 100.0 | \$28.39 | 219 |
| LITCHFIELD | 805,797,206 | 789,849,357 | \$19.20 | 100.0 | \$19.42 | 97 |
| LITTLETON | 750,393,400 | 625,125,972 | \$22.83 | 100.0 | \$26.39 | 206 |
| LIVERMORE | 145,080 | 145,080 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| LONDONDERRY | 3,341,712,944 | 3,147,464,465 | \$20.33 | 104.9 | \$21.07 | 131 |
| LOUDON | 568,074,212 | 517,710,435 | \$19.16 | 109.5 | \$20.89 | 126 |
| LOW \& BURBANK GRANT | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| LYMAN | 59,421,383 | 59,791,676 | \$19.00 | 99.2 | \$18.81 | 84 |
| LYME | 324,408,900 | 322,661,495 | \$19.17 | 100.0 | \$19.06 | 89 |
| LYNDEBOROUGH | 165,561,338 | 173,440,155 | \$21.30 | 95.1 | \$20.30 | 110 |
| MADBURY | 232,009,799 | 215,267,468 | \$23.99 | 105.0 | \$25.56 | 199 |
| MADISON | 456,346,887 | 452,033,992 | \$13.98 | 100.0 | \$14.05 | 39 |
| MANCHESTER | 10,092,291,800 | 8,468,838,171 | \$17.81 | 119.6 | \$20.58 | 118 |
| MARLBOROUGH | 209,478,880 | 189,580,136 | \$23.17 | 110.2 | \$25.53 | 198 |
| MARLOW | 63,517,127 | 64,752,282 | \$22.46 | 98.3 | \$21.83 | 142 |
| MARTIN'S LOCATION | 36,089 | 36,089 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| MASON | 172,600,245 | 159,352,411 | \$21.64 | 108.2 | \$23.30 | 173 |
| MEREDITH | 1,837,545,273 | 1,779,083,365 | \$12.80 | 103.3 | \$13.19 | 33 |
| MERRIMACK | 3,267,098,954 | 2,855,681,727 | \$19.53 | 114.4 | \$22.05 | 147 |
| MIDDLETON | 183,080,247 | 166,942,069 | \$16.92 | 108.9 | \$18.49 | 78 |
| MILAN | 122,876,065 | 113,558,340 | \$15.59 | 100.0 | \$16.31 | 59 |
| MILFORD | 1,594,756,971 | 1,325,166,183 | \$19.34 | 120.5 | \$23.15 | 171 |
| MILLSFIELD | 6,441,336 | 6,441,336 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |

2010 COMPARISON OF FULL VALUE TAX RATES RANKING
(AVERAGE LEVEL OF ASSESSMENTS (RATIO) SHOWN IN COLM. 4) PREPARED BY NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION

