1 2	Assessing Standards Board Subcommittee to Review Assessment Review Standards
3	Draft
5	DATE : April 10, 2023 TIME : 1:05 p.m.
6	LOCATION: NH Department of Revenue – Training Room, 109 Pleasant Street, Concord
7	SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS
8 9	(E) Excused
10 11 12 13	Robert Edwards, Chair Loren Martin Joe Lessard Betsey Patten Sam Greene Bob Gagne
14	MEMBERS of the PUBLIC
15 16	No public in attendance.
17 18	Mr. Edwards convened the meeting at 1:30 p.m.
19 20 21	This meeting is being held to review the various comments and suggested edits received on the recommended standards presented to the full Board on March 31, 2023.
22	Paragraph III.
232425	There was a suggestion to change the "six" assessment areas to <u>five</u> . After some discussion, the change was accepted to present as a recommendation.
26 27	There were a couple of additional changes DRA brought forth for clarification.
28	• The first was to add to RSA 21-J:14-b in paragraph III., which is the reference to USPAP.
29	• The second was to identify each of the standards in 1., 2. and 3., as shown below.
30 31 32 33	III. These standards address the <u>five</u> assessment areas that the Commissioner may consider, which are specifically identified in RSA 21-J:11-a <u>and RSA 21-J:14-b</u> , in regard to whether the:
34 35 36 37	A. Level of assessments and uniformity of assessments are within acceptable ranges as established by the ASB by considering, where appropriate, an assessment-to-sales ratio study conducted by the DRA for the municipality.
38 39	1. <u>Level of Assessments.</u> The DRA shall determine if the median ratio falls between 0.90 and 1.10, inclusive, with a 90% confidence interval in the year of the review.
40 41 42 43	2. <u>Uniformity of Assessments.</u> The DRA shall determine if the overall coefficient of dispersion (COD) for the municipality's median ratio is not greater than 20.0 without the use of a confidence interval.

45 46

47 48

49

50 51 52

53 54

55

56 57

58 59 60

61 62

63 64 65

66 67

68 69

70 71 72

73 74 75

76 77 78

80 81 82

83

84

79

85 86 87

88

89 90

91 92 **Proportionality of Assessments.** The DRA shall determine that assessments of various types of properties are reasonably proportional to other types of properties within the municipality by:

A brief discussion about Standard B.1.b. relating to documents being returned to the applicant followed. It had been mentioned previously that some assessors shred or destroy copies of documents rather than return to the applicant. The statute is clear that confidential documents provided under RSA 72:34 I. and II., shall be returned. The language suggested in b., below, was agreed to.

- B. Assessment practices substantially comply with applicable statutes and rules.
 - 1. The DRA shall determine that all records of the municipality's assessing offices are maintained in accordance with applicable statutes and administrative rules by ensuring that:
 - a. All [P]public records, including but not limited to, property record cards, tax maps, data collection manuals, sales analysis pertaining to assessment values, USPAP report, property inventory warrants, and inventory forms, if applicable, are **made** available to the public [upon request] in accordance with RSA 91-A; and
 - b. All confidential records, including but not limited to, all documents submitted in the furtherance of applications for a tax credit or exemption, or a worksheet used to summarize such documents are kept in a non-public file and that those documents or copies of documents provided under RSA 72:34 I. and II., are returned to the applicant after the final disposition of the application.

There was a review of the suggested edits below. No changes were made.

- 4. The DRA shall determine that 85% of the current use property records in the sample reviewed have:
 - b. If applicable, a timely filed **forest stewardship plan or** Form CU-12, Summary of Forest Stewardship Plan for Current Use Assessment, or forest stewardship plan, in accordance with [RSA 79-A:5 and] Cub 304.07;
 - d. A procedure to determine, prior to July 1 of each year, if previously classified land has undergone a change in use for purposes of assessing the Land Use Change Tax in accordance with RSA 79-A:7 and Cub 308, and documentation on which the tax was based.
- The DRA shall determine that, in accordance with RSA 21-J:11, all appraisal service contracts or agreements in effect during the assessment review year for tax assessment purposes [are]:
 - a. Are [S] submitted to the DRA, prior to work commencing, as notification that appraisal work shall be done in the municipality;
 - b. Include the names and levels of certification of all personnel to be employed under the contract or agreement; and,

c. **Include** [P]proof of indemnification or insurance.