| MUNICIPALITY | 2010 VALUATION |  | COMPARATIVE TAX RATES AND RANKINGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITIES | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { LOCAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { EQ } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FULL } \\ \text { VALUE } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | RANKING* |
| MILTON | 391,275,937 | 390,258,213 | \$22.57 | 100.0 | \$22.40 | 161 |
| MONROE | 357,757,220 | 198,592,636 | \$10.05 | 111.5 | \$15.17 | 48 |
| MONT VERNON | 253,267,936 | 253,267,936 | \$25.49 | 100.0 | \$25.37 | 195 |
| MOULTONBOROUGH | 2,807,578,914 | 2,894,616,078 | \$8.12 | 97.2 | \$7.86 | 9 |
| NASHUA | 8,593,518,189 | 8,519,356,326 | \$20.40 | 100.0 | \$20.15 | 107 |
| NELSON | 121,094,027 | 120,779,777 | \$19.11 | 100.0 | \$19.09 | 91 |
| NEW BOSTON | 667,112,889 | 555,610,185 | \$17.25 | 119.1 | \$20.58 | 118 |
| NEW CASTLE | 707,754,631 | 600,907,304 | \$5.80 | 117.7 | \$6.82 | 6 |
| NEW DURHAM | 417,559,400 | 417,501,501 | \$21.19 | 100.0 | \$21.10 | 132 |
| NEW HAMPTON | 334,742,967 | 319,188,646 | \$15.72 | 100.0 | \$16.28 | 58 |
| NEW IPSWICH | 415,866,941 | 371,620,320 | \$17.90 | 110.8 | \$19.87 | 104 |
| NEW LONDON | 1,080,930,782 | 1,163,183,564 | \$14.88 | 92.9 | \$13.80 | 36 |
| NEWBURY | 705,586,059 | 703,120,058 | \$13.85 | 100.0 | \$13.88 | 37 |
| NEWFIELDS | 258,826,519 | 238,242,064 | \$22.19 | 108.7 | \$23.79 | 182 |
| NEWINGTON | 932,163,631 | 975,640,252 | \$9.12 | 100.0 | \$7.57 | 8 |
| NEWMARKET | 759,870,361 | 759,298,157 | \$22.00 | 100.0 | \$21.52 | 139 |
| NEWPORT | 501,228,336 | 445,724,949 | \$24.02 | 111.9 | \$26.79 | 210 |
| NEWTON | 459,589,556 | 458,059,244 | \$23.84 | 100.0 | \$23.78 | 181 |
| NORTH HAMPTON | 1,019,401,500 | 1,018,252,684 | \$14.98 | 100.0 | \$14.77 | 44 |
| NORTHFIELD | 356,784,927 | 300,306,419 | \$19.80 | 119.4 | \$23.11 | 169 |
| NORTHUMBERLAND | 132,975,800 | 113,000,199 | \$25.34 | 110.2 | \$29.22 | 221 |
| NORTHWOOD | 474,708,844 | 474,994,868 | \$24.56 | 100.0 | \$24.27 | 187 |
| NOTTINGHAM | 532,896,849 | 552,977,992 | \$19.92 | 96.4 | \$18.97 | 87 |
| ODELL | 2,064,360 | 2,064,360 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| ORANGE | 29,150,175 | 29,010,779 | \$19.52 | 100.0 | \$19.47 | 98 |
| ORFORD | 146,802,932 | 138,789,819 | \$25.17 | 104.9 | \$26.56 | 207 |
| OSSIPEE | 708,191,204 | 660,923,250 | \$14.99 | 106.0 | \$15.92 | 52 |
| PELHAM | 1,438,833,969 | 1,421,705,039 | \$19.53 | 100.0 | \$19.55 | 100 |
| PEMBROKE | 612,695,535 | 571,543,286 | \$25.84 | 105.0 | \$27.43 | 214 |
| PETERBOROUGH | 704,307,236 | 705,557,676 | \$24.28 | 100.0 | \$23.55 | 175 |
| PIERMONT | 93,382,082 | 93,504,321 | \$21.68 | 100.0 | \$21.53 | 140 |
| PINKHAM'S GRANT | 2,875,532 | 2,999,065 | \$7.89 | 100.0 | \$7.48 | 7 |
| PITTSBURG | 282,054,264 | 276,744,155 | \$13.35 | 104.7 | \$13.52 | 34 |
| PITTSFIELD | 264,509,633 | 247,445,108 | \$30.66 | 107.2 | \$32.43 | 226 |
| PLAINFIELD | 287,355,842 | 283,852,881 | \$23.75 | 100.0 | \$23.25 | 172 |
| PLAISTOW | 841,975,408 | 920,467,303 | \$24.72 | 90.3 | \$22.18 | 152 |
| PLYMOUTH | 444,198,879 | 422,549,165 | \$20.09 | 104.3 | \$21.00 | 130 |
| PORTSMOUTH | 3,927,256,000 | 4,088,268,814 | \$17.41 | 97.4 | \$16.51 | 62 |
| RANDOLPH | 67,472,482 | 60,625,294 | \$14.59 | 113.1 | \$16.09 | 57 |
| RAYMOND | 1,031,591,266 | 879,891,513 | \$18.14 | 115.9 | \$20.91 | 127 |
| RICHMOND | 103,664,560 | 101,208,332 | \$24.76 | 100.0 | \$25.24 | 194 |
| RINDGE | 552,547,407 | 552,159,321 | \$23.52 | 100.0 | \$23.06 | 167 |
| ROCHESTER | 2,034,390,740 | 2,100,896,770 | \$23.89 | 97.0 | \$22.75 | 163 |
| ROLLINSFORD | 262,966,503 | 261,470,991 | \$20.53 | 100.0 | \$20.35 | 113 |
| ROXBURY | 24,961,810 | 28,007,205 | \$23.11 | 101.0 | \$20.50 | 115 |
| RUMNEY | 191,047,846 | 187,025,875 | \$18.33 | 100.0 | \$18.56 | 79 |
| RYE | 1,789,497,690 | 1,787,153,031 | \$9.99 | 100.0 | \$9.95 | 18 |
| SALEM | 4,702,258,399 | 3,834,094,419 | \$14.84 | 122.0 | \$18.07 | 73 |
| SALISBURY | 154,511,100 | 139,069,825 | \$18.77 | 110.1 | \$20.49 | 114 |
| SANBORNTON | 431,322,589 | 433,536,786 | \$18.99 | 100.0 | \$18.84 | 85 |
| SANDOWN | 599,813,251 | 525,943,436 | \$20.38 | 114.1 | \$22.87 | 164 |
| SANDWICH | 440,065,726 | 481,744,771 | \$10.81 | 90.6 | \$9.83 | 17 |
| SARGENT'S PURCHASE | 1,852,720 | 1,852,720 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |

2010 COMPARISON OF FULL VALUE TAX RATES RANKING
(AVERAGE LEVEL OF ASSESSMENTS (RATIO) SHOWN IN COLM. 4) PREPARED BY NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE ADMINISTRATION

| MUNICIPALITY | 2010 VALUATION |  | COMPARATIVE TAX RATES AND RANKINGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION | TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION INCLUDING UTILITIES | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { LOCAL } \\ \text { TAX RATE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { EQ } \\ & \text { RATIO } \end{aligned}$ | FULL VALUE TAX RATE | RANKING* |
| SEABROOK | 2,889,474,550 | 2,416,157,324 | \$13.27 | 100.0 | \$14.16 | 40 |
| SECOND COLLEGE GRANT | 1,434,022 | 1,434,022 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | **** |
| SHARON | 54,253,756 | 50,102,944 | \$19.92 | 108.0 | \$21.50 | 138 |
| SHELBURNE | 82,437,455 | 69,377,668 | \$14.23 | 110.8 | \$15.98 | 55 |
| SOMERSWORTH | 839,157,275 | 839,385,081 | \$27.32 | 100.0 | \$27.20 | 212 |
| SOUTH HAMPTON | 163,215,857 | 144,846,432 | \$15.22 | 112.0 | \$16.86 | 65 |
| SPRINGFIELD | 197,316,339 | 196,510,776 | \$19.19 | 100.0 | \$19.07 | 90 |
| STARK | 68,461,600 | 67,789,410 | \$15.91 | 100.0 | \$15.63 | 49 |
| STEWARTSTOWN | 114,625,640 | 93,331,044 | \$17.62 | 116.8 | \$21.21 | 133 |
| STODDARD | 280,483,050 | 277,188,524 | \$12.80 | 100.0 | \$12.89 | 31 |
| STRAFFORD | 500,577,900 | 447,164,434 | \$19.76 | 112.0 | \$22.08 | 149 |
| STRATFORD | 75,732,891 | 59,704,616 | \$20.00 | 117.9 | \$24.20 | 186 |
| STRATHAM | 1,204,469,963 | 1,171,990,634 | \$18.73 | 102.6 | \$19.15 | 93 |
| SUCCESS | 11,010,689 | 11,010,689 | \$0.00 | 100.0 | \$0.00 | *** |
| SUGAR HILL | 149,350,913 | 161,988,613 | \$17.97 | 92.1 | \$16.54 | 63 |
| SULLIVAN | 55,930,587 | 55,238,369 | \$25.53 | 100.0 | \$25.46 | 196 |
| SUNAPEE | 1,147,046,470 | 1,214,686,783 | \$13.63 | 94.0 | \$12.85 | 30 |
| SURRY | 81,681,108 | 86,855,012 | \$15.85 | 100.0 | \$14.86 | 45 |
| SUTTON | 266,277,744 | 277,202,009 | \$20.83 | 96.0 | \$19.94 | 105 |
| SWANZEY | 588,493,820 | 580,642,127 | \$24.48 | 100.0 | \$24.39 | 188 |
| TAMWORTH | 368,405,276 | 357,610,274 | \$17.75 | 100.0 | \$18.06 | 72 |
| TEMPLE | 151,796,734 | 150,600,643 | \$21.26 | 100.0 | \$21.37 | 135 |
| THOM \& MES PURCHASE | 5,450,736 | 6,043,471 | \$1.34 | 100.0 | \$1.21 | 1 |
| THORNTON | 368,031,001 | 348,417,885 | \$17.16 | 105.3 | \$18.03 | 71 |
| TILTON | 517,673,185 | 506,072,314 | \$18.65 | 100.0 | \$18.80 | 83 |
| TROY | 130,232,553 | 121,779,944 | \$26.75 | 100.0 | \$28.29 | 218 |
| TUFTONBORO | 1,025,004,365 | 1,018,583,103 | \$8.49 | 100.0 | \$8.51 | 12 |
| UNITY | 131,969,870 | 130,133,233 | \$21.89 | 100.0 | \$22.12 | 150 |
| WAKEFIELD | 882,081,260 | 882,719,950 | \$11.69 | 100.0 | \$11.62 | 24 |
| WALPOLE | 443,036,384 | 408,909,731 | \$19.26 | 108.0 | \$20.74 | 121 |
| WARNER | 280,744,785 | 278,327,018 | \$24.97 | 100.0 | \$25.01 | 193 |
| WARREN | 86,304,915 | 81,724,332 | \$18.74 | 100.0 | \$19.49 | 99 |
| WASHINGTON | 249,855,265 | 235,472,985 | \$16.98 | 105.4 | \$17.97 | 70 |
| WATERVILLE VALLEY | 361,196,109 | 363,445,298 | \$11.95 | 100.0 | \$11.87 | 26 |
| WEARE | 945,939,656 | 781,431,562 | \$17.33 | 119.9 | \$20.75 | 122 |
| WEBSTER | 231,758,531 | 197,436,865 | \$16.99 | 113.3 | \$19.72 | 103 |
| WENTWORTH | 107,797,526 | 86,774,096 | \$17.00 | 118.8 | \$20.85 | 124 |
| WENTWORTH LOCATION | 9,246,572 | 9,264,379 | \$4.89 | 100.0 | \$4.86 | 3 |
| WESTMORELAND | 205,334,667 | 182,750,534 | \$17.05 | 111.4 | \$19.11 | 92 |
| WHITEFIELD | 206,834,430 | 181,408,692 | \$19.82 | 110.9 | \$22.28 | 156 |
| WILMOT | 176,386,721 | 176,051,069 | \$20.57 | 100.0 | \$20.57 | 117 |
| WILTON | 461,290,317 | 367,204,529 | \$18.77 | 125.6 | \$23.55 | 175 |
| WINCHESTER | 284,068,392 | 277,839,595 | \$25.93 | 100.0 | \$26.26 | 204 |
| WINDHAM | 2,012,942,270 | 2,095,617,061 | \$21.98 | 95.9 | \$20.93 | 128 |
| WINDSOR | 26,982,333 | 24,188,612 | \$19.89 | 117.4 | \$22.15 | 151 |
| WOLFEBORO | 2,053,586,172 | 2,055,218,932 | \$11.04 | 100.0 | \$11.01 | 22 |
| WOODSTOCK | 232,957,710 | 250,586,883 | \$17.31 | 93.5 | \$15.96 | 54 |
| STATE TOTAL | 164,657,049,542 | 156,897,212,108 | \$18.81 | 105.4 | \$19.56 |  |