C. [The DRA shall determine that e]Exemption and tax credit procedures substantially comply with applicable statutes and rules by testing to see that:

D. [The DRA shall determine that a]Assessments are based on reasonably accurate data:

There was a brief discussion about Standard III.D.2. and whether it should be noted this was advisory only or if it should be stated. Mr. Greene explained that the municipalities are notified this is advisory information only. No change was made.

2. The level of accuracy of the data elements will be determined by the DRA by comparing the information regularly collected on a sample of property record cards with the actual property. The DRA will report the number of parcels sampled that have three or more data element errors. Prior to commencement of the review process, the DRA will meet with the municipality's assessing officials to obtain an understanding of the municipality's data collection techniques used to determine value and the data elements regularly collected by the municipality that are included on the municipality's property record cards.

The following change was made, similar to C. and D., above, to flow with paragraph III.

E. [For all] **R[r]evaluations** including full revaluations, partial revaluations, cyclical revaluations and statistical updates conducted by either an independent contractor or an in-house assessor, a report based on the most recent edition of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) shall be produced within 30 days of the submission of the DRA MS-1 report:

2. The DRA shall review these reports **for timeliness** and compliance with the most recent edition of the USPAP and incorporate its findings in the assessment review process.

Mr. Michaud inquired why the ASB would not consider changing the 30-day USPAP filing requirement due to the large percentage of municipalities not meeting the requirement. He added that the market is showing that the 30-days is too aggressive and, using the thought process for reducing the percentage on materials errors because almost all municipalities meet the standard, wouldn't the same idea make sense on a standard that a majority of municipalities do not meet?

Ms. Martin stated the first standard for filing a USPAP report was January 1, and the results were not all that different. She believes that when a taxpayer receives a tax bill, information should be available for them to review. It was stated by several members they do not believe that increasing the time to file will significantly change the result.

Mr. Gagne added that there is a process to request and receive an extension, which Mr. Greene stated are rarely, if ever, not approved. The question was raised whether an extension will still meet the 30-day requirement for this standard, or not. Mr. Greene suggested even with the extension, not all reports are received by the extension date.

Mr. Greene suggested that if a USPAP manual is not available to a taxpayer, they may not know whether to file an appeal or not. On the other hand, how many taxpayers actually look at the report? He added that assessment review is a review of a municipality's assessing practices and not having a USPAP report reflects on the municipality not the assessor. And, many contracts have a penalty for late

142 filing of the report and others hold payment back until everything is received however most municipalities will not pursue the penalty amount due. 143 144 Mr. Edwards asked what the committee would like to do, keep the 30-day requirement or change it. Ms. 145 Martin did not offer an opinion; all other members felt the 30-day requirement should not be changed. 146 Mr. Greene did say that there has been some improvement and feels that with better preparation and 147 more time, it will continue to improve. Ms. Martin added now that all municipalities have been through 148 the process with the requirement in place, she feels it will improve as well. 149 150 Ms. Martin had mentioned that she will be posting a draft report and Mr. Michaud suggested that many 151 152 municipalities will not release a draft USPAP report until the DRA has reviewed it and that it could be many, many months or even a year for that to happen. 153 154 Mr. Lessard motioned to recommend the changes as presented to the full Board; Mr. Gagne seconded 155 the motion. Mr. Edwards called the motion. All approved. Motion passed unanimously. 156 157 Mr. Gagne *motioned to adjourn*; Mr. Greene *seconded the motion*. 158 159 Mr. Edwards adjourned the meeting at 2:10 p.m. 160 161 162 163 Respectfully submitted, 164 165 Stephanie Martel, ASB Clerk Municipal and Property Division 166 NH Department of Revenue Administration 167 All meetings are recorded and available upon request. 168 169 Documentation relative to the Assessing Standards Board may be submitted, requested or reviewed 170 Telephone: (603) 230-5096 171 In person at: Facsimile: (603) 230-5947 109 Pleasant Street, Concord 172 Web: www.revenue.nh.gov 173 E-mail: asb@dra.nh.gov In writing to: 174 NH Department of Revenue 175 **Assessing Standards Board** 176 PO Box 487 177

178

Concord, NH 03302-0487