## 2010

## ASSESSMENT

## REVIEW

## REPORT



A Level and Uniformity of Assessments
$>$ Ratio 90\% - 100\% with 90\% confidence level
$>$ RSA 21-J:11-a,l,(a)
COD (Coefficient of Dispersion) of median ratio not greater than 20 (no confidence interval)
$>$ RSA 21-J:11-a,l,(a)
B Assessing Practices
$>$ RSA 91-A access, All records of the municipalities assessor's office should be available to the public pursuant to RSA 91-A
> 95\% of sample: RSA 74:1
o Must reflect April 1 value
o Must reflect construction done by April 1 and not after
> Have revised inventory program - RSA 75:8
> 85\% of Current Use Sample - RSA 79-A:5
o Form A-10 timely filed
o Form CU-12 timely filed
o Valued per CUB 304 (current use board rules)
o Land Use Change Tax
> Appraisal Contracts to Department of Revenue Administration (DRA) - RSA 21-J:11
o Submitted prior to start
o Include personnel in contract

## C Exemptions and Credits

> Periodic Review By Town - RSA 72:33,VI
o Elderly Exemption; RSA 72:39-a \& b
o Veterans' Tax Credit; RSA 72:28
o Tax Credit for Service-Connected Total Disability; RSA: 72:35
o Exemption for the Blind; RSA 72:37 (optional)
o Exemption for the Disabled; RSA 72:37-b (optional)
o Exemption for Deaf or Severely Hearing Impaired Persons; RSA 72:38-b (optional) (new)
$>$ RSA 72:23-c
o Religious - Board of Tax \& Land Appeals (BTLA), A-9
o Educational - BTLA, A-9
o Charitable - BTLA, A-9
> RSA 72:23, VI
o Charitable - BTLA, A-12
D Data Accuracy
$>80 \%$ of property record cards must be free of material errors; RSA 21-J:11-a,l,(d)
$>$ Verify accuracy of data elements (report to ASB); RSA 21-J:11-a, I,(d)
E Proportionality
$>$ Verify PRD (Price Related Differential) (report to ASB);
RSA 21-J:11-a,l,(e) Should be between .98 and 1.03 with a $90 \%$ confidence level
$>$ Median ratio, with $90 \%$ confidence level for all strata within $5 \%$ of overall median point estimate; RSA 21-J-a,l,(e)
o Improved residential
o Improved non-residential
o Unimproved property
F USPAP
$>$ Verify USPAP complaint report based on Standard t of the 2005 Edition of USPAP

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Albany | Alstead | Alton | Berlin | Bradford | Brookfield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| $\approx \boxed{N}$ | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's <br> Asb III,C,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s <br> Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 84.21\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 63.16\% | 84.21\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Clarksville | Columbia | Croydon | Deerfield | Deering | Derry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B, 2 | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 Asb III,B,4,c | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees <br> Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits Asb III,C,1,a | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's <br> Asb III,C, 2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within $5 \%$ of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. <br> Asb III, F, 1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 73.68\% | 73.68\% | 84.21\% | 100.00\% | 68.42\% | 94.74\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Easton | Effingham | Ellsworth | Epping | Epsom | Errol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment <br> Date-No Value if Doesn't <br> Exist April 1st <br> Asb III,B,2 | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| $\frac{\pi}{2} \approx \frac{\beta}{2}$ | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BTLA Form A-9's } \\ \text { Asb III,C, } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BTLA Form A-12s } \\ \text { Asb III,C,3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D, 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. <br> Asb III, F, 1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 78.95\% | 100.00\% | 84.21\% | 84.21\% | 100.00\% | 84.21\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Fremont | Goshen | Grafton | Grantham | Hale's Loc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted <br> Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Contract Employees } \\ \text { Asb III,B,5,b } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's <br> Asb III,C,2 | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s <br> Asb III,C,3 | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within $5 \%$ of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F,1 | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 100.00\% | 89.47\% | 63.16\% | 68.42\% | 94.74\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Hart's Loc. | Henniker | Hillsborough | Jaffrey | Lebanon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B, 3 | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Contract Employees } \\ \text { Asb III,B,5,b } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's <br> Asb III,C,2 | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D, 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E, 2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 100.00\% | 94.74\% | 89.47\% | 89.47\% | 68.42\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Lempster | Litchfield | Littleton | Livermore | Lyman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment <br> Date-No Value if Doesn't <br> Exist April 1st <br> Asb III,B,2 | MET | MET | NOT MET |  | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | MET | NOT MET |  | NOT MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | MET | NOT MET |  | NOT MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | MET | MET | NOT MET |  | NOT MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | NOT MET |  | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | NOT MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | NOT MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BTLA Form A-9's } \\ \text { Asb III,C, } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NOT MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III, C, 3 | NOT MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET |  | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F,1 | MET | MET | NOT MET |  | MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 78.95\% | 100.00\% | 68.42\% | 0.00\% | 84.21\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Madbury | Madison | Marlow | Milan | Nashua |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B, 2 | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program <br> Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 Asb III,B,4,c | MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's Asb III,C, 2 | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. <br> Asb III, F, 1 | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 100.00\% | 78.95\% | 100.00\% | 57.89\% | 89.47\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | New Durham | New London | Newbury | Newington | Newton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B, 2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| $\mathscr{N}$ | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| $\approx \bigwedge \longdiv { 4 }$ | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BTLA Form A-9's } \\ \text { Asb III,C,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s <br> Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 94.74\% | 89.47\% | 84.21\% | 94.74\% | 100.00\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Northwood | Nottingham | Orange | Orford | Ossipee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | NOT MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees <br> Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BTLA Form A-9's } \\ \text { Asb III,C,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 68.42\% | 84.21\% | 73.68\% | 73.68\% | 73.68\% |


| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Pittsfield | Rindge | Rochester |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 Asb III,B,4,c | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Contract Employees } \\ \text { Asb III,B,5,b } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's Asb III,C, 2 | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s <br> Asb III,C, 3 | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 89.47\% | 63.16\% | 100.00\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Rollinsford | Rye | Springfield | Stratham | Sutton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | NOT MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BTLA Form A-9's } \\ \text { Asb III,C,2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III,C, 3 | NOT MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET | NOT MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 63.16\% | 94.74\% | 78.95\% | 100.00\% | 89.47\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Tuftonboro | Warner | Washington | Wilmot | Winchester |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | NOT MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | NOT MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 Asb III,B,4,c | NOT MET | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
| 3 Sub Categories | BTLA Form A-9's <br> Asb III,C, 2 | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
|  | BTLA Form A-12s Asb III,C, 3 | MET | MET | NOT MET | NOT MET | MET |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D, 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within $5 \%$ of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | MET | MET |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F, 1 | MET | MET | NOT MET | MET | MET |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 84.21\% | 100.00\% | 73.68\% | 63.16\% | 100.00\% |

## 2010 ASSESSMENT REVIEW REPORT SUMMARY COMPARISON

| CATEGORY | ASB GUIDELINE | Windham | Wolfeboro | Woodstock | MET | NOT MET | MET |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level and Uniformity of Assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { Asb III, A,1 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | 63 | 0 | 102\% |
| 2 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \text { COD } \\ \text { Asb III, A,2 } \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | 63 | 0 | 102\% |
| Assessing Practices |  | MET | MET | MET | 62 | 1 | 100\% |
| 9 Sub Categories | April 1st Assessment Date-No Value if Doesn't Exist April 1st Asb III,B,2 | NOT MET | MET | MET | 51 | 12 | 82\% |
|  | Revised Inventory Program Asb III,B,3 | MET | MET | MET | 61 | 2 | 98\% |
|  | Current Use A-10's <br> Asb III,B,4,a | MET | MET | NOT MET | 34 | 29 | 55\% |
|  | Current Use A-12's <br> Asb III,B,4,b | MET | MET | NOT MET | 34 | 29 | 55\% |
|  | Compliance with CUB 304 <br> Asb III,B,4,c | MET | MET | NOT MET | 34 | 29 | 55\% |
|  | Land Use Change Tax Asb III,B,4,d | MET | MET | MET | 62 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Contracts Submitted Asb III,B,5,a | MET | MET | MET | 62 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Contract Employees Asb III,B,5,b | MET | MET | MET | 63 | 0 | 102\% |
| Exemptions \& Credits | Periodic Review of Tax Credits <br> Asb III,C,1,a | MET | MET | NOT MET | 46 | 17 | 74\% |
|  | Periodic Review of Exemptions Asb III,C,1,b | MET | MET | NOT MET | 48 | 15 | 77\% |
| 3 Sub Categories | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BTLA Form A-9's } \\ \text { Asb III,C, } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | NOT MET | 51 | 12 | 82\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BTLA Form A-12s } \\ \text { Asb III,C,3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | NOT MET | 52 | 11 | 90\% |
| Data Accuracy | 80\% Free of Material Errors Asb III,D,1 | MET | MET | MET | 62 | 1 | 100\% |
| 2 Sub Categories | Accuracy of Data Elements-Advisory Only Asb III,D,2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Proportionality | $\begin{gathered} \text { PRD } \\ \text { Asb III, E, } 1 \end{gathered}$ | MET | MET | MET | 62 | 1 | 100\% |
| 2 Sub Categories | Strata within 5\% of Overall MediaPRD Asb III,E,2 | MET | MET | MET | 63 | 0 | 102\% |
| USPAP Compliant Report | Copies of this report shall be delivered to the municipality and to the DRA at no additional cost. Asb III, F,1 | MET | NOT MET | MET | 46 | 17 | 74\% |
| \% of ASB Criteria Met |  | 94.74\% | 94.74\% | 63.16\% |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Please note that mathematically there cannot be a percentage of increase from the number zero.
    ${ }^{2}$ The reader may note that the total for the categories is actually 56 , but only 53 orders were actually issued as there were three cases that involved two different tax types.
    ${ }^{3}$ The reader may note that the total for the categories is actually 92 , but only 91 orders were actually issued as there was one case that involved two different tax types.

[^1]:    * Per returns received as of March 14, 2012.

[^2]:    * Per returns received as of March 14, 2012.

[^3]:    ** The towns above have utilities assessed by the town - not the state - which need to be equalized; the value equalized is added to the modified local assessed valuation not including utilities figure.

